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THE HEARI NG COMMENCED ON MDNDAY, 14TH DAY OF MAY, 2018 AS FOLLOWB:

CATHAO RLEACH Members, you're all very welcome to our May meeting. Before we go into the business of the meeting I'd like to ask are there any votes of sympathy? I know I have one myself. Sorry, C11r. Fortune.

CLLR. FORTUN: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I'd like to pass a vote of sympathy to the Melia family on the death of Charlie, the sad passing of Charlie in the last couple of weeks. Charlie was a --
CATHAO RLEACH Just for your information, sorry to interrupt you, microphones are not working for people but go ahead.

CLLR. FORTUN: Charlie was a very interesting man in lots of ways, very intelligent guy, very bright guy. Obviously was very critical on parts of the democratic process and wasn't shy in articulating that. I think people like charlie are a plus for because they make us 14:06 all stand up and think about things from time to time and I think he done a super job on that. He was very out there and a very, very bright man, ran a very successful business and unfortunately got unwe11, driven by a lot of factors around his life and myself and generally speak on behalf of the group, pass a vote of sympathy to his mam and sisters on his very, very sad passing.

CATHAO RLEACH Thank you very much. C11r. Annesley. CLLR. ANESLEY: Just to pay sympathy to Cl1r. Fitzgerald, his sister Catherine Fitzgerald passed away a number of weeks ago. I'd like to sympathise with Pat and his family.

CATHAO RLEACH I'd like to add my name to the sympathy to Pat Fitzgerald on his sister, Catherine, who had a long illness and fought it very, very bravely. Anyone e1se?

MS. GALLAGER: For Cath1een Brennan, mother-in-1aw of our colleague, Mark Devereux; and for Maria Brennan, also mother-in-law of our colleague, Eileen Brennan.
[ ONE M NUTE' S SI LENCE WAS OBSERVED]

CATHAO RLEACH I can't see anything up here. The screen is not working as regards who is making -- I saw Cl1r. Cullen's light first.
CLLR TOMM CULLEN Chairman, I wonder would it be possible, we were supposed to have the graveyard issue, the graveyard policy on the agenda today and unfortunately it's not on it. Could we Suspend Standing Orders to have a brief discussion on it at 4:30?
CATHAO RLEACH Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH I'11 second that proposa1. Is that agreed?

FROM THE FLOOR: Yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. whitmore.
CLLR. WH TMDRE: Thanks, Chair. I would just like to pass my thanks on to the Franchise Department for all the work that they have done in the registering to vote. They put in huge efforts. They've been working weekends and they've made it incredibly simple for people to register so I would just like to pass on my thanks for that.

CATHAO RLEACH Thank you. C11r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I want to propose a Suspension of Standing Orders to discuss the Florentine Centre in Bray and the unilateral decision taken by the to alter the terms of the building that we had agreed here and that had been agreed to An Bord Pleanála, without reference to the Members at all. And also this question that the developer has made requests to add a residential zoning or residential element to a development which we had already said we were not going to include in that proposal. This was done by way of a 14:10 verbal report at a Municipal District meeting on 1st May. No advance notice, no warning, no documentation, nothing, and it's about time that we asserted our rights as Members of this Council for full information and full consultation when these things are being done. 14:10 So I'm proposing that we have a Suspension of Standing Orders on that today, Cathaoirleach.

CLLR. THORNH LL: I'd second that proposal, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAO RLEACH Is that agreed, Members?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. O'Neill.
CLLR. O NEI LL: I propose a Suspension of Standing Orders, this is regarding the N81, for wicklow County Council to write to the Minister seeking him to reverse his decision on the upgrading of the road.

CLLR. RUITLE: I'd second that.
CLLR TOMM CULLEN Chairman, can I say in support of
C11r. O'Neill there, I think that there's unanimity in all the politicians in the political parties in wicklow to have this decision reversed and I'm wondering would it be possible, Chairman, could you write to Minister Shane Ross and ask him would he be prepared to come down and address this Council meeting in June as to why 14:11 he has reversed this decision. Would that be possible, Chairman?

CATHAO RLEACH I have no issue with that. I think we'11 agree that maybe at the suspension.
CLLR. LAVZESS: Cathaoirleach, just on a quick point on 14:12 that. I would support C11r. O'Neill as well in his request, but just to say that a meeting has been arranged with the Minister Shane Ross about this. John Brady has arranged it. He will be inviting all the TDs and also the delegates as well from west Wicklow, the community groups that have been working on this as well, will be invited into that meeting as we11.

CATHAO RLEACH we'11 have that when we discuss that
later.
CLLR LAVLESS: Thanks.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Thornhill.
CLLR THORNH LL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat.
Cathaoirleach, I would like a Suspension of Standing Orders in relation to something which is very serious and has come to my mind. This is in light of legal advice. In the last few months I, myself, and other Councillors have been trying to get legal advice in relation to St. Paul's. Well now it has come to my information that KWETB - and by the way there are five members here from wicklow County Council on the KWETB and legal advice was given to one member, cllr. Ruttle. And by the way, I would just like to note, and it's for my own perspective as well, why advice can be given so easily to a member of wicklow County Council and the administration, you put us through the rings and hoops to try and get the legal advice. I think that in this sense from the point of view of justice and fairness and transparency to everyone, I mean, me and my people that supported St. Paul's didn't get fair justice on that day and I think that a statement should be made from those people that are members of the KwETB. And especially I would like to hear from Cllr. Ruttle in relation to the whole matter. Go raibh maith agat.

## CATHAO RLEACH

CLLR. FOX: Chairman, as you know, C11r. Ruttle was Chairperson of this KWETB and the chairman of all committees and this Chamber get legal advice which is
specific to their role as Chairperson. It doesn't necessarily have to be furnished to all the Members. So I think it's a very dangerous precedent that if a Chairman can't get legal advice for him or herself without it having to be made public knowledge. Thank you, Chairman.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Thornhi11.
CLLR. THORNH LL: Councillor, look, I mean I would agree and I can see exactly where C11r. Fox is coming from but at the end of the day we must remember, this is public money. There's a substantial amount of money that was given to give this legal advice and we're talking about taxpayers' money and at the end of the day it's too --
CATHAO RLEACH I don't want to get into the discussion now. This is a decision about whether we suspend Standing Orders or not.

CLLR. BEHAN I'11 second the proposal.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, what are we doing here? Have we nothing else to discuss, our own business here? The KWETB, they're a board all on their own. what in God's name are we discussing about them? Sure they have a board that we have five members and with the other counties as well. Do you know what I mean like? what 14:15 are we doing here? I mean we've problems all over the County here and we've five or six, you know, Suspension of Standing Orders. We have a housing crisis out there. We have a health crisis out there, you know,
and all we're talking about is dealing in other people's business where they have a board to handle this themselves. And particularly people asking for this and they're not even members of that board. Madness what we're going down here.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Annesley.
CLLR. ANNESLEY: Cathaoirleach, like yourself i sit on that board and I do know that a presentation is being made to the Public Accounts Committee. So for us to waste time on it here in the wicklow Chamber discussing 14:16 the Kildare and wicklow Education Training Board's business when you and I know, and the other Members know, that this is going to be all public knowledge very, very shortly and then all cilr. Ruttle's (sic) questions will be answered about legal expenses. I don't think -- C11r. Thornhill, sorry. I don't think C11r. Thornhi11, I don't think he's comparing right for wrong here because KWETB did get advice on this very, very sensitive issue and this was confidential paperwork, okay. And it couldn't be discussed in this 14:16 Chamber and when it was brought up in this Chamber before we had to leave. I do know in the next coming weeks this is going to be discussed publicly. So if Cl1r. Thornhill would like to wait until then because I don't think this is the time for this to discussion to 14:17 take place. But the answers will be answered, C11r. Thornhil1. Thank you.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Thornhi11, I'd appreciate if you'd be brief.

CLLR. THORNHLL: Okay, Cathaoirleach. Thanks very much to C11r. Annesley. I would be appreciative of the fact that it will be discussed, but you must still, you know, you must understand where I'm coming as we11, you know. I mean, you know, we were looking for legal advice and then to see people from wicklow County Council going getting legal advice from another body, you know, $I$ think it's in the interests of fairness. So if it all comes out in the wash I'd be quite happy to take it then.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Do you want to withdraw your proposal?
CLLR. THORNH LL: okay. So it's on record and I'11 withdraw it and we'11 take it from there. Okay, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Blake.
CLLR. BLAKE: I just want to support C11r. Annesley's proposal that we would leave it to the KWETB to deal with the issue, in their own time. We although there's a problem and it needs to be done at their own board level in the meantime and if cllr. Thornhill is happy to wait until such time as that comes out I think we should do that.

CATHAO RLEACH Is that agreed?
CLLR. BEHAN Cathaoirleach, could I just make a point on this? I mean the representatives are representing us on the KWETB. It's not as if they're out in some foreign land. They're representing this Council on that body and we never get any kind of progress report
about what's happening at KWETB. For example, the fact that Coláiste Ráithín still hasn't opened and we still don't know when it's going to open. We never hear any report on that and I think we should be getting regular reports about issues that affect our constituents from that body. So I think when we're getting a report back it should include what's happening about Coláiste Ráithín particularly.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Thanks, Members. Item 1 on the agenda proper: Confirm and sign the minutes of the

Ordinary Meeting of Wicklow County Council held on Monday, 12th March 2018 (copy attached). Proposed by Pat Fitzgerald and seconded by Nicola Lawless. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 2: Confirm and sign the minutes of the Special Meeting of Wicklow County Council held on Wednesday, 28th March 2018
M5. GALLAGER: C11r. Sne11. Seconded by?
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Vance.
MS. GALLAGER: Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 3: Confirm and sign the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Wicklow County Council held on Monday, 9th Apri1, 2018.
MS. GALLAGFR: Proposed by C11r. Matthews, seconded

CATHAO RLEACH Item 4: Consider the disposal of the freehold interest in the property known as 75 O'Byrne Road, Bray, County Wicklow.

CLLR. VANCE: I propose that.
CATHAO RLEACH To Whilhelmina Cox, 75 O'Byrne Road, Bray.
CLLR. VANCE: I propose that.

CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Vance.
CLLR. FOX: Seconded.
CATHAOI RLEACH Seconded by C11r. Fox.
MS. GALLAGER: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MS. GALLAGER: Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 5: To consider the disposal of property known as 4 Bath Street, Wicklow, County Wicklow to Augustus Cullen as Legal Person Representative of Annie Carroll, deceased (previously circulated).

CLLR. VANCE: Proposed.
MS. GALLAGER: Cathaoirleach, just before we go ahead with that. Members were circulated with a Section 183 notice on 23rd April and it showed a map and this morning Members have been circulated with an additional map showing an additional portion of land high1ighted in green. So if the Members could just note that and we're happy to proceed with the disposal with the inclusion of the additional portion of the land as well.

CLLR. DUNE: Chairman, I'11 propose that.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Dunne. Do you have a seconder? CLLR. SNELL: I'11 second that, Cathaoirleach. Could I just ask, Cathaoirleach, while we're on the disposals,
is the Committee still in situ here that were set up to look at all disposal notices --

CATHAO RLEACH I don't know.
CLLR SNELL: -- to deal with the disposal orders so
it's fell back on each individual representative that Committee is no longer meeting?
M. GALLAGER: No. That Committee was set up, Cathaoirleach, to look at the documentation and the procedures, the process. It wasn't to look at each individual section 183 notice, it was simply to put a process into place in terms of the provision of a map, provide a valuation, if there's no valuation and an explanation as to why there is no valuation.
CLLR. SNELL: Could we have a copy of the recommendations of that committee, just on the procedure.
M. GALLAGER Absolutely. That was circulated before but we can certainly circulate it again.
CLLR. SNELL: It's just that I felt at the time that Members raised concerns about the specifics around
individual disposal orders and that's why a representative group of the 32 Councillors were going to actually analyse individual. But I don't recall getting a copy of the recommendations, look, I'm happy to second that proposal, Item 5. Thanks,

Cathaoirleach.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Fortune.
CLLR FORTUN: I just I wish to abstain because I just don't know nothing about it.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. C11r. Shay Cullen.
CLLR. SHAY CULLEN Chairman, yeah. Just before we move on there, I notice the new map, obviously there's an extra piece of land that is included that wasn't on the original map of the Bath Street, number 4 Bath
Street. I suppose I'd like an explanation around why there's an extra piece of land? And also, is there a change in the valuation? To my mind it doesn't seem like there is. So maybe I could just get someone to explain the situation.
MG. GALLAGER: No, there isn't a change in the valuation. I suppose it emanated from the law department which just advised that there's a slight change to the boundary. It doesn't change the specifics like the price or anything. So I don't know whether it was inadvertently not included. It came from the Housing Department initially, the portion, the small parcel of green land, the land hatched in green. Now, if Members want to defer it to consider it at the June meeting with the queries in relation to that, I'd be happy to bring it back?
CLLR. SHAY CULLEN I suppose, Chairman, I'd just like to know how big a parcel of land we're talking about and I suppose I have to ask the question why is the valuation remaining the same? I think it's relevant to 14:24 an extra piece of land being sold by the Council.
Maybe there's another reason for it, I don't know, but I think it's important to ask that question. CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Dunne.

CLLR DUNE: Sorry, Chairman. Yeah, Chairman, if you just look at how much it's going for, it's € $€ 1,000$, it's a lot of money. These go a whole lot cheaper. I think the reason the freehold had expired so there's an awful money to be paid for that bit of ground there. Thank you. I'd like to go ahead with the proposal. CATHAO RLEACH okay. Members, what do we want to do here? Do we want to --
ME. GALLAGER we can come back later on in the meeting with the explanation.
CATHAO RLEACH We'11 defer it later in the meeting or if we don't get an answer today we'11 put it on the June Agenda. Is that okay?
CLLR. FORTUNE: I just wish to abstain, as I say. CATHAO RLEACH We haven't decided yet. C11r. Snell. CLLR. SNELL: I'm happy enough to second that proposal, Cathaoirleach. I think the map quite clearly defines that, you know, there's a small --
CATHAO RLEACH We'11 try and get an answer today then to deal with it.

Item 6: To consider the disposal of 0.0515 hectares (or thereabouts) of land in the townland of Glencap Commons North, Glencap, County Wicklow to Mr. Michael Ronan and Mrs. Maura Ronan of Symphony House, Kilmacanogue, County wicklow.
CLLR. FOX: Can I just ask, Chairman, I know this was part of the original widening of the N11 through Kilmacanogue and I know the map is from the mid
nineties, but the piece that we're disposing of seems to be where the current N11 is. The logic to that is? CATHAO RLEACH You think there may be a mapping issue? CLLR. FOX: The map is from 1995 so it's hard to gauge whether it is or it isn't on the new N11 but it looks to me like it's currently on the existing N11.

CATHAO RLEACH The part for disposal?
CLLR. FOX: The disposal. Can that be clarified or is there a rationale.
MR. CURRAN There is somebody from roads here, but
it's really land that was surplus to requirements following the CPO and the agreement was that it would go back to the original landowner.
CLLR. FOX: It does look to be -- it seems to be smack bang --
CATHAO RLEACH On the motorway.
CLLR. FOX: I could be wrong.
CATHAO RLEACH okay. We'11 get that checked out then before we proceed. Item 7: To consider the disposal of 0.8048 hectares in the town1and of Inchanappa,
Ashford, County Wicklow to Mr. John Fitzpatrick, Inchanappa, Ashford, County Wicklow. Do I have a proposer?
CLLR. DUNE: I'11 propose that, Chairman.
MG. GALLAGER: C11r. Dunne.
CATHAO RLEACH Do I have a seconder?
CLLR. SHAY CULLEN I'11 second.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Shay Cullen. Is that agreed? FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed, yeah.

ME. GALLAGER: Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 8: To receive a presentation from Wicklow Local Link. Jackie Mealy and Eric Bentley are here to present. You are very welcome, gentlemen.
MR. MEALY: Thanks very much, Cathaoirleach.
Jackie Mealy is my name and this is Eric Bentley. We work for a company called Ring a Link which delivers the rural transport/local link services in Carlow, Kilkenny and wicklow. We also deliver services in South Tipperary and North Fingal.

Over the last six or eight months we have been working on additional rural transport local links services and weekend services for County wicklow. So Eric will give a short presentation on what we have done so far.
CATHAO RLEACH Thanks very much.
MR. BENTLEY: Thanks for having us today. So as Jackie explained, we are part of the Ring a Link Group and we're looking after Kilkenny, Carlow and wicklow. The Local Link Transport, it's the NTA's branding for what used to be the Rural Transport Programme. There sued to be 37 groups like ourselves and the NTA amalgamated down to 17 and then defined specific counties for each one to look after.

The key proposal from the NTA is that new services link with existing transport. So we integrate and we meet up with other transport.

The trains we feel are very important and it's something we've had success with in getting new services. The trains are permanent, they're happening. If we can get business services from rural areas to them people are attracted to use them.

We looked at the existing public transport in wicklow. This is just a schematic map. As you can see, there are some services, like the 133 is quite regular but they generally go up and down. They don't really go across.

Then we mapped the existing 25 Local Link Services in wicklow which are generally something like, say, every second Wednesday to the shops or to the post office from the rural area and that's what they look like when you map them.

So then if we threw a bit of jam at them where would it stick? That would indicate demand to us. So they're the areas they stick, and within them the places they want to go are Baltinglass, Arklow, wicklow, Greystones. So people don't want to go from rural area to rural area as much as into the urban and back out again.

So there was public consultation, stakeholder presentation last October. There was various issues raised, ideas thrown around. Five potential routes
were drafted up. Then we looked at whatever reports are available for wicklow. Luckily there's plenty of information out there. One of the key things we pulled out of this socioeconomic baseline report was that $44 \%$ of the trips are actually within wicklow. Yes, there's a lot of people go to Dublin to outside the County but there's actually a lot of people going to work within this County which is good for Local Link Services.

There was also a bus study done for tourism back in 2012. We got our hands on that. It talked about things like feeder services integrating with the DART and the train. Wicklow attractions - it's difficult to get to them. Glendalough was particularly thrown up as an issue that people come to wicklow Town, if you like, on the train or the bus expecting a bus to Glendalough and there isn't one. So they either have to go back to Bray or Stephen's Green to come back out again. That's one of the mottos of it, was that you have to stay in Dublin to visit Wicklow.

Then we found the National Transport Authority have made obviously various submissions on Local Area Development Plans and one we pulled out was the most recent one for Greystones was they support tourism and they also are keen to support sustainable transport within the County.

Then there was various public consultations on Facebook, et cetera, and people had their views known and stated where they'd like to sea bus services and whether they'd use them or not. Why? Maybe they mightn't like a particular service. There might be a bus to Greystones but if you want to go to Kilcoole you have to Greystones and Greystones back out and that can take a long time.

So then we came up with possible routes. These are all possible. A bus has tyres, it can turn any direction but in order to get discussion going and get some feedback we need to throw out possible routes. So the first one we came up with was a figure of eight in Greystones itself. Now, as I say, our origins are rural transport. The Local Link is a different beast, it gets people around locally. So there are sections of Greystones that don't have public transport and for particular passengers they can't get to bus stops, if you like. So we need to try and run buses past them and then Charlesland has no regular public transport and it's quite a sizeable area and a bit more to come. So one of them was a figure of eight around Greystones.

The next one then was Roundwood to Greystones DART via Newtownmountkennedy, Kilcoole and then around Greystones itself to Delgany. That was borne out of multiple requests, if you like, Roundwood to more pub7ic transport. That's into Newtownmountkennedy.

Newtownmountkennedy to Kilcoole for schools. Kilcoole to North Greystones for schools. And then everybody to get to the DART. So when you put them altogether we come up with a viable route. What we're trying to get, if you like, 7:00 o'clock in the morning to 7:00 o'clock in the evening and if we can an hourly service. So that's what we started out with, trying to look at routes.

Then of course Glendalough to wicklow Town came up as a 14:32 possible route. we go from Glendalough to Annamoe, into Roundwood, down into Ashford, Rathnew and then wicklow Train Station and then Wicklow Town and we terminate then at the Gaol.

Then we start looking at more localised routes. So we were looking at maybe Jack white's into Brittas Bay, up along the coast and into wicklow Town and again to the train station. A variation of that one would be to continue straight up the coast to catch Newcastle into Greystones DART.

Another variation is trying to catch North Arklow. So we came up with a loop and, if you like, what we're trying to do is stay away from existing public transport routes and then feed those routes as well.

Tullow to Arklow is another one we looked at. A bit of an issue we're having at the moment there is just the
trip is too long. It's an hour and 15 minutes. So we're looking at ideas on how we might crack that. Baltinglass, again a sort of a local route loop bringing people into the main services in the town.

Then I'11 just go back to the existing public transport services in wicklow. The bottom left corner needs a bit more thought where Baltinglass is because, et cetera, there's a JD Kavanagh's service there, there's a Dunne's Coaches service, there's an existing Local Link from Wexford so we need a bit more thought in that area and any feedback we could get would be great to help us.

We have another concept called "Super Stops" and the idea behind these is if you were to take the Glendalough to Roundwood to Wicklow Train Station and the Roundwood to Greystones DART, if we can meet them meet they can actually feed each other at these, what we call these super stops and the same for Arklow. So the North Arklow loop would feed with the Tullow-Arklow service.

There's quite a significant demand for tourism in Wicklow, tourism services. So what we just tried to show here was, if you like, the first graph in the top left is the locals, if you like, the general commuters and then people during the day. And then the blue line on the next graph is generally when tourists move
around. So you can see when you put the two together we get passengers numbers and that's what the NTA want on each route. Then in the midst of all our work there was a call for evening services, which I'm sure you've all heard about. The initial brief was quite limited, if you like, so we threw in four services for wicklow hoping we'd get one, we actually got all four. when the Minister announced it then he mentioned that there may be more funding. So we threw in, again, for all four to become three-night a week services, plus we put 14:35 in the Jack white's to Wicklow Town as an evening service and the Roundwood to Greystones DART as an evening service, Friday, Saturday, Sunday. So they're in with the National Transport Authority at the moment and hopefully they'11 get through. We should have them 14:35 in place the week beginning 18th June, through private operators in the County. So that's the end of our presentation. If there's any questions or feedback we'd be delighted to hear it.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Whitmore.
CLLR. WH TMDRE: Thanks very much, Chair. Thanks to Eric and to Jackie for coming in to give that presentation. I know Eric has put a huge amount of work into these proposals and alongside a big public consultation that happened in October he's taken the outcomes of online consultation. He's actually driven these routes and timed them with buses to make sure that each of the points, you know, are accessible for buses and just to make sure that the timings are right.

So it's fantastic that we now have, I suppose, this bank of a series of bus routes that would be very useful to the rural wicklow and also the towns in Wicklow. So when any NTA funding does become available, Local Link can very quickly respond to that funding opportunity, such as how they did with the rural transport links there last week or the week or the week before, we got funding for those evening buses.

The three proposals that have gone forward to the NTA with the loop around Greystones and then from Greystones to Newtown and Roundwood and Wicklow Town to Glendalough, if those routes get funded they will be absolute game-changers for their communities. Eric has 14:37 proposed a seven-day hourly bus route and shuttle bus for people and these bus services are, they're more like community bus services. So if someone is on a bus and they live up a laneway, say if there's an elderly lady with her shopping, this bus can actually bring her up into that laneway so that she can actually get home. There's enough meat in the journey to enable that so that they're flexible and they're very commutable. So I think these are absolutely fantastic. I think the difference it will make, at the moment if you look at the arrows that Eric had on those maps, when people in Wicklow travel we tend to go out of the county so we either go northwards to Dublin to westwards to Kildare or southwards to wexford and really if we want our
small towns and villages to survive and to be viable, we need to start using and visiting our own towns. This service will provide us an opportunity to do that. I think it's absolutely brilliant and I wholeheartedly support Eric in what he has done.

These three fund proposals are the start. So the three are in with the NTA at the moment. The plan is if they're successful then the other bus routes can actually also go towards funding from the NTA. So I think there's a real onus on us as Councillors to contact the NTA to tell them that we are very supportive of these routes. Really until the NTA hear that there is a demand for these routes they will be more likely to fund it if there is a demand and that people will use actually use them and they'11 get bums on seats.

I want to put forward a motion, a proposal today, that Wicklow County Council write to the NTA in support of these proposed bus routes, recognising that they will provide a critical for our towns and villages and ask that they provide the necessary funding for the service. Thank you very much.
CATHAO RLEACH Jennifer, would you hand up that proposal, please. C11r. Ruttle.
CLLR RUTTLE: Yes, Cathaoirleach, and thank you, gentlemen, for your presentation. Just one item there. When we look at the map and the West Wicklow area there
is clearly a concentration on the Baltinglass area, but there's the other end as well, the northwest wicklow, the Blessington area and I feel there would be huge need for feeder buses to go in to the entire lake district taking into Hollywood, Valleymount, Ballyknocken, Lacken, Manor Kilbride into Blessington and also because of the presence of all services like the local hospital and the health board, the local Department of Agricultural office, et cetera, in Naas, there's need for a public transport link between Blessington and Naas. I don't know whether there are pitfalls on the basis of, you know, crossing county boundary, but certainly that lower north end of west wicklow would require a service that will allow people to get to Blessington, where there are considerable shopping facilities, or alternatively to Naas where a lot people want to access, particularly the hospital facilities in Naas, which has a local hospital, and visit the health board in Naas, which is also there. I know there's been public consultation and there must have been some feedback from the public but I certainly would have thought there are people, because if you look at the paltry level of supply of service by Bus Éireann to the lake district, the Ballyknocken bus as we call it, I think there's a bus a day in the morning and in the evenings and yet there are people who need to go mid-morning and back mid-afternoon to their homes in those areas, which would be quite isolated. There is, $I$ believe, a great need for a service in what I
would call the lake area. And also, if it can be done on a cross-border basis, to supply a public transport link to Naas. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Cullen.
CLLR. SHAY CULLEN Thanks, Chairman. Thank you for your presentation. I think this is hugely significant development for County wicklow, particularly in rural Wicklow. I suppose, you know, we have talked quite a lot in this Chamber about tourism and what County Wicklow has to offer and certainly the Local Link model that we're looking at will certainly help our tourism for our County. I suppose at the moment we have, unfortunately we have a situation where tourism or tourists visit our county, for example, you mentioned Glendalough, they come on the St. Kevin's bus to
Glendalough from Dublin or wherever they catch the St. Kevin's bus, Bray or Dublin, but unfortunately we can't get the tourism and the tourists to visit other parts of our county. You mentioned, you know, obviously Roundwood, Laragh, Newtown, Ashford, Rathnew and into wicklow. I mean that's a massive development that we can get our tourists from Glendalough to those villages and towns and hopefully into wicklow. we all know what we have to offer in this County from a tourism point of view and I think this is massively significant.

Notwithstanding the local people of the areas that we're talking about, particularly North and East

Wicklow, these services, for example, from Roundwood to Greystones to the DART, provides extra transport to get to Dublin or Bray or whatever the case may be. I think this is important.

I would like to second C11r. Whitmore's proposal there and I would also like to see our tDs lobbying on our behalf to ensure that these funding initiatives are supported. I think this is hugely, hugely significant for our County and one that would develop County wicklow into the future. Thank you.

CATHAO RLEACH Cl1r. Blake.
CLLR BLAKE: Thanks, Cathaoirleach, and thanks to the two gentlemen for the presentation as well. I think it's about 12 years ago that Minister Dick Roche launched the rural transport in Donard. It has been a great success run by the Wicklow Partnership for quite a number of years. Breda was in charge of it here in Wicklow. I think she's actually still working with you. I think we raised that particular point at the time, it would give people an opportunity to get to the doctors, get to the hospitals and make connections with the public transport for the rural areas, whether it be the trains, the buses or whatever else. It was a big issue, particularly in South wicklow, in my own area, where there is a very limited DART and bus service and it proved a great success in that regard.

Certainly going forward, the additional routes you're
talking about will certainly benefit the communities and certainly the extra services at nighttime and I know that has been referred to as the pub buses as well. If it does that well and good. Whatever it can do out there. I don't want to see it called that name or anything of that nature. But as Cllr. Whitmore has said there, it is a service that has been going up top laneways, people are not being dropped off in the towns or wherever else like they have in the past. A wonderful service there for the people. It is the best 14:44 thing out there going forward as well, that whoever get tenders I think it has to be -- obviously they're people that are doing the services at the moment and will understand what is needed of them or what people will demand of them in that regard. But they will probably, in most cases, be dealing with an older generation even though I'm aware of the fact that schools are also using your service, which is a great benefit as well. So look it, going forward, and whoever gets the tender, whoever does the tender for it ${ }_{14: 45}$ or gets the services, I think there is a need to ensure that the very rural, rural areas are actually looked at with regard to it. It's not just going the regional roads, national roads in that regard, that it is actually going north, off the beaten track and actually 14:45 doing what people want to do. I wish you well and sincerely hope that the thing continues and develops what has been a very good service, as I said, launched my Minister Dick Roche about 12 years ago and with
yourself and Breda still with you. The best of luck. CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Winters.

CLLR. WNTERS: Thank you very much for your presentation, $I$ would like to see all of these routes implemented and maybe a few more with it. I do realise 14:45 obviously finance. The Local Link, I mean yes it's about transport, but it's about a lot more than transport, it's actually about creating, I suppose, a real sense of community and development if friendships along the routes. For the non-drivers in our community 14:46 it actually gives them a certain level of independence rather than always having to ask a favour or taxis are too expensive for a lot of people who would be on lower incomes who live in some of the rural areas. So I think it's fantastic and I would love to see all of the 14:46 routes done and more developed. Thank you very much. CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Walsh.

CLLR. WALSH Thanks, Cathaoirleach, and thanks, Eric, for the presentation obviously a considerable amount of research has been put into it. The initiative is an initiative to be welcomed and supported by all Members here. In vain with the previous speakers, I support the previous speakers in the fact that the tourism initiative there, support of the tourism initiative in the likes of Glendalough, a traffic issue there which 14:47 has been highlighted many times. This is something that would help to address that. Issues, you have links to Greystones DART station, Roundwood and other areas. We have parking problems there at Greystones
and hopefully this will help to address some of those issues. I think overall the scheme provides a lifeline, a flexible lifeline to people in small towns and villages. well done again and the best of luck with the initiative going forward. Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Cathaoirleach C11r. Lawless.
CLLR LAVEESS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Thank you very much for your presentation. I have to say it's quite an exciting service as well for the County and as other speakers have said, it's really going to open the County up, especially around the tourism aspect of it, and hopefully people will feel more encouraged to use a public transport system and start alleviating the heavy traffic that we have on our small roads during the summer time with having good services available. And also, as we've said as well, open up our rural areas and rural isolation, which is a huge problem within our County because the geography of our County as well, it's so large. It is very, very much welcomed. Especially the Newtown to Kilcoole route as well, because I know we've been campaigning for Dublin Bus to try a new route there, obviously to open it up because that trip from Newtown to Greystones, Greystones to kilcoole is quite cumbersome and it's going to be very beneficial for school kids there as well because a lot of people can't do extracurricular activities because there is this long route having to go around. So that's very exciting as well.

Just in regards to, I know you're only starting off and I'm not sure what way your buses are equipped as well, but I'm just wondering will yous be looking possibly in the future with disability access for people with disabilities? Because it is quite an issue at the moment in or around public transport, as you probably know yourself with the DART services and Bus Éireann and that, we've a lot of members in our community in Wicklow with disabilities that can't access the services and would love to get out around our County as we11. So I would hope maybe it would be something that yous would look at in the future as well. But, yeah, it's very welcomed and thank you very much for coming in today for the presentation.
CATHAOI RLEACH C11r. Sne11.
CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Thanks to the two gentlemen for their presentation. I'd like to support C11r. Jennifer whitmore in regard to writing to the Minister and making our feelings known that we are supportive of this. But I do have a query or two in regards to the set up and the timetable. It has been referred to that, you know, this will potentially open up to an awful lot of visitors to the Glendalough area. Obviously that's very welcome. That's where the vast majority of the visitors to this County descend to,
which is around the Upper Lake or around the G1endalough Hote1. But unfortunately on the timetable here, the furthest that the buses seem to be going is to Brockagh Resource Centre which is 1.8 kilometres
away from Glendalough. You can do that in three minutes in a vehicle but unfortunately, according to what's here in front of me, it's 24 minutes to walk that. Now if you give even, to cut that down to 15 minutes it's still unrealistic that visitors will spend 14:50 15 minutes walking from Glendalough to Laragh to get on a bus to take in the rest of the County. Really what we want to do is we want to expand their experience of County Wicklow. I'm just thinking, for the sake of three minutes, Cathaoirleach, in driving from Laragh should this not be expanded up to the Glendalough Hotel? Certainly I think we should be exploring the possibility of going to the Upper Lakes in Glendalough because that's where the vast majority of tourists are. I would ask the two gentlemen to maybe have a look at that and see. Because I think you're going to get a far more catchment area. That's just my tuppence work. Thanks, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Kennedy.
CLLR. KENNEDY: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I just want 14:51 to thank these gentlemen for their presentation. I have to agree with all the other speakers, but I would have one question. I'm just wondering when do they hope to roll out the other possible routes there's Laragh to Arklow via Rathdrum and I just wondering does 14:51 that depend on funding and when would you hope to apply for funding for that? Am I right in saying that's not included in the one at the moment? Thank you. CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Fitzgerald.

CLLR. FITZGERALD I attended that meeting last October. If I memory serves me right it was in the Arklow Bay Hotel and I welcome very much the timetable that is presented before us today. The new service that was announced last week from Coolkenna to Arklow is that part of Local Link? I don't see it. I'm not penny pinching here, there might be a slight error on 1406 Arklow Scarnagh cross, which is in Wexford. Right. It's Scratnagh Cross, I'd say it should be. Scarnagh Cross is near Inch, Gorey, Co. Wexford, just so there's no confusion. I mean it is very welcome. I know a lot of work and that day there was a fair few people at the meeting and it's great to have connectivity between villages and towns and whatever. I know a lot of people that use the Aughrim to Arklow route and but for the bus service being available they wouldn't be able to get in because they haven't got a car and they don't ride a bike and you're stuck. I just welcome the work that's going on and maybe into the future there could be further improvements to the system. Thank you very much.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay, I have a few words briefly. You said your remit is Carlow, Kilkenny and wicklow. Could I just make a point. In west wicklow a lot of -- the direction people travel in is west to County Kildare. For instance, Baltinglass to Carlow train station is 25 minutes, Baltinglass to Athy train station is a bit quicker, it's 20 minutes and you don't have to go through the town to get to the train station in Athy.

So maybe you might consider that.

Also, just your general information, would you maybe consider linking that to the Wicklow website so people can get this information? Because a lot of people, it's very, very complicated what's on offer. It's great and I completely welcome it but just to get information out there to people that people have access, find out what the information is and if it can be linked into the Wicklow website or whatever other Council website you think appropriate.

MR. BENTLEY: Just to respond to a couple of those. The new services we spoke about today are actually additional to all the ones in the booklet there. So the Glendalough one actually, will go into the actual Glendalough site, use the roundabout to turn around and come back in again. Or if that's closed in the evenings down to the hote1. Those are all staying. The 25 existing ones are staying and this is on top of that. As I say, it's more the NTA's brief to be more regular, punctual, timetable transport for tourists and the local people to use.

The applications for the other ones will be in the summer. We're putting them to together at the moment. Obviously the evening ones, threw a curved ball at us. we have to get them together to get these in. But this summer we'11 get them all in. Part of what today is to get feedback and find out did we miss anything.

Coolkenna to Arklow, that's a new evening service. The Tullow to Arklow is additional. It's separately funded altogether. The Coolkenna to Arklow is part of this evening drink link, as has been discussed.

Then West Wicklow to kildare. We do work closely with the TCU in kildare which is our counterpart. So we'11 have a look at that.

We'11 definitely look into linking onto the website and possibly getting into the County Council's summer edition the magazine here, particularly the evening services. The thing to do now is do our absolutely best to make sure people use them and then we get more funding for more. So bums on seats is the challenge now.

CATHAO RLEACH Any other comments? Okay, than's for that.

MR. MEALY: we have sent in that brochure into the Local Authority already. I'm not sure if it's on your
site. Just to comment about Valleymount/Blessington, I'm not sure which of ye brought it up. We have a service there already. Now it's a disability service but there's no reason that people from that area, valleymount and that area that mention, can't use that ${ }_{14} 4.56$ bus service as it is. So if you want to give us a telephone call or an e-mail we can look at that.

So if you have any comments I suppose on the back of
the brochure, the front page I think of the brochure we have ckw@locallink.ie. If you want to e-mail any of the comments to us we'd be delighted. Thanks very much for your kind comments and thanks, Cathaoirleach, for your time
CATHAO RLEACH You're very welcome. Thank you very much. Just in relation to Cllr. Whitmore's proposal. M. GALLAGER: That Wicklow County Council write to the NTA to support the proposed bus routes, to recognise that will provide a critical bus service for our towns and villages and ask that they provide the necessary funding for the service; proposed by C11r. Whitmore and seconded by C11r. Shay Cullen and we would like to see the tDs lobbies on our behalf. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Members, with your permission I'11 revert to one of the disposal resolutions that we put on hold.
MS. GALLAGER: This is Item 5 to dispose of a property
at 4, Bath Street, wicklow which was proposed by C11r. Dunne and seconded by C11r. Snell. This was a mapping report. The valuation is based on the full site. One eighth of the value is a multiplier. Going from freehold to leasehold.

CLLR. VANCE: I propose we go ahead with that.
M. GALLAGER: Is that agreed?

FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CLLR. FOX: Chairman, the Road Section -- I've got
clarity on Item 6 so I'm happy to propose Item 6. CATHAO RLEACH Item 6 then the other disposal. CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second that, I'11 second that. CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Fox, seconded by c11r. Vance. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Thanks, Members. okay, next item, item number 9: Bray Municipal District Local Area Plan: To consider the Chief Executive's Report on submissions made to the proposed amendments to the draft Bray Municipal District Local Area Plan (previously circulated) and to make or amend the Local Area Plan. MR. O BRIEN Thank you, Cathaoirleach. This was dealt with at the last meeting, had been on display and the public have made submissions. There were a number of material alterations is what they were called in the Local Area Plans proposed, some by the Chief Executive and most of them by Members. This is a list of the material alterations that were passed at the last meeting and were on display again and there were some submissions made for them. I suppose, Cathaoirleach, just to go through them one by one.
CATHAO RLEACH okay.
MR. OBRIEN The first is proposed Material Alteration Number 1. There are two parts to this. The first part ${ }_{14: 59}$ was the zoning of land to the east of the rear of the houses fronting onto the N11 at Kilmacanogue. That had actually been in the plan and the proposal was to take out the land that was zoned. Then the second half of
that was to zone the land between Kilmacanogue and kilmurray. There's been very strong submissions from the Minister of Housing and Planning about that and that's obviously in the Chief Executive's Report that you all received. So I suppose the best is to take them -- 1(a), Cathaoirleach. In this case C11r. Fox has got a further modification. To do modifications at this stage of the plan they have to be either -- they can't be material and generally they have to be between what was proposed initially in the Draft Plan and what the material alteration was and you can't really stray outside that. So I'11 read that off, the Chief Executive's recommendation on 1(a) is, the Executive proposed the zoning in the first place so we've no objections to Cl1r. Fox's proposal to put in some of the land.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Fox.
CLLR. FOX: You are taking 1(a) first, Chairman, are you?
MR. O BRIEN This is 1(a).
CLLR FOX: I propose to modify this as per the map. It's 1.5 acres to the rear of the existing planned zoning. It's a secondary zoning obviously. So I would propose it on the ground, I suppose, there's a story behind it insofar as most of the Members are aware, we're trying to facilitate residents who are existing, who are currently accessing the N11 directly and this particular piece of ground, I know the owner is willing to facilitate a road. I know that text can't be put in
but I think on its own it merits inclusion. So I'm happy to propose the 1.5 acres.

CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second that, Chairman.
CLLR. FOX: Will I go on?
CATHAO RLEACH Is that agreed by the Members?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAOI RLEACH okay.
CLLR. FOX: And 1(b)?
CATHAO RLEACH Let Des speak.
MR. O BRI EN It's just that the Minister of Housing
and Planning has recommended against this. The Chief Executive's recommendation, as it was previously, is not to proceed.
CLLR. FOX: Chairman, I'm proposing that we would proceed with the amendment as per what went out on public display.

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. C11r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN I want to second Cl1r. Fox's proposal and just to reiterate again my objection to the Minister attempting to usurp the powers of Councillors. He's
done this with regard to the Arklow Plan and he now wants to do it in relation to the Bray Plan and I think we should reject that. We should stick by our decisions here, Cathaoirleach. So I would second Cllr. Fox's proposal.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWG: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I want to propose that we accept the Chief Executive's recommendation not to proceed with this. I think we've
already seen Section 31s from the Minister are proposed and I think this would draw us another one. Despite what some Councillors think that this is our plan, but we still have to be guided by national policy and guidelines and guidelines for managing our national routes as well. So I want to propose that we don't proceed with this, that we accept the Chief Executive's recommendation. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAD RLEACH Is there a seconder for C11r. Matthews' proposal not to proceed? There doesn't appear to be a seconder.

CLLR. MATTHEWE: Can we put it to a vote? CATHAOI RLEACH Sure.

MG. GALLAGER: Just to clarify, this is a --
CLLR. FITZGERALD which one are we taking first?
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Fox's proposal wil1 be put first because it's the first one proposed.

MS. GALLAGER: Proposed by C11r. Fox and seconded by C11r. Behan and it's 1(b) zone the land between Kilmacanogue and Kilmurray, the CE's recommendation is not to proceed and the proposal is to proceed.
[VOTE TAKEN] That is 20 for; one against; and ten not present. I think it's just worth stating at this stage that you need 16 members of 32 to vote in favour of the plan. So it's just in terms of numbers.
CATHAO RLEACH Some more items there.
MR. OBRIEN There's lots of items. Number 2 was just bringing the population up to 2016 figures.
CLLR. MATTHEVS: I propose that.

MR. O BRI EN: The TII made a submission which wasn't really to the point. The CE recommends to proceed.
CLLR. MATTHEVB: I propose that.
CATHAOI RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second that.
CATHAO RLEACH Seconded by C11r. Vance. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Yeah.
MR. $\mathbf{O}$ BRI EN The third one was passed at the previous meeting. The Chief Executive recommended against it, which was to make the zoning more restrictive on a bit of 1and. C11r. Behan has got a further alteration to relax some of that restrictions. The Chief Executive's got no objection.
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second that.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Behan, is it?
CLLR. VANCE: Yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH okay.
MS. GALLAGER: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRI EN The next one is about the height of buildings in Bray. The Chief Executive is in favour if it.

CATHAO RLEACH It's number 4 , is it?
MR. O BRI EN: Yeah, number 4.
CLLR. MATTHEVG: I propose it.
CATHAO RLEACH Thank you, C11r. Matthews. Seconded
by? Do I have a seconder?
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second it, Chair.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Vance. Is that agreed? FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. OBRIEN Material alteration number 5. This is the former Heiton Buckley site. We just changed the wording to make it easier to develop it. It was a plot 15:08 ratio which has been very, very hard to achieve because of the shape of the site. The Chief Executive recommended it.

CLLR. VANCE: I propose that, chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Vance.
CLLR. MATTHEVG: Seconded.
CATHAO RLEACH Seconded by C11r. Matthews. Agreed? FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRI EN Material alteration number 6 was the
former Everest Centre. It's words are similar to the previous one. This is changing wording from plot ratio into targets. The Chief Executive recommended it.

CLLR. VANCE: I propose that again, Chairman. CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Vance; C11r. Matthews. Agreed? MR. OBRI EN Material alteration number 7 is under the 15:08 education section. The Department of Education wanted a list of all the schools in Bray. We gave it to them. The Chief Executive recommends this.

CLLR. MATTHEVG: Proposed
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Matthews. Seconded?
CLLR. SNELL: Yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Sne11. Agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. OBRIEN The next one was a change in the text of
policy CD5 and this was about building across open spaces. The Chief Executive recommended not to proceed with this but the Members put it on display.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEVG: I'd like to propose that we proceed with this alteration, Chairman.

CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, could I just say on that, I mean I'm not going to push this but I mean I think if this is accepted it's going to come back to bite a lot of Members around this Chamber in the next few years. I think the wording that's there is okay and I think it wi11, it will come back. It's a countywide thing. It's something that's very little but $I$ can tell you it's one of these things that people will say: 'That got by me and I shouldn't have done that'. That's the only point I make in that regard to that. It could affect every Councillor all over the County. This is just put in to deal with one site in Bray and it's bad planning just to be so prescriptive with regard to one site.

CATHAO RLEACH Can you expand a little bit, cllr. Vance, on what you have said. Is this to do with the consultation?

CLLR. VANCE: There's a controversial site in Bray that people are complaining about what whether they are open spaces or not and what people have rights of way over it and various things like that. I'm just saying, when you try to modify, you know, a Development Plan for one issue it's not good planning as far as I'm concerned
and it could come back to affect people in the long-term. That's the only point I'm making on it. I'm not going to push it further than that, chairman, but I mean I think it will come back. Only time will tell whether I'm right.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Winters.
CLLR. WNTERS: Just so I have this clear it says -- it seems like we're just saying the same thing another two times. Because it says:
"In existing residential areas the areas of open space desi gnat ed..."
or whatever.
"These will normally be zoned..."

And then it says:
"The Council will not normally permit..."

So we're not really saying anything different than what's already there or have I read that incorrectly? So what's the point changing it?
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Matthews, did you want to come in?
CLLR. MATTHEVG: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Thanks for the opportunity for letting me back in. Cathaoirleach, this is an existing policy that's in the current Bray

Town Plan so it's not a new policy that I drafted. This was made as an amendment to a policy that a Councillor was putting forward at the last meeting who was dealing with a site-specific issue and I tried to stress at the meeting and I stress it here today that I'm in the business of policy making here, not dealing with a specific site. That's not the intention behind it. I would suggest that the provision that's in there that we would not normally permit provides enough scope and wriggle room for planners when they're making a decision and my intention behind this policy is to just sharpen the focus of the mind a little bit when you're looking at development on open spaces or development spaces. At a Bray meeting I did say that there are green spaces in Bray that are given very little opportunity. It's not that I'm totally against building. We can make better use of some of the green spaces that we have. So this isn't, in any way, an attempt to stymie development. This is just to sharpen the mind. When an application comes in, we don't normally permit this so it has to be very high quality and it has to be not highly detrimental to the amenity in the area. So I think it's a good policy. It's one that's in existence. we were agreed for it to go out in the second draft. So I'm proposing that we proceed with it today. I don't think it limits us in any away at all. All it does is focus the mind that this is (inaudible). Thank you, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAO RLEACH Cl1r. Behan.

CLLR BEHAN Cathaoirleach, just if you need a seconder for this I'11 be happy to second it. Everything that Cllr. Matthews has said I would fully agree with. In fairness to him, he did propose it as kind of an alternative to going into specific sites. so he actually addressed that issue himself when he spoke there.

If we don't pass this what we're basically doing is saying that open spaces in all of the housing estates around the County are fair game for developers if they want to come in and make applications. while there mightn't be a kind of a carte blanche for them to do it, we're really kind of saying well, look, come in with your plans and we'11 see what we can do for you. Like I think what Cllr. Matthews is proposing here is a lot more strict and provides a lot more security for people who live in housing estates where there are open spaces not only in Bray but throughout the county. If we don't pass this we are opening up a vista where developers, who very often still own the land that open space is provided for, they still have kind of legal ownership of it and in the past, certainly in the Dun Laoghaire/Rathdown, developers are coming back into estates and looking to put housing development on open spaces that people thought were there for their kids. That's why I think C11r. Matthews' proposal is correct and that's why I think we should support it.
CATHAO RLEACH Cl1r. Vance.

CLLR VANCE: Chairman, that takes it to the extreme. That's not what I meant. C11r. Behan is taking that to the extreme if he's talking about me suggesting that we build on open spaces. That's happened a number of times in Bray it went to the Board and the Board has ruled it out. You know that as well as I do, Councillor. This is because what I'm saying is, in my opinion, in my opinion it's bad policy just to direct a particular item at one particular area in Bray. And there are occasions where and for people, side passages 15:15 and various things like that that this could affect. That's all I'm thinking of. With regard to building on open spaces, Councillor, you know well that I don't mean that.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Kavanagh.
CLLR KAVANAGH Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I just want to support C11r. Matthews and C11r. Behan. I think it is an extremely important part of any housing estate or any development, there has to be places for people to go and children to play for people so sit and enjoy the 15:15 weather or whatever. I think we need to protect those spaces as much as we can. we don't need development upon development upon development. We have to think of the people who live there as well. Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH okay. I'm going for a vote then on it. 15:16 ME. GALLAGER: Proposed by c11r. Matthews and seconded by C11r. Behan. [VOTE TAKEN] That's 21 for; five against; and six not present.
CATHAO RLEACH okay. 9.

MR. OBRIEN Material alteration number 9. This is on the Dargle River walk and basically stating that we won't go ahead with the walk unless we the agreement of residents, of then affected landowners. The chief Executive recommends against this and feels that it might be very, very hard to get a river walk if this is the case. This was explained at the last meeting as well.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Fox.
CLLR. FOX: Thank you, Chairman. I'm proposing that we 15:18 proceed with the amendment as per what went out on public display. I'm absolutely adamant that the on7y way to progress walkways is with agreement, consultation and agreement so I'm proposing that we proceed with the amendment.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN Cathaoirleach, I want to second that proposal.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEVE: Chairman, I want to propose that we
accept the Chief Executive's recommendation, or to alter the wording to "in consultation with I andowners" because effectively if we put in the words "agreement" it means the development cannot proceed unless the permission is given by an adjoining landowner. Now to me I think that really has implications for a planning authority, which you're introducing a third party that now decides whether development proceeds. I think it sets a really, really dangerous precedent and I think
it really undermines the role of the planning authority. Everything we do with planning here, whether it's Part 8 or whether it's private application, there's a public consultation process, submissions are received and they're assessed and reports are issued and we're all in a position to judge it. It's a very open and transparent and accountable process, the planning system. I trust in the planning system and believe in the system. However if we introduce this wording here, it has implications for every planning policy for every development in the County so I would propose that we stick with something like "in consultation with Iandowners". Access to the river is a very important thing. It's something that beam in Bray have had for hundreds of years. We put in a flood works and while it's very welcomed and what the flood works has achieved obviously offers protection to the town. But in a way we have reduced and limited people's access to the river. To put in a Dargle River Walk allows people access back to that river, allows them to enjoy the river as part of their town that they've enjoyed for generations. There's always been access to the river and that's what a walkway seems to achieve. It's a continuation of an existing walkway to (inaudible), I really think we would be damaging the planning process if we introduce third party agreements into the development and that has far-reaching implications for every application. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Fox.
CLLR. FOX: Chairman, I have to dispute that. I mean this does not have implications for the planning policy in County wicklow. The most successful walkway in the County, the Wicklow way, is by way of agreement with landowners. Nearly 100 landowners. And it is the mode1 for success. That is the same mode1 that we should be using on the Dargle River Walkway. We see walkways in other parts of the country where they were done by way of CPO and done by way of lack of consultation, particularly Sligo, the Galway Greenway and they ran into huge problems because there's a lack of consultation and agreement with landowners. It is the only way to proceed with developing trails and walkways is by consultation and agreement, okay. So I would dispute what C11r. Matthews is suggesting. So I would reiterate my proposal.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Winters.
CLLR. WNTERS: Thanks. I think there's a certain amount of merit, though, in what C11r. Matthews says. You know, this is going to be on publicly owned lands, it's not like we're going to be using anybody else's land so it's just going to be adjoining land. The greenways usually have to cut across people's land and then it has to be obviously in agreement but if it's adjoining land -- obviously if the land belongs to somebody else then it has to in agreement with them. But if it's only land, adjoining land that's in public agreement, we shouldn't have to have agreement from the
people of the adjoining land. It should only be where we're using land belonging to somebody else. The way that reads, it's "agreement with affected Iandowners" would mean that somebody would say that they are
affected if their land actually backs on to it, even though they don't own that land. So I think the word "affected" has to come out and it's only people's whose land we would be using, not adjoining landowners. Because there'11 be people who have a back garden that goes down to the river and they'11 go: 'I don't want anybody walking past the end of my back garden', and it's not their land to object to other people.
CLLR. FOX: Sorry, Chairman, nobody knows what the route is, whether it's crossing public or private land. The land, that ownership issue down there is very complex because of CPO orders that had to be done for the river protection, the Flood Protection Scheme. So there is land that the ownership is all over the place. There's public land going through people's back gardens down in Killarney Glen. Going through people's back gardens, which hasn't been resolved, as a sort of an aftershock of the River Protection Scheme. So the route hasn't been nailed down. So nobody knows if it's a hundred percent on public land or not. So that's why the wording "affected" had to be put in because the ownership of the land is all over the place at the moment because of the CPO process.
CLLR. WNTERS: Yeah, but "affected" actually could suggest that it's just people who are adjoining,
whereas if we were to put in -- like I agree, you can't go across somebody's back garden unless you've their permission, so it would be with landowners, you would have to do it in agreement with landowners who actually own part of the walkway.

CLLR. FOX: C11r. Matthews is not proposing agreement with landowners.

CLLR. WNTERS: what he said was "in consultation" but what I'm saying --
CLLR. FOX: Not in agreement.
CLLR. WNTERS: I said that there was some merit in what he was saying but I'd like to see it that it doesn't say "affected landowners". I'd like it to only be "I andowners" whose land is being used, it has to be in agreement with them. But it shouldn't have to be in agreement with all of the surrounding people whose land isn't being used.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Thornhil1.
CLLR. THORNH LL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. Cathaoirleach, I would just like to say I back
C11r. Fox's amendment in this case because the thing about it, about this particular case, I think the Wicklow County Council have been an author of their own misfortune because this case has been going on for years and years and years and the thing about it is, I mean at the end of the day it's agreement with the public. I mean after all wicklow County Council work with the people. Maybe I might just refer briefly to St. Paul's. You had the same type of parallel attitude
there and I think that we have to make it crystal clear, at the end of the day, that we're working with the people for the people and I think that is something that we should pass Cllr. Fox's proposal. Go raibh
maith agat.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Snel1.
CLLR SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Very interesting debate and when you're looking for agreement you only have to look at this Chamber and see very, very difficult to get agreement on anything. So while we
discussed in regards to 100 landowners and the most successful walkway in the County, also it would have taken, on the wording of this would have been just one of them, and the other 99, their wishes wouldn't have prevailed and we wouldn't have had that great walkway.

I tend to agree with C11r. Winters and C11r. Stephen Matthews here who is proposing this. I think it's a legal minefield in regards to who is affected and how they're affected and the wording certainly needs to be tightened up for both proposers. I sincerely take cllr. Fox's views on board. He's more familiar with this than a lot of us in the Chamber, but I couldn't agree with the wording here as it stands. I certainly couldn't. That you would need the consent -- it would interpretation of that, they may not necessarily be a landowner that's affected or they can say they're affected but it may not be crossing their land at all.

So I would certainly be supporting Cllr. Winters and cllr. Matthews on this issue.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Tommy Cullen.
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN $I t ' s$ a big thing, Chairman, to take somebody's property, private property. I can see where C11r. Fox has come to. Perhaps C11r. Fox should put a condition in his proposal, making a smal1 amendment, you know, pointing out that the Council have reserved CPO powers, which matters (inaudible) so I think that would cover everything. Notwithstanding the powers of CPO. If, for instance, any landowner would know that if you don't come to an agreement with the Council the Council will always invoke the CPO anyway. I'm not sure about what's being proposed here and what's the legal status of it. (Inaudible) this resolution is passed, is this banning the Council from using CPO powers on that piece of property? I don't think it does and I don't think we have the statutory authority to do so. If the Council really wanted the property, we could always invoke CPO powers. I think C11r. Fox's proposal (inaudible) subject to compulsory purchase CPO powers I think that should cover matters. CATHAO RLEACH CPO is already there.
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN That's what I'm saying. But I mean if you just mention that in it, subject to a compulsory purchase order.

CATHAOI RLEACH C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, a number of years ago you had seen planning carried out in exercise of walkways and
various issues throughout the County. The experience in regard to private ownership and that is an absolute minefield and really what we found, and certainly the exercise that we carried out at that particular point in time, one issue that kept coming through is that there has to be agreement on the lands by the landowners. That was key to anything that was happening in regards to walkways. Anything else we found throughout the country there was other counties that took a different attitude and it's a minefield. They'11 end up in the courts. Irish people will fight tooth and nail over a couple of square metres of land. we all know that. I know where cllr. Matthews is coming from on this as well. But I do feel it's absolutely vital that we get agreement from landowners. It won't happen unless that happens. we'd be tied up in litigation all over the place and I just feel this is the right way to go to get where we want to and I think it's desirable that we would have something like that on the River Dargle, whether it's on that side or the other side is another thing but the fact of the matter is I think it's desirable but I think we have to vote on it in the proper manner.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Behan.
CLLR BEHAN Just to follow on from what cllr. Vance has said. Like, I appreciate most people in the Chamber here haven't actually seen this in reality but in fact what is proposed is putting a river walk across these people's gardens. They own the land. So it's
not that they're peripherally affected, they own the land and they have proof that they own it. And if you don't put it at the end of their garden it's going to be out in the river somewhere. So they're being very, very reasonable. They have made many, many efforts to try and have some kind of dialogue with the management of the Council, but to no avail and they need to be protected, in my view. And I think what cllr. Vance has said. Cllr. Vance and Cllr. Fox have experience many years of negotiating with rights of way and so on. 15:30 If you start trampling on the rights of owners well then you're at nothing. So, again, Cathaoirleach, I support Cllr. Fox's proposal.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. o'brien.
CLLR. OBRIEN I'd like the word "maj ority" inserted there. "Consultation and agreement with the maj ority of Iandowners". I think it is unfair that one person could scuttle the whole thing that a majority and maybe kind of not just a 50:50 majority, but much more, like $90 \%$ or something like that, the majority of people in agreement.

CATHAO RLEACH Do you have a seconder for that proposal, C11r. O'Brien? You don't appear to have. cl1r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEVG: Cathaoirleach, thanks for letting me in again. I feel very strongly about this one so I appreciate you letting me back in. C11r. Fox and c 11 r . Behan and $\mathrm{Cl1r}$. Vance are talking about this particular CPO of land to the rear of people's back
gardens at Killarney Glen and that's the nub of the matter here. If it gives those Councillors any comfort I wouldn't agree to that route across the rear of their gardens either. I think it would have unnecessary impact on their amenity. The route I'm talking about on the river $I$ would see as being below those lands and actually along the river bank, not through the rear of those gardens. There is some confusion here about the CPO and who owns what land there but I think even if we owned it and we proved that we owned that land, it doesn't seem to be wide enough and it really would unnecessarily impact on people's amenities in their home and I actually wouldn't support that and that would come as a Part 8. I put it here on record, I wouldn't support that as a Part 8 if it goes through that route right at the back of people's gardens. But I think we need to keep it open that if we do it in consultation with landowners, because obviously if we're going to private land, we need to agree it with the landowner. If it's public land we don't need to agreement, we need to consult. The wording here is that you couldn't do any route along the Dargle River because we need agreement with affected landowners. Cathaoirleach, you could say yours affected by it from Baltinglass and you can reasonably argue it because you like to walk your dog up here.

Cathaoirleach, I think I would propose that we change the word "in consultation with I andowners".

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Cullen and then I'11 go for a vote.

CLLR. SHAY CULLEN Thanks, Chair. I'm after listening to the debate here on both sides but I suppose the one thing I would say on this is we're dealing with people's private land. I mean at the end of the day you just can't decide to bring a walkway through people's back gardens without having proper agreement with the landowner. I think that's the nub of the issue here. I mean I have some experience in this regard dealing with landowners through the Vartry walks that is happening in Roundwood and I've sat down with many landowners and reached an agreement with them to make sure that they're happy with what was being proposed and this is no different. You can't have a situation where private landowners can be forced to put a walkway through their back gardens if they're not in agreement with it.
CLLR. MATTHEVE: Could you ask the Director to clarify the matter?
or something, if there was some way to be more specific about how affected those landowners are, would that solve the issue? or would it have to be in agreement? I think Cllr. Winters might have mentioned it, there would have to be agreement with materially affected landowners rather than somebody who is not as significantly impacted. I'm not sure if there's a word that can be put in there
CATHAOL RLEACH It is still going to be vague, you know. I think I'11 just go ahead with the vote.
CLLR. MATTHEVG: Chairman, could you ask the Director to clarify whether this land is private or public 1and? MR. OBRIEN There's no land mentioned in this. CLLR. MATTHEWG: Not by yourself but by a lot of Councillors around the table. The land to rear of Killarney Glen that has been CPO'd, is that private or pub7ic 1and.

MR. O BRI EN If it's CPO'd it's public land. Sorry, I might have missed what you're saying.
CLLR. MATTHEVG: Thank you.
CLLR. BEHAN $W$ el1 sorry, it's not, it's private land. The land in question -- the land we're discussing is private land.
CLLR. MATTHEVS: we're discussing landowners here and if the land is owned -- if it's publicly owned land,

CLLR. BEHAN The nub of the debate is what actually happened with regard on the ground, how boundaries were drawn and so on. That land is private land owned by
the householders who live there. They have proof of that. They have tried to negotiate with the Council and have been rebuffed.

CLLR MATTHEVE: This is about removing a Counci1 policy rather than dealing with the land ownership. CLLR. BEHAN No, it's saying that this river walk should not be imposed on people in their private gardens.
CLLR. MATTHEVS: I'd agree, you can impose a walk on private land, but it's publicly owned land.
CLLR BEHAN The bottom line is there's a very strong difference of opinion about that.
CLLR. MATTHEVG: Chairman, just for the record if this objective is rejected we're now introducing third party agreements on to publicly owned land and that's a danger precedent.
CLLR BEHAN No, no, we're not, because it's private 1and.
CLLR. WNTERS: We have no problem with private land. You have to get agreement from private land. But we're 15:36 talking about people with border and private land -CLLR. BEHAN Landowners. That's what we're talking about.
CLLR. MATTHEVG: It's public land.
CLLR. BEHAN They are the landowners, Chair.
CLLR. WNTERS: That's not what it says. It is says "affected I andowners".

CLLR BEHAN correct.
CLLR. WNTERS: They mightn't necessarily be --

CATHAOI RLEACH A proposa1 --
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN what are we voting on?
M. GALLAGER: It is proposed by C11r. Fox and
seconded by C11r. Behan and that's the addition of the red, is it, cllr. Fox? Yeah. "To promote the use of the Dargle ri verbank, bet ween Br ay Harbour and La Vallee as a lei sure and nat ural amenity area, through the devel opment of a Dargle Ri ver Wal $k$ al ong the south side of the river, in agreement with affected

I andowners." C17r. Matthews is proposing -- are you 15:37 proposing in consultation or are you just proposing that we receive the Chief Executive's.

CLLR. MATTHEWG: In consultation with landowners.
MS. GALLAGER: So C11r. Matthews is proposing consultation. Do I have a seconder for that?

CLLR. WNTERS: I'11 second it.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Winters. So we will vote on the proposal by C11r. Fox, seconded by C11r. Behan.
[VOTE TAKEN]
CLLR. MATTHEVG: Chairman does that include 15 (b) then as well? Are you taking that as the same thing, are you?
MR. O BRI EN It's another material alteration, Cathaoirleach, and I think if this one falls that one falls.

MS. GALLAGER: That's 19 for; seven against; five not present; and one abstaining.
MR. O BRI EN Cathaoirleach, could I suggest we take $10,11,12$ and 13 together? These all deal with roads,
cycling, public transport. All the material
alterations were done at the behest of the NTA and it was really that they liked their wording rather than our wording. We're quite happy to let them have their wording.

CATHAO RLEACH I need a proposer for each one. CLLR. VANCE: I'11 propose all of them.

MR. O BRI EN I think the meeting's administrator is the expert on this, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Vance, you propose item 10, 11, 15:40 12 and 13.

CLLR. VANCE: Yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH Do I have a seconder for item 10, 11, 12 and 13 ?

CLLR. MATTHEWG: I'11 second that.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Matthews. Item 14.
MS. GALLAGER: Is that all agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRIEN Again, this is a similar one where the Department of Community Arts and Heritage.
CLLR. FORTUNE: what are we actually agreeing?
MS. GALLAGER: we agreed item 10, 11, 12 and 13.
CLLR. FORTUNE: But what are we agreeing.
MR. O BRI EN It's in your documentation there, Councillor. These were the material alterations passed 15:40 at the last meeting and they've been on display. No one made any submissions on them and the Chief Executive has recommended they proceed. It is as you were.

Material alteration 14, Cathaoirleach, is on architectural heritage. This is similar to the Department - and that includes heritage - wanted their particular wording put into it and we were happy with the changes. The Chief Executive recommends this.

CATHAO RLEACH Do I have a proposer? C11r. Matthews and C11r. Vance. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. OBRI EN Material alteration 5(a), this is about preservation of trees and there was a material alteration where considered to be a viable, safe and in line with sound arboricultural management. The Chief Executive recommends this.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposer? C11r. Fox. Seconded by 15:42 Cllr. Vance. Is that agreed? FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed. MR. O BRI EN (B) includes what we were discussing in material alteration 9 but as well as that it's something that the Chief Executive recommended before and it was put in more for safety reasons from challenges about appropriate assessment in special areas of consideration. That was passed at a previous meeting.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposer C11r. Fox. Seconder?
Cllr. Vance.
CLLR. MATTHEWG: Are you agreeing (b).
CLLR. FOX: No, I'm agreeing the appropriate assessment. 15(b), the first line has already been
decided.
CLLR. MATTHEWG: $15(\mathrm{~b})$ is the additiona1 text.
CLLR. FOX: Just the appropriate assessment text.
MR. O BRI EN You can only pass it twice, Councillor!

The next one is material alteration 16. This deals with Fassaroe. The Chief Executive recommended modification of this to change the phasing and to allow the active open space to be done in Phase 2.
cllr. Vance has put in a modification to change it from three phases to two phases and instead of having 1,500 units in Phase 1 there'11 be up to 2,000 units in Phase 1 and Phase 2 then will be the remaining residential units which is roughly 2,000 . The active open space, as opposed to what the Chief Executive recommended, would still be in Phase 1.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, I want to propose this. I feel that instead of having three phases there will be two phases and certainly I totally disagree with the Chief Executive in putting the sports and recreational campus in the second and third phases as well. I feel that that has to be in the first phase as well. If we have the two phases it's much cleaner, as far as I'm concerned. It moves the recreational land in there as 15:44 well. Also, a significant amount of social housing would come on stream as well there, up to 200 social houses we11. So as far as I'm concerned, I disagree with what the CE said in regard to what he was
proposing there. I want to formally propose what I have suggested.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN Cathaoirleach, I mean the story of this whole saga of this particular land is well worth people 15:45 looking into in the future because effectively what's happening here is a massive rezoning of land owned by the richest company in the country, Cement Roadstone Holdings, and one of the biggest developers in the country, Cosgraves, when their land has been zoned from industrial/business employment, a lot of it to housing at the last meeting. They're going to gain massively, mega, mega millions from this rezoning. And when I was discussing, at the last meeting when we discussed this I proposed that the community gain should be 100 acres out of the 400 acres for parkland and pitches and open space and various facilities because as far as I'm concerned the private gain that Roadstone and Cosgraves are going to make is going to be enormous.

Now I didn't carry the table last time, unfortunately. And what happened then was both companies came back in with submissions and the Chief Executive then felt it appropriate to come forward with a change where they would be allowed to split up the provision of the open space and the facilities to make it kind of easier for them to make a profit upfront. C11r. Vance has put in a proposal now to mitigate that a little bit but $I$ don't think it goes far enough. Now I would have liked
to have put the 100 acres back on the table here again today but I was told I couldn't because that was disposed of, dealt with at the last meeting. I still think there isn't enough land zoned for open space at all and I'm very disappointed that a majority didn't agree with me on that. And time will tell whether I'm right or wrong about it. But having said that, what we now have is cllr. Vance proposing that up to 2,000 residential units can be provided, as part of Phase 1 and we're going from three phases to two phases, but there's nothing to identify in that proposal when the open space is going to be provided in Phase 1. So in theory these developers could have a small town effectively built, 2,000 houses, without necessarily having the open space provided. Then they could stop for a while. So it actually is not sufficient to meet the needs of the community that would be living there and also what was hoped to be providing some of the shortfall in the rest of the Municipal Districts, which is certainly going to be impossible under this particular plan. So on that basis, Cathaoirleach, I'11 be voting against it.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Fox.
CLLR FOX: Thank you, Chairman. Well certainly this is the one area of the plan has, you know, I've reflected on. I suppose it's trying to find a balance between putting something in a plan and actually getting it delivered on the ground. I would like to second Cl1r. Vance's proposal because I think it takes
a pragmatic view and I suppose the open space and the sport zoning that we're talking about, I suppose the unfortunate part is it straddles two different landowners. One perhaps is more advanced in developing the lands than the other one. So I think reducing it to two phases, we are in a housing crisis after all. without compromising the community gain and leaving it till the end, I certainly would support. So I would formally second C11r. Vance's proposal.
CATHAO RLEACH Again I'11 go for a vote then please. MR. OBRIEN Cathaoirleach, may I set the record because Cllr. Behan said that the chief Executive put his proposal to make it easy for these companies to make a profit upfront and that's very, very unfair. This is the Planning and Development Act. We have to plan and we also have to have development. If you load so much in the early stages it's going to make it very, very easy to provide houses which are very, very badly needed, and especially in Bray. I mean we can send everyone down to Enniscorthy indefinitely but we have to provide for our own housing. I just put that on the record. That is not the reason the Chief Executive made that proposal. He made that proposal because we have to be in the real world and pragmatic to get houses brought forward.
CLLR. BEHAN I'11 correct what I said. The effect of the Chief Executive's proposal is to help these developers make a profit very, very quickly - and a huge profit. That would be the effect. I'm not saying
it's the intention. That's a fair point. I'm not saying they set out to do that. The effect of their recommendation, that's what's going to happen and the public and the community will have to wait; watch and see what happens.
MR. CURRAN We don't want the effect of no development taking place. we are in a housing crisis.
CLLR BEHAN They have the resources to provide the open space. No problem. Cement Roadstone are the richest company in the country. Are you saying they can't provide a few pitches and parkland before they get the profit on the houses, chief Executive? That's not real.
MR. CURRAR They have the option not to proceed.
CLLR BEHAN Ah, yeah, okay, we'11 see.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Vance.
CLLR VANCE: Chairman, I welcome people's interest in this. People weren't so interested a number of months ago, I was the only Councillor that put this forward at the pre-planning stage and backed it up then as well in 15:51 regard to that. Because it's such a huge issue now, it's a big issue out there now in that regard to this. I'm just saying recently I read the Development Plan (inaudible) in Dublin south in the Tallaght area and specifically in regard to a Development Plan that's going to bring in something like 20,000 of a population of and 8,000 houses that are going into this and specifically in that plan there was a recreational area and that recreational area was proposed to go into the
second and third phase as well in regard to that plan as well. So I'm just saying that we're ahead in regard to that. It's approximately about, what we're proposing is about half the plan that they are but effectively it means that it's shoved up first (inaudible). Under proper planning what most people would think, that's the way it should go but in this particular plan we're proposing that it goes upfront in regard to that first phase. Can we take a vote on it please? We've had this discussion again.

CATHAO RLEACH We are going to get the vote but I have two other people to speak. C11r. Sne11 then C11r. Matthews.

CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Again I'd like to thank the previous speakers. It's obvious that they've done a lot of work in regards to this whole development. I think in a time when we're crying out for housing units in North Wicklow it's most welcome. I agree with Cllr. Behan, he's right to be concerned in regards to delivering the infrastructure along with the 15:52 houses such as recreational and sporting activities but I do know that there has been a lot of consultation on that end as well. So I would sort of urge in regards to, everyone has to work hand in hand. We've all been inundated from sports clubs, voluntary organisations, particularly in and around Bray and I seen good people up there working very, very hard on the ground, whether it be boxing clubs or soccer clubs and the likes of C11r. Oliver O'Brien and Fergal Og's hurling, GAA and
camogie. But the other side of this is, can we get them to work hand in hand in regards to delivering the recreational space, the football pitches, the community centres, rather than waiting until the 2,000 units are built? I certainly know from listening to some of the Members here that I know they will be fighting the corner of people in Bray. I will support it a hundred percent. I think the $10 \%$ social houses that will come to the Local Authority is most welcome as well. But I can see where Councillors would be asking the questions 15:53 and here's the place to ask the question but I will support this a hundred percent.

I think going from three phases to two, again, should be supported. And to deliver this I think we need the support of everyone but $I$ can see where apprehension would be but $I$ will be supporting it.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWG: Chairman, can I ask where is the transport study for this area at this stage, because we 15:54 were talking about this back in February? These two phases probably will speed up the provision of public transport. There was 650 units, 658 units refused recently because of lack of public transport. We're talking about 4,000 unit. Can I ask where the transport study is? Thank you, Cathaoirleach. MR. OBRIEN Councillor, there has been a discussion draft of that transport study and I think it's going to be discussed a bit further amongst the two local
authorities. The NTA are obviously managing this process. I would imagine it's going to be out in the next month or so. It does show, there was a lot of proposals for five-minute frequency busse from Fassaroe into Bray.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. whitmore.
CLLR. WH TMDRE: Thanks, Chair. I'd just like to echo Cl1r. Behan's concern about this. You know, whether it's 1,500 or 2,000 houses there's specific number of houses that can be built without there being any community facilities. You know, from my limited experience over the past few years, developers tend to want to build their houses first before they put community services in unless they're specifically told they have to do it. So, yeah, I mean, I really wouldn't like to see the numbers going up to 2,000 before they have to provide anything. I understand there is an obvious need for housing in the area but there's a need for facilities as well and you would think a lot of young families will be moving into these areas and they could be living for two, three or four years before any facilities are provided, which is a lifetime for some children in particular. So, yeah, I would have serious concerns about that. And I actually think by clumping it together and having it to go to 2,000 what you're doing is actually increasing the number of houses that can be built before actually implementing community facilities.
CATHAO RLEACH Cl1r. Winters.

CLLR. WNTERS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Phase 1 is 1,500 residential units and Phase 2 is 1,500 residential units, that's 3,000 can be built before you actually have to put in any active space or sports zone. So the only community facilities would be the neighbourhood centre which has to include some community facilities. I actually think that's too many houses without any provision of amenities. what happens if we hit some sort of a crash again and there's 3,000 houses built and the developer goes bust? who's going to build the facilities then? It should be more phased. So, yeah, get your 1,500 in if you have to, to do Phase 1. But you have to have started and completed the active open space before you can start building the other 1,500 . 3,000 houses with no facilities is too much houses with no facilities. Thanks.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, you can condition the planning application when it comes in in regard to it. That's
where the control comes in. You can condition the planning permission in regard to it. There's a negative type of position taken up by some people in that regard to this but I suspect like if we do adopt this, that it will be a condition on any planning permission that a sizable amount of this is going to have to be produced as each phase of housing goes along. I mean that would be the logical thing that it can do. But we can't be prescriptive in regard to
this. That's going to form part of the planning application.
CLLR. WNTERS: we are being prescriptive.
CATHAO RLEACH I just want to go to a vote. I'11 let you speak and then we'11 go to a vote, because we've had a lot speakers.

CLLR. WH TMDRE: I mean I'd be satisfied if we were able to put those conditions in here or specify that that has to be, you know, significant consideration. I mean that would be okay. But I think the ability at the moment is whether it's to build 1,500 or 2,000 , you know, first up. I think that's too many. I really do. But if there was a way that we could actually incorporate those conditions to say that, you know, that there would be incremental provision of open space 15:58 of amenities that is in line with the number of houses or something within that phase --

CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, if we had a way, if we took the Manager's position there would be 1,500 units going in there without any recreational plan whatsoever. This is putting it into Phase 1. Simple as that.

ME. GALLAGER: So amendment 16, to proceed the proposed alteration of 16 and to further modify. Proposed by C11r. Vance and seconded by C11r. Fox.
[VOTE TAKEN] That's 20 for; four against; and eight not present.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 17.
MR. O BRI EN 17, Cathaoirleach, this is another similar one where the Department that includes
heritage, asked us to change some wording to do with the SAC. It was recommended by the Chief Executive. CLLR. MATTHEVG: I propose that one, Chair. CATHAOI RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Matthews. Seconder? Do you I have a seconder for item 17?

MS. GALLAGER: Going, going once.
MR. O BRI EN At change of wording.
CLLR. WNTERS: I'11 second it.
MS. GALLAGER: C11r. Lawless seconded it. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 18.
MR. OBRIEN 18 is Kilruddery. The Chief Executive is still recommending this not proceed, the same as the last day. This, in effect, took land out to the west of Kilruddery and substituted with the Foggy Field which is to the east of Kilruddery House.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: I'm proposing that we do proceed, Chairman.
CATHAO RLEACH Do I have a seconder? C11r. Fox.
Cl1r. Behan.
CLLR. BEHAN Chairman, I'm against this but obviously I was against building on the Sugar Loaf as well but I think until such time as facilities are provided on the 16:02 Southern Cross for the people who live there at the moment, it is wrong to be providing another housing estate on that road. That's why I'11 be voting against it.

CATHAO RLEACH Wi11 we take a vote then?
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, could I just say that we've taken out 240 houses that were behind those and since we've done that and since this went out to public consultation, not one letter have I got objecting to what this is from anyone that's looking for it. Not one. And that's why I want to formally propose it, Chairman.

MS. GALLAGER: Proposed by C11r. Vance and seconded by
C11r. Fox in relation to amendment 18 that you do proceed. [VOTE TAKEN] That's 21 for; two against; eight not present; and one abstaining.
CATHAO RLEACH Members, just before I go to the next item could I request permission to extend the meeting to 5:30? Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRI EN The next item is material alteration 19. It's on the former golf club lands in Bray and it's s change of wording from "at least" to "target" and from "park" to "open space". The Chief Executive recommends 16:04 this proceed.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Matthews.
CLLR. MATTHEWG: Chairman, can I just ask, the point there that the two hectares should be developed as public open space. who'11 decide the location of that 16:05 public open space?
MR. O BRI EN Probably in reality Bord Pleanála because it's going to be a strategic housing development application. It will be a development management
process.
CLLR. MATTHEWG: If it goes to another application? MR. O BRI EN Yeah.

CLLR. MATTHEVS: Okay. The planning authority will decide.

MR. O BRI EN: Yeah.
CLLR. MATTHEVG: okay, thanks.
CATHAO RLEACH Do have I a proposer? Is that agreed?
CLLR. BEHAN No, Cathaoirleach, can we have a vote.
CATHAO RLEACH Go for a vote on it then.
MG. GALLAGER: Number 19, proposed by C11r. Vance and seconded by C11r. Fox that we proceed. [VOTE TAKEN] That's 23 for; two against; and seven not present. MR. OBRIEN Material alteration number 20 there's (a) and (b). (A) was to allow a nursing home as part of the accepted use on the former De11 site and the second one, I think, was C11r. Matthews, from memory, that the height of the buildings close to the nearby houses. The Chief Executive recommends to proceed.
CLLR. MATTHEVG: I propose.
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Matthews; seconded by C11r. Vance. Is that agreed?

FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRIEN Material alteration 21. This is another
one of these ones where the NTA asked for their --
CATHAO RLEACH Did you cover (b).
MR. O BRI EN I did that was (a) and (b). So material alteration 21 is another one where the NTA asked for
their specific wording. We're happy to oblige. The Chief Executive recommends to proceed.
CATHAO RLEACH Do I have a proposer? C11r. Matthews. Seconder?

CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second.
CATHAO RLEACH Agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRI EN Material alteration number 22 is the AO Smith site. This was recommended by the Chief Executive from changing from employment to mixed use development. And there's also a map correction on that question.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed C11r. Matthews. Seconder?
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second that.
CATHAO RLEACH Agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRIEN Material alteration 23. This is on the Oldcourt House lands. There's a change in this to only go for the site on the eastern side of the site, not on the western side. Put it another way, the one near Charnwood was in and the one in Giltspur wood wasn't. The Chief Executive recommends to proceed.

CATHAO RLEACH Proposed?
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 propose.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Matthews second. Agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CLLR. BEHAN No. Cathaoirleach, can we call a vote on that.

CATHAO RLEACH Item 23 vote.

MS. GALLAGER: Proposed by C11r. Vance, seconded by C11r. Matthews to proceed with amendment number 23.
[VOTE TAKEN] That's 21 for; two against; and nine not present.
CATHAO RLEACH Item 24.
MR. O BRI EN This is on the Southern Cross Road. This site was zoned for neighbourhood centre and is now zoned for neighbourhood centre and residential. This is recommended by the Chief Executive.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Matthews?
CLLR. MATTHEVG: I might as wel1.
CATHAO RLEACH I feel like an auctioneer trying to do a bit.
CLLR. MATTHEWG: I'm trying to get through those 30 alterations.

CLLR. VANCE: I second that.
MS. GALLAGER: Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRIEN Then the zoning table. This was as a result of changes consequent. The Chief Executive recommends to proceed.

CATHAO RLEACH Proposer? Any takers? C11r. Fox. Any seconders? C11r. Lawless.

MS. GALLAGER: Is that agreed?
CATHAOI RLEACH Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH okay. Item 26.
MR. O BRIEN Okay, material alteration 26. This is the land above woodies which was zoned employment and
which was changed by the Councillors at the last meeting. The Chief Executive doesn't recommend to proceed.

CLLR. BEHAN Chairman, I want to propose that we do proceed with that. Do we have to have a vote on that separately? Yeah?
CATHAO RLEACH I think so.
CLLR BEHAN We made a decision at the last meeting, but can we vote again on it? If so I'm proposing.
Chairman, I'm proposing that we proceed with the amendment. My apologies. Not not proceed. Sorry, I'm obviously not agreeing with Mr. O'Brien.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Behan. Seconded by Cl1r. Vance. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CLLR. ANNESLEY: We11 done 1ads!
MR. O BRI EN To proceed with removing it?
CLLR BEHAN Correct. Well done.
MR. OBRIEN 27 is changing from existing residential to open state. This is in the Glen Court estate. I
think that was kind of a mapping error but the Chief Executive recommends to proceed.

CATHAO RLEACH Proposed C11r. Matthews; seconded
C11r. Behan. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRI EN Material alteration 28 from existing residential to neighbourhood centre. This was a car park that was erroneously zoned as existing residential. The Chief Executive recommends it.

CATHAO RLEACH Proposer? C11r. Winters. Seconder?
Cl1r. Vance. Agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRI EN 29 this was a map. Some people's gardens were mapped as open space. So this is really a map correction. The Chief Executive recommends to proceed.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposer?
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 propose.
CATHAO RLEACH Seconded C11r. Shay Cullen. Agreed? FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.

MR. OBRI EN Material alteration 30 , it was a delivery schedule. This was something the Department of Housing and Planning asked us to put in. The Chief Executive recommends it.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposer?
CLLR MATTHEV: I propose that.
ME. GALLAGER: Seconded C11r. Bourke. Is that agreed?
CATHAO RLEACH Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
MR. O BRIEN Okay then, we now have come to a vote on
the entire Local Area Plan. So the Chief Executive's report, as per the alterations agreed and the alterations agreed to be further modified by the Members, and any changes consequent be adopted and to adopted the Bray Municipal District Local Area Plan 2018-2024.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Matthews.
CLLR MATTHEVE: Cathaoirleach, I think this is a very good plan and I know I have proposed many of the
alterations and supported many of the others, I think there are some great objectives in it, however that material alteration number 9, in my view, introduces a very dangerous precedence of a third party private agreement on the development of public open spaces or public lands and I couldn't support a policy like that and for that reason $I$ won't be supporting this plan. Thank you, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAO RLEACH Do I have a proposer to adopt the plan?
CLLR. FOX: I propose we adopt the plan.
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 second it, Chair.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Fox and seconded by cllr. Vance. Could we have a vote.

CLLR. BEHAN Chairman, could I just say something on it please?

CATHAO RLEACH Sure, yeah.
CLLR BEHAN As C11r. Matthews has said, there are elements of the plan that certainly I would fundamentally disagree with and my objection is so fundamental I'm not going to support it but I do want to thank the staff of the planning section for the way they have worked with us and through the whole process. Obviously led by Mr. O'Brien and Sorcha and particularly, in my case Bernadette has been of fantastic help to me, personally, in all of the different inquiries that we had to make and the motions and so on.

So, as I say, I do want to thank the staff for their
assistance in that regard, Cathaoirleach. I will be voting against it, though, based on my serious objections but $I$ won't delay the meeting by saying what they are.
CATHAO RLEACH Thank you. Okay vote.
ME. GALLAGER: Prosed by C11r. Fox and seconded by C11r. Vance. [VOTE TAKEN] So that is 19 for; seven not present; and three abstaining.
CATHAO RLEACH Thank you, Members. Thank you.
Item 10: Variation No. 1 to the Wicklow County Executive's report and submissions made to the proposed material alterations to Variation No. 1 of the Wicklow County Development Plan 2016 and to make the variation with or without the material alterations, with or without further modifications or refused to make it. MR. OBRIEN This is very much a technical variation of the plan because most of the Bray Municipal LAP was actually in the County Plan Area, you have to vary the County Plan to take it out. I think the only people who made submissions were the Minister, the EPA and Transport Infrastructure Ireland. That's it.

The only material alteration the last day was to change subsequent from Kilmurray and Kilmacanogue being coalesced and zoned in between. So the chief Executive would recommend you make this variation. I can give you the proposal and if you want to debate it. "To make the variation number 1 to the $\mathbf{W}$ ckl ow County

Devel opment Pl an 2016-202 in accordance with the Chi ef Executive's recommendations and subj ect to any further modifications and any changes consequent as necessitated by the amendments to the adopted Br ay Muni ci pal Local Area Pl an 2018-2024 and the Arkl ow Envi rons Local Area Pl an 2018-2024."

CATHAO RLEACH Do I have a proposer for that? CLLR. LAVLESS: Cathaoirleach, can I just come in that? CATHAOI RLEACH Sure.

CLLR. LAWLESS: Just on page 10 and into page 11 on the 16:20 minute pack, can I just check something. Rural town for Kilmac. There's an increase of 115 for 2020, 2025 and 2028 but when you add the totals up it's actually minused in the red and I'm just wondering is that an actual error? should it have been plussed. It's 135,761 and then it goes to 13,5646 , should it not have went up by 115. So I just want to see if that's a mistake.

MR. O BRI EN It should have gone up.
CLLR. LAWLESS: That's page 10 of the printed copy and page 11 if anyone is using an iPad. So it's 115 right across the three years.

CATHAO RLEACH oh, it should have gone up.
CLLR. LAVLESS: Yeah. So the totals have gone down in red instead of going up by 115. I just want to check if that's an error. A typo error.
M. WALSH I can't see where this is.

CLLR. LANLESS: It's the page that's up there. See where it says: "Rural town Kilmac"?

MR. O BRIEN Councillor, you should be going out at the weekend, not be reading these things!
CLLR. LAVEESS: You know, I was glancing through. It's simple maths if you're increasing the numbers I know your total should be increasing not decreasing by 115. So you couldn't really sign off on it. It's in the total column here. So it goes from 13,5761 and you increase it by 115 and it should be 135,876 instead of 135,646 and that's right across 2020, 2025 and 2028 so I presume it's a typo error. But just before you sign off on it.

MS. WALSH we'11 correct that.
CLLR LAVLESS: I do read my documents I get. That's all.
MR. OBRIEN we'11 have to be careful of you, Nicola!
CLLR. LAVLESS: Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH I think the same totals in the bottom, the open countryside. They don't tot either.
Mb. GALLAGER: It must be. Excel sheet I'd say.
MS. MALSH The changes come about, chairman, because the figures for kilmurray at the moment in the County Development Plan are counted in that table under rural plusses and that has to come out of the rural plusses total because kilmurray is now part of kilmacanogue so the total for Kilmacanogue has to increase. So it should be a balancing, taking off one and adding to the other.

CATHAO RLEACH It's not the same difference, no?
M. KALSH we'11 correct that. That's what the change
is all about. It is amalgamating Kilmurray into Kilmacanogue. So the totals don't change. The amendment doesn't involve changing the County total population target. It's a redistribution.
CATHAO RLEACH Would that rural cluster reduction just ${ }_{16: 23}$ affect kilmurray?
ME. WALSH Yes, because kilmurray's the only town that's subject to this.
CATHAO RLEACH It won't affect other rural clusters?
M. YALSH No. We're not discussing them.

MR. OBRIEN I'm glad you found Sorcha's deliberate error!

MS. WALSH I didn't think any read my spreadsheets!
MR. O BRIEN I would also like to pay tribute to the team for planning because the documentation you get, I know a lot of it is the same stuff, just produced in different ways, but it does make it very, very easier for me up here going through it, and I'm sure for yourselves as well. These can be very, very confusing. I would like to thank Bernie and Lisa and Holly and Sorcha.

CATHAO RLEACH Do I have a proposer then for item 10, subject to the technical area? Do I have a proposal? CLLR LAVLESS: once the technical error is changed I propose.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Lawless proposed; C11r. Fox seconds. Is that agreed? we have to go for a vote sorry.
[ VOTE TAKEN]

MS. GALLAGER: That's 23 for; eight not present; and one abstaining.

CATHAO RLEACH Thanks, Members. Item 11.
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN Chairman, are you going to take Suspension of Standing Orders at 4:30? would it be better to take them now, Chairman?

CATHAO RLEACH It's 4:26. I might take the Suspension of Standing Orders then because if there's going to be a presentation on Item 11 it may take a little bit of time. The meeting, by the way, for your information, is extending until 5:30. You weren't here when we agreed that.
CLLR TOMM CULLEN I know, Chairman, but -CATHAO RLEACH That's what I'm saying, we will take the Suspension of Standing Orders now. This next item could take 10 or 15 minutes.
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN You're going to take them now, Chair, are you?
CATHAO RLEACH Yeah.
CLLR TOMMY CULLEN Thanks.
CLLR. SHAY CULLEN Can we take this now? I don't think it will a while, we discussed this at the Municipal level.

CATHAO RLEACH He is going to do a presentation though.
MR. NCHOLSON Really it's just showing the drawings. It won't take long. It will take two or three minutes. CLLR. WNTERS: Go ahead with Michael's presentation. CATHAO RLEACH Can I trust the Members, then, you're
saying two or three minutes?
CLLR. W NTERS: Yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH We'11 go with Item 11 then.
MR. NCHOLSON: Thank you, Cathaoirleach. Members will be aware of the history of the new wicklow Library. The Council acquired a building that was formerly used as the Ulster Bank in wicklow Town up at the Mall in Wicklow. We have engaged architects who have come up with some design concepts which we're now going to for tender documentation. We would certainly hope to have the construction, there's not much construction, most of it is internal, starting in September/October. It's a nine-month contract so by July/August next year we hope to have the library open. This will replace the existing library up at the back of the old courthouse.

So I just put together a few slides. The first one there just shows you the building and it shows you how it's addressed from the Stone Bridge and (inaudible) to the east so it's a nice location with spectacular views 16:28 from top to bottom, left and right.

That's the location of it there. You can see we have attempted to link it to Fitzwilliam Square and the Market Square. So it's going to bring life back to a lot of dereliction there in that part of the town so it should bring a bit of life back there. That's how it will look from the front, looking
straight on. It's actually a six-storey building; two storeys below ground and four storeys above ground. What we propose to do is just recess the front there, that's the image on the right. Those of you who know the Arklow Library will see we have done something similar there. It's quite a narrow footpath, so in order to give a turning swerve for buggies and wheelchairs we're recessing the front of it in, making that double height there so it will look nice in the
front. extended out the windows on top and also a little sort of a box window halfway up, just to give a nice little feature and take away the blandness at the back of it.

Now, starting at the very bottom, this is the first one 16:29 on the very bottom. There's two floors below ground, this is the lowest one and this will be a local studies area, also an area of delivery where we will bring all the books, et cetera, in at the very bottom of salt House Lane. That will just have an area for people to do local studies.

Next floor up is, we're creating an archive section. We're moving the archives down so it will be a library
and archives. There will be a whole floor of archives. The architect will be based there full-time as well and she'11 be on hand at all times. we'11 have some rooms at the back to allow people to study and do research. we'11 have big tables to lay out plans, et cetera.

That is underground. It will be climate controlled because we have to keep -- we have documentation going back to the 1700s. We have the first ever minute books of the Wicklow Borough Council with very important artefacts, et cetera, which we be all housed there in climate controlled setting.

As you walk in from the street the first room you'l1 meet will be the children's lending room. Roughly 4,500 books. It has been designed with children in mine. We have tables and chairs at the front window there so people can sit and enjoy a read. We'11 have a reception desk as well.
we'11 something very unique on this floor. We're the first Local Authority in the country to provide an adult changing facility. It's a very large toilet there at the back. There's none anywhere in the country yet provided by a local authority. There's only five in the whole country; four in Dublin and one in Limerick. This is an area for adults, I suppose, who find that changing facilities in the smaller, baby changing facilities aren't big enough. It's really a
larger toilet. If Cl1r. Murphy was here today she would be quite excited about this. It's a pity she's not here. I know she has been pushing this very, very hard. We hope with Disabled Federation of Ireland, through C11r. Murphy, to get this installed here.

The next floor up then would be the adult lending 10,000 books, 1,000 audio DVDs, 216 metres squared. This will be again, a small reception area, a small office. Again toilets. Loads of room for books.

The next one up will be the IT room where we'11 have 25 they're called reading desks, they're actually IT stations where people can access IT. And again loads of room there for people.

Then at level 5 we have an exhibition/meeting room. We're leaving this more or less open plan but we'll have reception, we will have exhibitions of paintings, et cetera, in the same way as we use Arklow Library for other events apart from library uses. There's a lovely veranda out the back to take advantage of the spectacular rules.

That's it, Chairman. I would recommend the Members adopt -- the reason why we did the Part 8, by the way, is because it's a change of use from a bank to a 1ibrary. There was no submissions. Two recommendations from the local engineer which will be
taken on board and I would recommend the Part 8 for adoption.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Dunne.
CLLR. DUNE: Thank you, Chairman. I would like to welcome this development in wicklow Town. In a growing 16:32 capital town it's a great thing. I'm delighted with some of the ideas that have been proposed by Director Nicholson. The recess in at the front was causing a wee bit of concern with buses and cars going past that area so that is welcome.

It's in an ideal area. There is two schools within the area. We will be a large growing town and that end of the town also needs, it needs some life up there. Hopefully it will double the visits, which obviously means more books coming out of the library as well. It's in walking distances from most parts of the town. I know people are encouraged to walk to the library, not to park.

The plan, $I$ have been in the building, it's six storeys high and I think the archives is good up there. It will be great for the local people to access it quicker. So I welcome the development and I also would like to propose the Part 8.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Shay Cul1en.
CLLR. SHAY CULLEN Thanks, Chairman. First of a11, I would just like to thank you for taking this item. It is a very important item for the wicklow Municipal

District. We discussed it at our local meetings and as has been said, the acquisition of this building has been highly significant for the town of wicklow. A magnificent building, obviously a former bank, as you said, over six floors. I want to compliment Michael Nicholson and indeed the County Librarian, Brendan Martin, firstly to acquire this building. It's an area of the town that we have discussed on many occasions, at our Municipal District, that is in need of real support to try and get the public to use the upper part of Wicklow Town. This will certainly be an addition to the recently opened courthouse, not courthouse, Town Hall I should say, in the top of the town. I wish the courthouse was open. We'11 hope for that soon as we11. Certainly the Town Hall in the top of the town.

So like Cllr. Dunne, I want to obviously formally second his proposal to proceed with the Part 8 and it's a very significant day for this item. Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Sne11.
CLLR. SNELL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I'11 be brief. As I said at the Corporate Policy Committee meeting this morning I thought it was very important that this presentation was on the agenda today. I thank the Director, Michael Nicholson, for his presentation and also to all the staff in the Community, Cultural and Social Development Section. An awful lot of very good work has been done in that section for quite a
considerable amount of time and I suppose this would bear the fruits of that now. Like previous speakers, all six Municipal District Councillors welcomed this at every various stage up to now and I fully support the part 8.
while I am on the subject in regards to the County Town and the Upper mall in particular, this will obviously bring new life to the area. If I could commend the Chief Executive in bringing life back into the old Town наll as well, which was vitally important in the Market Square in wicklow Town. Maybe if michael and his staff when you're searching that old bank up there, if there was any money in the safe could they put it into refurnishing the courthouse! That's the next project on all six Councillors' agenda. I want to commend all the staff.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Winters.
CLLR. WNTERS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach, and thanks, michael, for your presentation. The new library in wicklow Town will make a tremendous difference to the town and not just as a library, but moving the archives from here to the new library, there's a perception that what's in here is kind of just for the staff or it's difficult to get access to and I think the availability of the public records up in the library would be a huge plus.

I want to thank you for the adult changing facilities.

I know many families who end up having to use the floors of disabled toilets so it is wonderful to actually have a proper adult changing facility and well done. It's a fantastic thing. Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Cl1r. Nolan.
CLLR. NOLAN Thank you, Cathaoirleach. I just want to echo a lot of what has been said already. I just want to thank Michael Nicholson and Brendan Martin and his staff in the Community, Cultural and Social Development Section. They have done wonderful work on this. It's a beautiful, modern facility. It will revitalise that end of wicklow Town which has suffered greatly. we're starting to see revitalisation in that part of wicklow Town, the new refurbished Town Hall and hopefully, as c11r. Shay cullen said, we'11 soon have the courthouse back up and running.

This beautiful new library is an amazing boost to the town and hopefully it will be well utilised by the people of the town. I'm sure it will be. It's a
testament to the hard work of the staff in the Community, Cultural and Social Development Section. Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH Thank you. C11r. Ruttle. CLLR. RUTTLE: As Chairman of the SPC I would like to congratulate the Director and all his staff, including Brendan Martin, on all the were they for getting the project to this stage. (Inaudible) a lot of inundated thinking in it. We have Arklow already. It's a great
added bonus in our whole programme our library programme and also improvement in that programme. So well done to everybody involved on this good development. Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Kavanagh.
CLLR KAVANAGt Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I just want to add my congratulations to Director Nicholson and to Brendan Martin and the whole team. I think this is a wonderful development for the town. It's cutting edge. It's modern. It's going to absolutely -- it's going to 16:39 be very exciting for the town. I think people when they get the details of it will be just counting down the days until it opens.

On that point, I just wonder is there any chance that are we could have details of the slides that you showed there today just to send out to at least to the wicklow Municipal District Members because there's a lot to go through there and there's a lot of very modern features that, you know, it was a lot to take in so it would be nice to have something to read over it possible, thank you.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Bourke.
CLLR. BOURKE: Cathaoirleach, we're very proud of the library in Arklow it's really, really successful and
it's only about two years open now and visitors are hopping heading towards the 80,000 to 90,000 annum. I wish wicklow every success and I think, Director, if you can deliver on that and emulate what you have done
in Arklow and what your predecessor before you Joe Lane did for us in Arklow, it will be a great credit and a great legacy and I wish you luck with it.
CATHAO RLEACH Okay. Thanks, Members, I have a
proposal.
MS. GALLAGER: Proposed by C11r. Dunne, seconded by C11r. Shay Cullen. [VOTE TAKEN] That's eight not present; and 24 for.
MR. N CHOLSON Thank you, Members.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Kennedy, do you want to come in there?

CLLR. KENEDY: Cathaoirleach, can we take 16 next? It's taking in charge of housing estates and it will only take a minute.
CLLR TOMMY CULLEN No, Chairman, now.
CLLR KAVANAGH It will only take a minute if c11r. Cullen wouldn't mind.
CATHAO RLEACH The last one I was told would three minutes and it was 15 minutes. I take your point.
Item 16 I'm happy to take item 16.
CLLR KENEDY: I propose it.
CLLR SHAY CULLEN I'11 second it.
CATHAO RLEACH Let's do each one.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, this the problem when you get Suspension of Standing orders the whole agenda where items like this has been waiting for months to happen. CATHAO RLEACH We have a couple of items. There are financial statements as well.
CLLR VANCE: That's what I mean.

CATHAO RLEACH Just item 16 I'11 take. To take in charge the following, the first one, Avondale Meadow, Rathdrum.

CLLR. KENEDY: I propose that.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Kennedy and seconded by C11r. Fitzgerald. Is that agreed?

FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Castlelynn, Bray, proposed to be taken in charge.
CLLR. VANCE: I'11 propose that.
CATHAO RLEACH Seconder?
CLLR. THORNHLL: I'11 second it.
CATHAO RLEACH Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Djouce Meadows, Roundwood (Phase 1 and
2). Proposed by C11r. Shay Cullen.

CLLR. NOLAN I'11 second that.
CATHAO RLEACH Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed
CATHAO RLEACH Mar1ton Court, Wicklow.
CLLR. DUNE: I propose that.
CATHAO RLEACH Proposed by C11r. Dunne, seconded by
C11r. Sne11. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Riverwalk Ashford. Proposed by
C11r. Winters; seconded C11r. Sne11. Is that agreed?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Just to note the annual financial
statements, Item 13 , we can take that. Proposed --

CLLR. WALSH I'11 second that.
MS. GALLAGER: while we're there will we do the Wicklow JPC Annual Report. It is for noting.
CATHAD RLEACH Item 17: To consider the wicklow
County Council JPC annual report year ended 31st
16:43 December 2017. Proposed by C11r. Sne11 and seconded by Cl1r. Walsh.

Now Suspension of Standing Orders. I think we have three items.

CLLR. TOMM CULLEN Take 18 , Chairman, if you want to. CATHAO RLEACH Okay. To consider a nominee to the AILG to replace Cllr. Whitmore.
MS. GALLAGER: Just in relation to that item --
CLLR. BEHAN I want to propose C11r. Thornhill for that.

CLLR. ANESLEY: I second that.
ME. GALLAGER: Just in relation to that, that's a nomination for the Greystones Municipal District and also that nominee will be a permanent delegate to the Standing Policy of Association.

CATHAO RLEACH Proposal for C11r. Thornhil1 proposed by C11r. Bean seconded by C11r. Fortune.
C11r. Annesley, did you want to say something?
CLLR. ANESLEY: I was going to second it.
CATHAOI RLEACH Is that agreed then?
FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH Since we're on a roll.
CLLR BEHAN Ah, no.

MR. CURRAN Number 12 is very straightforward as well it is just a proposal from the SPC and we're happy to do it.

MR. OBRIEN At the moment when you get your chief Executive's reports for plans, et cetera, technically we can't put them on website until you've seen them and discussed them at a meeting. The reality is that everyone gives them to someone but it doesn't make us look very transparent. I know there's a case in Dublin City where they didn't give it out until 5:00 o'clock and it was on Six one news people analysing it. So what the SPC, and C11r. Bourke said I could say it, discussed was leave it with the Members for a week so they have exclusive use of it and then we'11 put it up on the website.
CLLR WNTERS: I think that's a good idea.
CLLR VANCE: I want to propose that.
ME. GALLAGER: Proposed by C11r. Vance; seconded by Cl1r. Winters.

CATHAO RLEACH Is that agreed then?
CLLR. FORTUN: what are we doing?
Mb. GALLAGER: The reports that you got circulated with today, they go up on the web a week after you get them.

CATHAO RLEACH The suspensions now. In fairness
Cllr. Cullen was the first person to contact me with a Suspension of Standing Orders.
CLLR TOMM CULLEN Chairman, it's about the graveyard policy. It's three years in gestation now almost and
it has gone around the house; the wicklow County Council, the SPC. It's gone through, there was a special committee set up and then it was brought before here and then we sent it back to the various municipal authorities to make their recommendations for their own particular districts. Chairman, my view is that in our Baltinglass Electoral Area we made our recommendations last September and, I think, Chairman, that my own view is that we should accept the recommendation of the various Municipal District Authorities they have indicated and there's different needs, different graveyards in different areas in the different geographical areas of the County. There's nothing uniformity about them. You couldn't have uniformity about them. It is causing a lot of stress for a number 16:47 of families who now can't, because of this hiatus in this decision, can't put headstones up in certain graveyards and that's causing a lot of upset to the families naturally enough.

Chairman, I propose that it would be a priority issue on the June agenda if that is agreed.
CATHAO RLEACH I'd like to second your proposal. The issue is that certain parts of the County have different policies and certain derogations that should apply to different parts of the County because, for instance, in west wicklow lawn cemeteries are practically unheard of. In other parts of the County they may be more acceptable. I think a
one-size-fits-all doesn't work in relation to graveyards policies and I think each District policy and what has been agreed, certainly in Baltinglass, we agreed unanimously on our policy and I think that should be the policy that applies in that particular area of the County. And, equally, each different district should be allowed to have their particular say. I understand we can have an overarching kind of policy for the County and I have no problem with that but it should take account of local differences. I'd be certain7y anxious that, $I$ know there's a proposal to put it back to the SPC at the end of this month. I'd be very anxious that we finally finalise this issue at our June meeting and reach agreement at that point.
CLLR. RUTTLE: I'd support that, Cathaoirleach.
CATHAO RLEACH Is that agreed then?
FROM THE FLOOR: Yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH okay. Second item Suspension of Standing Orders, C11r. O'Neill.
CLLR. O NEILL: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. Again, I'd like 16:49 to thank the nine Councillors from this side of the County that came out to a meeting in the Blessington two weeks ago in support of the route that's in place now to further the idea of -- what Minister Ross has done here in the last couple of months he has called on 16:49 us to suspend any works on the N81 and that being the new road. We were promised this road eight years ago that a carriageway would go from Tallaght to Hollywood but however we were informed by the TII there a couple
of months ago that this road won't be going ahead. That the monies are going into the more, into the carriageways that's in existence at the moment. But we all know the record of the N81, you know, it's been seven times above the national average for head-on collisions. A huge amount of people, you know, for us to argue as regards this road is a must. So cllr. Cullen mentioned earlier on to get the Minister at this meeting here, a council meeting. I can tell you that we, as a Municipal area we wrote on two occasions to Minister Ross and he didn't reply to the first one. On the second one he sent us back a one-liner. So I don't think I'd hold out much hope for him coming to a full Council meeting.

I would urge everyone here to support this. It's just a letter to the Minister to reverse his decision on the N81. I know there's a meeting organised for 13th June with the Minister. There's been a dispute about who organised this, that or other but I've been informed by Andrew Doyle anyway that there's a meeting on the 30th at 10:00 o'clock with a group from Blessington which will include the Blessington District Forum.

We're looking forward to meeting the Minister on that day but I think that a letter here from the Council, you know, that we are in a bad way. At the moment there's a stretch there, a 300-metre stretch from tallaght to Hollywood and that's frozen at the moment
so that means that the 100 landowners, farmers, they're in limbo at the moment. They can't do anything with this land and that's closed now since March 2016. And according to the TII there won't be a review on the upgrading of the road until 2027. So that's just clearly not acceptable to the people of West Wicklow that they can do nothing with their lands. So for full clarification I'd urge the Council here, all my colleagues here in wicklow County Council just to support this motion to write a letter to the Minister asking him for, if possible, meet him okay, but at least to have a look at and review his decision of three months ago.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Tommy Cul1en.
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN Chairman, I propose that we would invite the Minister, Shane Ross, who is a former member of this Council, I sat behind him for eight or nine years here as councillor so he's very familiar with this Chamber and wouldn't be a stranger and he'd be most welcome. I think as a matter of courtesy we should invite our former colleague here to come down to this Chamber and address us as to this decision. I think it's a very retrograde decision to the West and South wicklow and in large parts South Carlow as well is affected and anyone who is travelling that road in the mornings can see that.

The other issue, Chairman, is that we really do need to look at a more integrated transport policy for the

Baltinglass Electoral/West Wicklow area. I will be asking that the Luas line is extended to Blessington as a part of -- if that road is not going to be built, if that dual carriageway is not going to be built then the very least that the Luas line would be extended from Citywest to Blessington and that the 65 Bus Route would now travel as far as Baltinglass for at least four times a day to make up the shortfall in this carriageway not being built, if that is what they're going to do. If the Government are not for changing. Chairman, as a public representative for west wicklow, and somebody who is born and reared in West Wicklow and lived their all my life the same as yourself. I have to say publicly and it is something that needs to be said. West Wicklow has been very badly and South Wicklow have been very badly left down by the five TDs we have elected representing our county. They have completely ignored West Wicklow. They only use it for votes and I really do think it's time that West Wicklow got back its own TD. If we had our own TD at this table this may not have happened and I think that, Chairman, I honestly think -- I know it's a controversial statement but I'm going to say it. West Wicklow and South wicklow need its own TD and if we had our own TD at least we would have someone above in the Dái1 fighting us. As far as I'm concerned, all the TDs are on the east and north of wicklow and don't care too much about this road going into west wicklow because we are left there with nobody there at the table. And the
sooner we have a TD back in West and South Wicklow, the better as far as I'm concerned.

FROM THE FLOOR: Hear, hear. Hear, hear. Hear, hear. CATHAO RLEACH Follow that, C11r. Sne11!
CLLR. SNELL: Thanks. As a Councillor that got in my car and drover over to West Wicklow to an attendance of over 200 people at that public meeting and it was obvious there was support from a11 around County Wicklow, north, east, south and west for the people of West wicklow at that meeting. I was a little bit surprised that previous speakers didn't attend that meeting but how and ever, you know, some of us drove from huge distances to be there.

I just want to formally second the proposal from
C11r. O'Neill in regards to writing to the Minister and I think it would be universal to say that al1 32 Councillors would agree with previous speakers and the sentiment of writing this letter. Irrespective of how the TDs got on in talking to the Minister or otherwise, 16:56 I think it is taking too long. On 19th January this was announced and up to today, you know, it's still very vague in regard to what's going to happen. So I think it needs to go out loud and clear from the 32 Members here that we as publicly-elected Members of County Wicklow support this new infrastructure in west wicklow. It's one of the main routes connecting west Dublin all the way down through west wicklow down into the borders on to Carlow and wexford as well and I just
think that, you know, it's long overdue. If
cllr. Cullen is looking for a seconder in regards to hi proposal to invite the Minister I would second that as well. But I would suggest that the Minister take the route of the N81 and perhaps come across the Wicklow Gap or the Sally Gap to come to the Chambers here. I feel that it's not too strong of a sentiment to say that, you know, he's actually a previous member of this Chamber, yeah, but, you know, shame on him. He's let down the people of County Wicklow not just west but all of County wicklow. Shame on minister Ross and I just want to concur with my colleague, C11r. O'Neill.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Lawless.
CLLR LAVLESS: Thanks, Cathaoirleach. As one of the previous speakers that couldn't make the meeting, and as much as I'd like to divide myself in two, as a busy Councillor you can't always get to all the meetings. I wholeheartedly support C11r. O'Neill's motion to send a letter to the Minister to see will he reverse his position on the N81. To be honest, it doesn't really matter who's arranged what meeting; the consensus here in this Chamber is everyone's on the same, you know, wavelength here. we all want the N81 upgraded. we all want it safer because as the statistics show, it is a very dangerous stretch of the road. I just think it's very welcomed that there is so many active community groups over in West Wicklow as well. As I say, the meeting on the 13th there is invitations to those community groups to come in and meet the minister as
wel1 as the TDs will be meeting as well. But I do also second, I know Cllr. Cullen has gone --

CLLR TOMM CULLEN $I$ 'm here, don't worry about me. I'm back.

CLLR. LAMZESS: I think having the Minister in to the
Council Chambers would be very welcomed as well, to have an open discussion on it. Maybe that could be arranged after the 13th and maybe a report could be brought back. I'm sure C11r. O'Neill will be going to those meetings and he will probably come back and report to the Council Chamber on it and we can go from there. Thanks.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Ruttle.
CLLR. RUTTLE: Yes, Cathaoirleach, like others I would like to thank the Members all across the County for
turning up in the meeting we had in Blessington on this very important issue to us in that region. It was good to see so many over. Certainly I have no problem in a letter going forward from this Chamber backed, by all Councillors, that this matter be reversed and be dealt with as expeditiously as possible. I know there's a meeting organised for the 13th with the Minister at Leinster House but I don't particularly see anything wrong with inviting him along here. He will probably say why should I go down there then but I think we should extend that invitation anyway.

This business is going on too long. If it goes on too much longer, as C11r. O'Neill outlined, this corridor,
business is highly restrictive on landowners and on farmers and what they can do with their lands by way of planning or housing or indeed anything else, when they're stocking their animals. We have to get some resolution on it. The traffic is not getting any less, 17:00 it's getting busier. There's more on it. with the redevelopment of the construction industry we see more lorries and more vans travelling. It's a very dangerous road. It's the last national route going into Dublin which hasn't been improved at all. This is 17:00 actually the same as it was in the 1960's and now we're in the 21st century. I think we should certainly write the letter to the Minister outlining the views of this Council here.

We should also look for him to come and meet this Council. We'11 do the maximum job possible at the meeting on the 13th to impress upon him at Leinster House our concerns of what is going on and what's happening here. I know out-of-kilter the condition of what that road is compared to with the N11 in the east of the County. Thank you, Cathaoirleach. CATHAO RLEACH Cl1r. Blake. CLLR BLAKE: I certainly would support any initiative that can progress the bypass of Blessington. From Hollywood across to Tallaght certainly the road is, as people have said, quite a lot of serious accidents taking place over the years and it is certainly a stretch of road that needs to be addressed. A
significant part of the bypass of Blessington has already been done. I think there has to be a priority to finish off the actual bypass (inaudible) we should be concentrating on. (Inaudible).

It is 20 years ago since I came on the Council here first and that particular stretch of road was being discussed then. I didn't hear a lot of support for it at that point in time. There was a lot of questions being asked about where it was going. There was very little agreement of where it could be built at that particular point in time. (Inaudible) of progress regards the work being carried out on it. I don't know if anything has actually changed at the moment. It was on the drawing boards is all it ever was. I don't know if they have been knocked off it in that regard. And equally so, while we're pressing for that particular road to be done I think equally so the R747 linking Baltinglass with the N9 I think is important as well. (Inaudible) Chairman, thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. o'brien.
CLLR. O BRI EN $I$ was at that meeting and just the feeling in the room being at that meeting, the feeling that the suffering of the people in west wicklow are going through. The same issues they were talking about, the fact that there is three times the amount of accidents on that road. That's very, very serious. If they're not prepared to take serious matters like that on board, a minister for transport can decide that and
say we're shelving that. What he's saying there is I'm shelving human lives because that road it's the most dangerous road in Ireland and it's absolutely incredible that they can go on like that. (Inaudible) it's absolutely shocking. I mean I support a vote but I think a lot more than a letter is needed at this stage. You can feel the vibrancy of the people of west Wicklow to do something. They obviously feel that they have very, very left behind and rightly so. I don't know what to say about it. I sometimes get the feeling 17:03 it is almost a European strategy here to keep west Wicklow as kind of a field for the Germans to go down the old country roads and you leave wicklow way behind. I'm just so shocked that can you could also freeze people -- I think C11r. O'Neill mentioned there that one of the reasons that (inaudible) are frozen and they can't do anything. That's a shame. You have a plan put forward and you're not prepared to implement the plan. It's just a very, very -- it's beyond comprehension here. People should be going in and
saying get out here and sort out this problem immediately. That's what is needed.

CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Fitzgerald.
CLLR. FITZGERALD Just, I attended that meeting and had to change plans to go there because I felt it was necessary to give my support to the Committee there. But I remember in the 1980s and most of the '90s we were choked in Arklow and you couldn't move at the time when I worked in a factory there and it took an hour to
go three miles to come home from the factory. That changed in 1999 with the opening of the bypass. I heard people -- I just listened to the people at the meeting, I'm not into farming and I know there's some land sterilised there but there were people there saying they leave Blessington at 4:30 to get to work in Dublin and to get home at 4:00 o'clock in the evening. It certainly gave a great insight as to how the people in the area have been affected. I travelled that road there a lot there in the last month and I can safely say you won't find a more difficult road to drive with traffic on it and possibly a more dangerous road to drive. The statistics that were given, I don't think whether was Jim or Gerry gave statistics at the meeting, the number of head-on collisions that have been on that road. The people there related, one woman said she wouldn't be living in the house, the kitchen would be gone and other people couldn't put on extensions and they couldn't get planning permissions. But, look it, in a difficult time, I did say at the meeting that the minister should meet the committee. I understand there is a meeting set up for the 13th or whenever date, in June anyway. And I'm sure, as cllr. cullen said, he was sitting for nine years behind Minister Ross and he might go to the front and persuade 17:06 him to go to the meeting here.

The last thing, I just texted Billy Timmins to say that cllr. cullen has launched his election campaign in west
wicklow!
CLLR. RUTTLE: Great to see it.
CLLR. FI TZGERALD He came back and said you must be joking and I said I'm not joking, he's just launched
it. That's why he was the beard shaped up and all!
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN In fairness I have a fairly good election auld election record!

CATHAO RLEACH Thanks, Members. I would like to say briefly, I'm delighted to see everybody actually now in favour of this new N81. There was a time when people weren't in favour of it. It's great that we have unanimity around the table in relation to this.

I drive this road every day, wel1 20 miles of it, up and down every day and it is a very dangerous road.
The main reasons for improving it are, to me, on is just a danger. It has a record -- there has been detailed surveys done over the years and they have shown that it has been one, and is one of the most dangerous roads in the country. That's the reason we 17:07 got the $€ 3$ million for the Knockroe Bends just south of Hollywood Cross just purely on grounds of valuing human life. The numbers added up to prove that it was actually well worthwhile investing just to save human life. So that is a major reason why the N81 should be 17:07 upgraded.

The other major reason is that west wicklow is economically underdeveloped and by putting in a new
road and improving the road, it gives better access to the city for whatever, industry jobs, whatever jobs you want to talk about. So that's actually a major reason why that would happen.

I'd certainly support Cl1r. Cullen's proposal to invite the Minister down here and I'd also support Cl1r. o'Neill's proposal as well. Perhaps that would be a first move. I'm delighted that we have full agreement and I think we should really go for this and keep at it. I brought it up when I came to the Council first in 2004. Obviously we haven't had great success perhaps now with motorways, Dublin having motorways radiating out to from it for everywhere except the N81, you've motorways over in Galway there going through fairly less busy areas than the N 81 would be. It also provides a bit of a filter for traffic that's using the M7 and M9 at the moment. Those roads are very busy now with the new roadworks around the Naas area and that's only going get busier and busier. So it does provide

17:08 extra access to the city from the southwest of the country. I would support those proposals and hopefully we'11 have some progress.
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN Are you seconding the proposal that we will ask Minister Ross to come back down here and have a visit to is.

CATHAO RLEACH I am but C11r. Snel1, in fairness, seconded it as well.
CLLR SNELL: I think it is more appropriate that you
second Cllr. Cullen. There's no problem.
CATHAO RLEACH We11 I'11 second it. CLLR. O NEI LL: My motion is still --
MS. GALLAGER: Yeah.
CATHAO RLEACH Any other comments? Is that agreed? 17:09 FROM THE FLOOR: Agreed

CATHAO RLEACH Okay. The third Suspension of Standing Orders is C11r. Behan.

CLLR. BEHAN Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I wrote to you there, Cathaoirleach, on Friday last, and I asked you to look at the agreement that was signed between the Management of Wicklow County Council and the successful bidder for the Florentine Centre. I wanted you to find out specifically whether there's any provision in that agreement that allows the bidder, the successful bidder 17:10 to come back to the Council, after having signed the contract, to come look for any variation in the development by way of applying for planning permission or applying to this Council? Because when we voted on this project here in wicklow County Council we voted on 17:10 the basis that a design had been agreed; it had been sent top Bord Pleanála; Bord Pleanála had made a decision and that was it. Now I certain7y was surprised when the Director for Bray, as I said, without previous memo, without any written
documentation, just announced under the heading "Fl orentine Centre" at the last Bray Municipal District meeting that he had, he had given approval to change the design himself from an undercroft car parking,
which effectively would be a like a surface-type car parking, to two floors of underground parking. He secondly had been asked by the bidder to consider putting some elements of the shopping, changing it to residential. Now I was absolutely shocked and I said it on the night, Cathaoirleach, but I'm bringing it here because this was the forum that made the decision on this particular project. I was quite shocked that when you came back to me, you said, initially anyway, that the Chief Executive couldn't necessarily show you this document, he was going to have to get legal advice. I accepted and waited patiently and you've told me now just before that meeting that you were entitled to see it, but you obviously haven't seen it yet. Some we are in a situation where changes have been made already because the Director announced them to us. Eleven or twelve people, consortia, whatever, got documents with regard to this project at the start. Now if they discover that after being successful a particular bidder is actually able to come back and negotiate changes, where does that leave those 11 or 12 who initially made enquiries? Were they told that part of this deal is it's up for negotiation after we agree it? Because we were certainly told it wasn't up for negotiation. And I, for one, trusted the Director on this one and I voted for it even though other people didn't, because I took his word on it. But then we find things can change afterwards. Now the second element of this is this, that

Mr. O'Brien announced we're partners with this developer. So if there's a proposal to have residential he won't have to apply for planning permission, we're going to do it through a Part 8 if the Councillors agree in Bray that they want top proceed with this. So this developer, might possibly, be able to run a profitable residential rezoning through the Council because we're "partners". Yet at the same time he also announced that the developer was shutting the car park in three weeks' time then, I think it's probably a week now, when we don't have alternative car parking arrangements, including the famous Herbert Road, St. Paul's saga won't be finished probably until August. So we're going to have a shutting of a car park because a developer our "partner" has told the Council he's closing it. We don't have alternative parking. There will be utter absolute chaos if this goes ahead without having alternative parking and I really seriously question what is going on in the Executive of this Council that they can decide to make changes like this and treat us like fools when it comes to a matter such as this.

Specifically, Cathaoirleach, first of all, can we find out is there a clause in the agreements that were reached that allows these guys to come back after the fact and look for a change in the design of the project? That's the first question, Cathaoirleach. CATHAO RLEACH The County Manager and the Director can
speak in relation to the specifics of your question. But following your contact with me I contacted the County Manager and asked him about the addition of the car parking spaces that you informed me that were taking place that you were informed of in Bray, on 1st May and I was told that this amounts to a variation of the Part 8 and it is allowed under the Part 8 . That's what I was told. Okay. That's the answer I got. okay. Can I let the County Manager come in here or the Director to give their explanation on that.
MR O BRIEN Thank you, Cathaoirleach. There's a number of questions here which I wouldn't mind going through. You did vote on disposal of the land. But it wasn't that you voted on a project, Councillor, this has been a standing item on the agenda at Bray meetings for over a year. You have been filled in on all the stages of this. It is a project that's designed and it went through a planning process to Bord Pleanála under Part 10 of the Act, which looks at the environmental impacts of the scheme. It is not planning permission. It's the same as we take a national primary roads, there was a Part 10 EIA done for those showing an eight metre wide median and what instead we have is a concrete barrier in the middle. So they can be changed if they're not considered material and material changes 17:16 have to come back and it could possibly be if there are significant environmental impacts you would need to do another process to An Bord Pleanála. If there's not significant environmental impacts you can do -- and if
it is different in extent and it's a material change, it can be done as a Part 8 because it is a Local Authority-owned development. That is the law of it. But just get on to your more detailed points.

There was a change from undercroft parking, you said two-storey. One-storey would be the equivalent of the undercroft and one-storey would be underground. The developer asked us about this and they said their advice, and it was from the consultant engineer who was 17:17 actually involved when the previous time there was a very large hold on Bray, knew about the site, was concerned that there was rock nearby and that digging down, as you would need to on the front of the site, could lead to claims, cracks in other buildings. Their 17:17 opinion, on the basis of their knowledge of the site and the sheet piling that had been put down previously was that it would be less risky and cheaper to do a two-storey park. There is no significant increased impact on that and the effect for everyone else is negligible. It's not material. You're just doing one, you're basically parking of layout to parking of another layout. And that's the reason why we didn't go back to the Members.

It's quite common, in any civil engineering project, that there are changes as you go along. You find bad conditions and you move away from it. You find something doesn't work and it gets changed. That
happens in all projects. The Development Agreement does allow for that. In fact not only does it allow for it, we're obliged to actually look at it and it says we can't -- I'11 read it out, it's probably best.
"The devel oper shall not be entitled to make any application material changes to the pl anni ng consent in respect of the devel opment site without the prior written consent of Wicklow County Council, such consent not to be unreasonably withhel $d$ or del ayed. "

That's in Section 1(2). Under 4(8) it says:
".... provi ded al ways that if the devel oper should, at any time, wi sh to depart in any way fromimproved devel opment, it may not do so without first obtai ning the prior consent in writing of Wicklow County Council and complying with all requirements under the Planning Acts which affect or rel ate to the devel opment or use ther eof.

W ckl ow County Council may, acting reasonably, withhol d such consent if it considers a departure would materially depart fromthe approved devel opment."

So we're obliged to act reasonably and only if it materially departs from the proposed development. If it materially departs from the proposed development, which is the case of the residential, I'm obliged to
bring it to you. But I've been bringing everything to you all along in Bray on every part of this agreement. So I bring it to you, the developer has asked about residential. I bring it there. I had no drawings. They brought it up. And what I said at the meeting, unless there's overwhelming agreement on this, then we probably won't be pursuing it.

We did make have consultations with the lawyers who advised us on this whole scheme and they didn't say it wouldn't fly but they said it was complex. I said that to you yourselves, there was procurement and planning difficulties on this. I said that at the meeting. But what I also said to you at the meeting was, this was certainly outside the competition and someone can't bid 17:20 to build a retail development. And I made it very, very clear in Bray for the last year-and-a-half, I'd say, that we are not going to get the same value as you are selling a site because we are asking for the centre to be built.

In this particular case what I said to the meeting was, I would see if they got permission for residential they would actually have to pay the site costs of each department. If they were changing from an upstairs office to an apartment it would obviously be the value of an office and the value for an apartment. If they were building another storey on then, in my opinion, they have to pay for a site. What I actually advised
the meeting what we would do is we would get a valuer from our side to work at arm's length and negotiate what the cost would be. We didn't say we were going ahead. This was an initial thing to test the water with the Members and I said unless there was overwhelming consent we won't vote for it.

The Chief Executive is of the opinion - and I share his opinion, would have advised him on his opinion - that it's not worth going into controversy about residential. They'11 be able to build the residential themselves once they get control of the site, if they apply to the planning authority and if it's appealed, Bord Pleanála, they'11 be able to build the apartments without any cost. We might have got a windfall of a million or a million-and-a-half from it. That's why I thought it would be worth pursuing it. Apart from that it would add to the scheme to have people living on site. It helps vibrancy of a town and helps security.

I told you at the time as well when we were going through, we had some workshop meetings and certain1y we had Council meetings, that we were trying to keep this scheme as lien as possible because, at the end of the day, four people applied. One of them wouldn't give accounts. One of them we judged wasn't fully solvent and that was actually the company's assets had been sold and it was in the press quite recently. We were down to two bidders. So this wasn't something that had
huge money in it and had huge interest. We interviewed I think 12 people, but 1 keep mixing up on this. Myself, Christine and David would have interviewed several people who Savills had brought through their marketing who wanted to come in and talk to us. But ultimately we got two and one person tendered.

So I agree, if they were going to build resi then there would have to be extra money. But at this stage we think the scheme is far, far too important to get bogged down in controversy. I don't think it's good for the image of Bray anyway when we're actually doing something very, very worthwhile which is going to be potentially fantastic for the town and maybe to get it back on wheels again as a trading town. We don't think 17:23 we should be pursuing this at all on the resi.

There would be other changes. The developers have said that they want to change some of the floor plans to make it more attractive to potential anchor tenants. I 17:24 haven't got that yet. That's something else we'11 be looking at. If it's a material change it will come back for a planning process. If it's not a material change then it's up to the Chief Executive to make that call.

As for the developer and the car park. We were told -Members were told all along that they were talking about a five-month period for designing it and getting
their funding teed up and then a 16-month period to build it out. I've advised all along that this developer wants to get going faster and he's going to concertina that five-month time scheme down to much shorter than that. We are just advising, and there has 17:25 been notices put in the car park about site investigations, we were advising we will probably need the car park in as little as three weeks. As it turns out it is going to be a few weeks later than that. we are negotiating and again it's quite difficult in a small office and the whole St. Paul's thing took up loads and loads of staff time in Bray, not to mention the Freedom of Information Requests. It probably has taken up oh I'd say about 20 staff days since then. We're a small office. We should have actually had the drawings over to the owner probably a week or ten days earlier but this is what happens when you've got very, very limited staff. We will hope to have the car park in Ravenswell Road open before the Florentine car park is shut. I think I've covered your queries but if I haven't please let me know. CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Vance. CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, thanks for coming in. I read an article in the paper last week and there was no reporter at the meeting and it bore no relation and the prices that were quoted in it intrigued, we were handing over, it seemed like we were handing over $€ 3$ million to a developer. It was made very clear on
the night by the Director that was a suggestion that came from the developers in regard to the Florentine and that there was seven members there. Five members expressed the view that it was easy enough to get information in regards to the residential on it. One was opposed and one didn't speak on it. That's a fact of what happened on the night. Nobody said they were in favour of this. People said that in principle they didn't think it was a bad idea but they'd like further information on it as well. What seems to be coming out 17:27 of the further information is what I suspected might happen. I was one of those people that said that I didn't have a principal objection to it but that if, and I said this and I repeat this again and it was quoted in the paper to be fair, that if this delayed this project by one day, by even one day I won't vote for it. And I repeated that a number of times in that regard to that because certainly 24 years I think we waited for this and certainly I didn't want to waste any more time on it. I felt the public out there didn't want to wait any length of time on it.

The amazing thing about it, since that appeared in the paper I talked to numerous people in the time and the amazing thing about it was that not one of them was against residential going in and there was two previous planning permissions on that site with residential on it. But given that, given that the plan there didn't contain residential and given that in my opinion they
would have caused serious problems in regard to the legalities of going out to tender and then approving a tender by a non-residential, it opened up the Council for litigation and further delay. The delay was my key aspect in regard to this. Anything that delayed that project, I was going to vote against it. I made it very, very clear on the night. And certainly, seemingly from the attitude on the top table seems to be that's what they're proposing to the council.

The Council Members decided that we would look for further information, we'd get it next month and then we would make a decision on it. But there was no decision made whatsoever, whatsoever in regard to this. It was not a proposal it was a suggestion. And the fact of the matter is that the Director was right in bringing it before the Council because I would certainly have criticised the Director if I heard there was a proposal like that or a suggestion like that on the table and he didn't bring it before us so we could discuss it fully and then make a decision on it as well.

So from my point of view all I want to do is see the cranes in there and all the public want in Bray is to see cranes in there and that building taking off and that building going up by Christmas 2019. That's the key principle that we've had from the start. I'11 tell you one thing now, there's a huge buzz in the town at the thought that the Florentine is going in there.

People still can't believe it and won't believe it until it actually happens. So I mean as far as I'm concerned now, if there's minor alterations that have to be made to it, fine, we make the minor alterations to it. Car parking I don't ever a problem with, it doesn't materially affect the car park. As a matter of fact it provides more car parking, which I think is a good idea because we need more car parking in the town as well. I think there is a process now in regard to the golf club lands and hopefully the VEC lands will come on stream as well because they close down for the summer holidays very shortly so that may be available as well. If things come right we can have a sizable amount of car parking available when the Florentine -I think everybody wants that to happen. We want a situation where the plan for when it goes in there. Any delays not interested in and I've never been interested in and as far as I'm concerned and I think I'm speaking on behalf of most of the public is let's get on with this, let's get the job done and let's get it finished in the appropriate time. Thank you.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Whitmore.
CLLR WH TMORE: Thanks very much, Chair, obviously I wasn't at the meeting so my only sense is from what Cl1r. Behan was saying and the media reports. I think the primary thing I'm concerned about is whether or not it's going to materially change what went out in the tender documentation. I think even the fact that it was raised as something that could happen would cause
concern form me. Any tender process there has to be, you know, transparency, documentation and equal treatment has been provided to all parties and, you know, if the resulting development changed significantly to include the residential, there was a material cost and obviously that would open it up to legal change. I think that's probably the primary thing.

So I would say if there is any plans to make any changes to that development to ensure that there was a legal eye cast over it to make sure that doesn't materially change any of the tender criteria.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Thornhill.
CLLR. THORNH LL: Cathaoirleach, go raibh maith agat. 17:32 Cathaoirleach, just a couple of quick points I just would like to make. I remember being here on 15th January at the disposal of this site. I know myself and C11r. Fortune brought up the matter about the valuation of the site at the time. I know that I put a 17:32 valuation on it and I probably was ridiculed a little bit, you know, at the time as well but my point is, I do recall Mr. O'Brien mentioning the fact that, and it's in the transcript that there's not much in this for developers. So my point would be, I mean this could be -- having said from, the outset I'd like the idea of apartments going in there and a bid residential because it would bring vibrancy to the town. It also increases the valuation for the developer and this is
something that wil1 have to be looked at as we11 in the future.

Now I mean whatever about the planning permission, whichever, this should be looked at because all the time I was under the impression that it was just going to be a shopping mall. Full stop. And, you know, this is the way I was led to believe. Also, I have just one other comment that I would just like to make to Mr. O'Brien in relation to the office and freedom of information. Now there was people in the Herbert Road looking for freedom of information and they weren't getting it and they were very slow about getting it eventually. So I mean like, the whole process has to be looked at. I would say if whatever is going to go ahead the whole thing will have to look at the valuation of the site again. Go raibh maith agat.
CLLR. BEHAN Mr. Chairman, I don't want to delay can wet get copies of the two agreements so we can read them for ourselves? I'm not happy with bits being read 17:33 out to us here like this. Can we get copies of it? I think it's a fundamental matter of public interest that Members who want to see those two agreements get to see them, Cathaoirleach.
MR. CURRAN You've got the contract already and there

CLLR. BEHAN Pardon?
MR. CURRAN You've got a copy of the contract. CLLR. BEHAN No, there was two contracts. There's a

Development Agreed.
MR. CURRAN There's what I'm just coming to. There's a confidentiality agreement in the Development Agreement.
CLLR. BEHAN why is that, through the Chair?
MR. CURRAN That was put in at the request of the developers. We've asked our lawyers can you see that and they're going to come back to us on that. CLLR. BEHAN So now we have it. So now we're getting somewhere. Because the developer requested a confidentiality clause.

MR. CURRAN Sorry --
CLLR. BEHAN Excuse me, sorry, Chief Executive. The developer requested a confidentiality clause. We are not allowed see the document because the developer doesn't want us to see it.

MR. CURRAN I'11 just --
CLLR. BEHAN Excuse me, I'm not finished, Chairman. Sorry, I'm not finished. We are not allowed see it because the developer wants to keep it confidential. Now, we're looking at a situation in this country at the moment with regards to a health problem, the cervical scare scandal that's going on in this country. And one of the most scandalous aspects of it is that the woman who initially started this whole process was told she had to keep it confidential when she was making a claim because the company involved wanted a confidentiality clause. It is not good enough when we're disposing of public property and we're the ones
that dispose of it, that we can be told that the developer says we cannot see the agreement that is not good enough.
MR CURRAN Can I just correct that. That was put in by our own solicitors.
MR. OBRIEN Can I explain it.
CLLR BEHAN Can the Chief Executive not explain it, with respect? He's the Chief Executive.
CATHAO RLEACH Let whoever is more familiar with it explain it.
MR. OBRIEN Cathaoirleach, with respect the Chief Executive wasn't involved in drawing up the contract. Myself and the team of David Forde and Christine Flood were doing that. It was a long time getting that Development Agreement drawn up. There was an awful lot 17:35 of, we were negotiating with our lawyers about what we didn't think should be in there and they have put the confidentiality clause in it. We didn't see it was important but we were trying to get other things changed and we were also trying to get the whole development into tender so we didn't take it out. We didn't want it in the first place and I will ask the developer are they happy to take it out. I can't see why they wouldn't be because there's virtually nothing in the Development Agreement that you would need to be is there and our lawyer said you cannot -- they said something different to the Chief Executive and said they would have a look at it and see can it be given to
the Council but they told us previously that if it's in there you can't release it without the agreement of the other side.

I asked them at the time and there was an awful lot going on and they said, sure, we'11 talk about that later and I will ask them about it because I would much rather have everything out there out in the open. But it certainly wasn't done by the developer's request, that was done by our lawyers who presumably had a standard sort of contract and this was in it. we never got round to taking it out. I certainly don't want a confidentiality clause in there. I don't think it's any uses whatsoever.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Mitchel1.
CLLR. MTCFELL: I just think we need to get this thing built before the property boom ends. The boom will end. It always does. We need to get the thing built it's been going on for so long. If he is getting more residential, which seems to be a good idea, then the Council should negotiate more money for the Council or whatever more facilities, but I think we should leave it with them to do that and get this thing done quick7y.
CATHAO RLEACH C11r. Kavanagh.
CLLR. KAVANAGt Thanks, Cathaoirleach. I don't think anybody in the country would object to the building of apartments or houses or anything in this day and age but there has to be -- I mean is it common practice, or
has it ever been heard of that a Council's lawyers would put a confidentiality agreement into, when they're discussing and negotiating with developers? It seems very unusual to say the least. I mean I have on7y been on the Council for two years and a couple of months but without fail every couple of months there's some controversy about something going on in Bray. It doesn't seem to happen in any other area, in any of other Municipal Districts, never a problem from wicklow, Greystones, Arklow, Baltinglass. It's always Bray that there's something contentious going on in. I don't know why but we need to drag everything out into the open. I think whatever confidentiality agreement was put in place should be removed forthwith and all those details released.

You're talking about material changes. The changing of a shopping centre to a apartment/shopping centre is a material change. I mean in anybody's book that's a material change. These kind of details cannot be withheld from the public.

We saw a huge controversy going on, I'm not going to drag it up again but we saw it happen with St. Paul's it was knocked down quicker than you could blink your eye. I never saw anything like it. If the Council moved that quickly on everything else that's been dealt with we wouldn't have any problems. We certainly wouldn't have any waiting lists for houses. So I think
get that confidentiality agreement removed from the contract and let us all see what's in it.

CATHAO RLEACH We're coming towards -- we've gone over our time.

CLLR. TOMM CULLEN Chairman, I was listening to
Mr. O'Brien there when he read out a section of an agreement. Is that the section of the confidential agreement?
MR. O BRI EN Cathaoirleach, that's the Development Agreement.
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN Is that's the section that's confidential?

MR. O BRI EN No, there's a confidential clause at the mend of the agreement that the whole agreement is confidential. It wasn't put in when negotiating with the developer. This is what we put out to tender and this is what our lawyers produced for us.

CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN And is there --
MR. O BRI EN: That certainly wasn't on our shopping
list. We had shopping list to have liquidated damages
if it was late being built. We had half a million non-returnable deposit once they started. There was various things we put in to the Development Agreement but the confidentiality clause was not something we put in, this is something that was in there, the agreement that they produced for us.

CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN Chairman, let's be clear, the developers put the confidentiality clause into the agreement?

MR. O BRI EN: No, the lawyers representing Wicklow County Council drew up a Development Agreement and they had, presumably they must have one they made earlier as well and like everything else in life they probably adjusted that to suit our development. What I'm telling you is the key things we put into the Development Agreement were liquidated damages, if they were late there's a figure of $€ 8,000$ per week of $a$ penalty clause and there was a non-refundable deposit of half a million if they take the gig and then walked away from it. That's what I wanted put into it. Most of the rest was fairly standard and my colleagues could maybe contradict me on that but that was the main things we were putting into it. The rest was what our lawyers produced for us. These are our top house. They did the contract for the Marina and the Development Agreement for the Marina project which turned out to be very, very water tight. Wasn't going to question everything they did. It certain7y was not requested by ourselves and it wasn't requested by the developer.
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN Chairman, it's my understanding that there was to be no deviation from the An Bord Pleanála planning permission.
MR. O BRI EN You must have missed the earlier part I was talking about. That's not the case.
CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN Let me finish. This was to be no deviation from the grant of permission by An Bord Pleanála and that would have determined obviously the
purchase price. That's leaving aside the expenditure for extra car park to facilitate the Florentine, the 1.4 million for St. Paul's. And, therefore, c11r. Behan has raised, I think very reasonably, concerns, and concerns that every Councillor I'm sure would raise, we're dealing with substantial public funds here and substantial public funds. Now, is it the situation that -- I just want to make this clear I could be completely wrong, I may very well be wrong, were the other interested parties aware that they too could have looked to amend the terms of the planning permission issued by An Bord Pleanála and that they themselves could look to increase the car parking space and also increase the residential space of the property? Was that made clear to them in the tendered documents? The same playing field if they continued in the planning process? I understand there was 11 interested parties at the outset and Navybrook were the successful purchasers and now it's a company called Oakmount and they're registered, apparently they're a shelf company in the Isle of Man. I think Cl1r. Behan's concerns should affect every Councillor here, it certainly set alarm bells with me. As a Councillor here, did all the other 11 parties, were they -- was it made clear to them that they, too --
CLLR VANCE: Chairman. Did we not have a recommendation that the CE said that he's recommending that the scheme goes ahead as proposed? what are we discussing about 11 other things. It's irrelevant.

CLLR TOMM CULLEN Chairman --
CLLR. VANCE: It's totally irrelevant.
CATHAO RLEACH Just ask your question I think it was already answered.
CLLR TOMMY CULEN No, it wasn't.
CLLR. BLAKE: Chairman, you're 15 minutes over your time as well.

CLLR TOMMY CULEN $I t$ wasn't clear.
CLLR BLAKE: 15 minutes.
CLLR. TOMM CULLEN what I'm trying to find out, and
Cl1r. Behan alluded to it, did the other 11 bidders, potential bidders, was it made clear to them that if they purchased the Florentine that they, too, could look to amendment terms of the An Bord Pleanála granted permission to amend it to put in residential and additional car park spaces? That's a simple question; yes or no.
CATHAO RLEACH I believe it's already been answered but I'11 just as the Director --
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, could I --
CATHAO RLEACH Would you just answer that again?
MR. O BRI EN: I'd love to answer it again.
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, could I come in here. The inference here is, the inference here is, is that there was a sweetheart deal done between this developer and the Council Officials. That's what's been insinuated here, and because you don't have the Development Agreement there was something inside done here and it's in the Development Agreement. As far as I'm concerned
get that bloody Development Agreement out and let's have a look at it and see, see what's in the blooming thing. See what deception is in it that is perceived around some areas of this chamber. There's no trust in regard to what's going on here within individuals
involved. what's happening here is an absolute disgrace because it's not relevant to what happened at that meeting a couple of weeks ago. And the fact of the matter is, the CE is after coming in here tonight and said his advice is to withdraw, like to withdraw from. We're not going ahead, or to recommend that we don't go ahead with that residential. That's what he's recommending. We're here discussing what 11 tenderers, alleged 11 tenderers did or didn't know. It's ridiculous carry on. You know what I mean. The fact 17:47 of the matter is this development, what we should be concentrating on is making sure that this development gets up and running as quickly as we bloody well can and get it up and running for the people of the town. That's what we should be concentrating on, not all sort 17:47 of deals that might have been done, or perceived to be done and everything else. There's only one way of getting rid of that if we ask those people to release that and then the people can come back next month and apologise to the people when they've seen it.
CLLR. BEHAN No one made any accusation at all,
Cl1r. Vance.
CLLR. VANCE: Insinuations.
CLLR. BEHAN We're entitled to ask questions here and
we're not going to be stopped by anybody from asking questions. You can insinuate all you like.

CLLR. FITZGERALD Chairman, it's ten to six now. CATHAO RLEACH Can I just say that we want that confidentiality clause, that agreement that it's in,

I'm anxious and everyone's anxious, I'm sure, to see can we get sight of that. So that's what we should look for.
CLLR TOMM CULLEN Chairman, I asked Mr. O'brien, and Mr. O'Brien I think is happy enough to answer it --
CATHAO RLEACH In fairness I think he already did. CLLR. TOMM CULLEN Maybe I missed it -- was these provisions.

MR. O BRIEN Councillor, I did answer this actually but I'11 just go back from the beginning again. There weren't 11 tenderers.

CLLR TOMM CULEN That's what it said on the documents we got, there was 11 interested parties. MR. O BRI EN This was marketed a year earlier and we interviewed I think a dozen but my colleagues can probably correct me, people, we were trying to sell the scheme to them and they were trying to sell themselves to us.

When we eventually advertised it, for the
prequalification questionnaire stage, at which stage the Development Agreement actually wasn't produced at that stage, we had four people applied. One of those wouldn't give any accounts to us so they were
disqualified. One of them, the accounts showed that they were probably insolvent, so they were disqualified. Two others were qualified. we then went into --

CLLR TOMM CULLEN Sorry what happened to the other seven?

MR. OBRIEN We talked to and marketed to 12 people. when we advertised it, as a tender, four people applied. What happened the other seven I've no idea, Councillor, they obviously weren't interested in it. They never applied in the first place. They came and had a chat with us in the Bray offices and interviews were set up by Savills who were marketing the scheme for us and advising us.

So then there were two people who actually came to the prequalification questionnaire who were qualified. It then went into the competitive dialogue process which was meant to tease out any problems they had with wording. At that stage we did do up Development Agreement wordings and it was very important, and our lawyers advised us that sometimes a funder would not like a certain sentence or phrase in it and if you took it out you could then change the base of the competition. In the competitive dialogue process, one 17:50 of the parties didn't dialogue with us very much at all and subsequently they didn't put in a tender so we had one tenderer. That's the background to it. The other question you had was do they know they can go
and build residential? No. That wasn't part of it. We were doing, as the Council thought, a shopping centre. It was retail development with cinema as well. That's what would have been sold. It was stripped down, with no bells or whistles, to be something that 17:51 can be done as economically as possible so hopefully a developer will get a return on it and make a bid and a tender for it.

Could they come in and do a slightly different car park 17:51 arrangement? Yes, because what was in the Development Agreement there is what they tendered on and non-material changes can be agreed. In fact the wording of it is "and shall not be unreasonably withhel d" (inaudible) so, yes, that was obvious to any 17:51 person who tendered.

The question of retail and what I said to the Bray meeting, there was procurement and planning issues there and I said it here today, it was not going to be 17:51 simple. I thought it was worth pursuing because we could have had a windfall of a million or over a million and probably would help the scheme along. But there would be a lot of complexity in that and it's not completely insurmountable but the recommendation that I 17:52 gave to the Chief Executive is that we wouldn't pursue that.

CLLR. TOMM CULLEN But nine of the original interested parties were not told that there was a
possible deviation from the An Bord Pleanála --
CLLR. VANCE: Chairman, that's been explained. That's been explained.
CLLR TOMM CULLEN Mr. O'brien said they weren't.
MR. O BRIEN Of course they weren't. We were marketing it as a shopping centre.

CLLR. TOMMY CULLEN Yeah. CATHAO RLEACH Okay, Members. That's it. Just one thing before I finish. The Association for the Study of Obesity on the Island of Ireland have made an award to Wicklow County Council for their Localised Own Initiatives in the Greystones issue and this plaque was collected by a member of Greystones Municipal District, Derek Mitchell, this afternoon on behalf of the Council.

CLLR. MTCHELL: Childhood obesity is a major issue in Ireland and it's going to affect us all hugely. So they're trying to raise awareness on it and they were very pleased with the work the Council have done.
MS. GALLAGER: Members, we have Protocol starting here 17:53 in the Chamber in a couple of minutes. Thank you.

## THE MEETI NG WAS THEN CONCLUDED



| 117:19 | 89:27 | 102:1, 103:13, | [57]-15:12, 48:3, | 119:2 | 52:21, 54:8, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACT [1] - 119:26 | ADVANCE ${ }_{[1]}$ | 109:6, 137:8 | 48:13, 48:14, | ALLOWED [5] - | 73:22, 75:10, |
| ACTING [1] - | 4:22 | AGREE [16] - | 48:23, 50:5, | 65:25, 101:7 | 78:2, 79:11, 85:3, |
| 119:22 | ADVANCED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 5:19, 7:9, 32:22, | 50:13, 50:15 | 117:7, 129:15, | 136:1 |
| ACTIVE [5] - | 67:4 | 46:4, 52:1, 53:17, | 50:25, 50:27, | 129:19 | AMENDMENTS |
| 64:9, 64:14, 72:4, | ADVANTAGE [1] | 53:24, 57:3, | 50:29, 51:3, 52:4, | ALLOWS $_{\text {[4] }}$ - | [2] - 37:10, 83:4 |
| 72:14, 106:26 | - 90:22 | 57:19, 60:9, 66:6, | 52:6, 52:10, | 49:20, 114:15, | AMENITIES ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| ACTIVITIES ${ }_{[2]}$ - | ADVERTISED | 69:19, 105:18, | 52:15, 52:16, | 116:26 | 57:12, 72:8, |
| 30:26, 69:21 | [2] - 138:25, 139:8 | 115:23, 116:5, | 52:26, 53:8, | ALLUDED [1] - | 73:16 |
| ACTS [1] - | ADVICE [14] - | 122:8 | 53:10, 54:12 | 136:11 | AMENITY [3] - |
| 119:19 | 6:8, 6:9, 6:13, | AGREED [1] - | 55:6, 55:15, | ALMOST ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 45:22, 57:5, 61:7 |
| ACTUAL[3] - | 6:15, 6:18, 6:29, | 129:1 | 56:16, 56:21, | 99:29, 110:11 | AMOUNT ${ }_{[10]}$ - |
| 34:15, 83:15, | 7:4, 7:12, 8:18, | AGREED [94] - | 57:21, 57:23, | ALONGSIDE [1] | 7:11, 22:23, |
| 109:3 | 9:6, 9:7, 115:12, | 3:26, 3:27, 3:29, | 58:8, 58:13, | - 22:24 | 29:19, 50:20, |
| ADAMANT ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 118:10, 137:10 | 4:15, 5:1, 5:2, | 58:18, 58:22 | ALTER [2] - | 64:26, 72:26, |
| 48:12 | ADVISED [6] - | 9:24, 10:14, | 59:3, 59:5, 60:20, | 4:14, 48:22 | 93:1, 102:6, |
| ADD [5] - 3:7 | 13:13, 120:10, | 10:15, 11:8, 11:9, | 61:9, 81:5, | ALTERATION | 109:26, 126:14 |
| 4:18, 83:13, 95:7, | 120:29, 121:9, | 15:28, 15:29, | 101:14, 109:11, | [1] - 37:24 | AMOUNTS ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 121:18 | 123:2, 139:22 | 36:15, 36:16, | 113:10, 114:11, | ALTERATION | 117:6 |
| ADDED [2] - | ADVISING [3] - | 36:27, 36:28, | 114:15, 120:2, | [25] - 38:11, | ANALYSE [1] - |
| 95:1, 112:23 | 123:5, 123:7 | 37:5, 37:6, 39:5, | 120:6, 129:3, | 41:12, 42:3, | 12:23 |
| ADDING [1] - | 139:14 | 39:6, 41:7, 41:19, | 130:2, 131:2, | 42:14, 42:20, | ANALYSING ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 84:26 | AFFECT ${ }_{[10]}$ - | 41:20, 42:1, 42:2, | 132:2, 132:13 | 43:6, 48:1, 61:23, | - 99:11 |
| ADDITION $\left.{ }^{3}\right]$ - | 10:5, 43:17, 44:1, | 42:12, 42:13, | 133:1, 133:7, | 63:2, 63:10, | ANCHOR [1] - |
| 61:4, 92:12, | 47:11, 85:6, 85:9, | 42:19, 42:27, | 133:8, 133:14, | 63:12, 63:19, | 122:20 |
| 117:3 | 119:19, 126:6, | 42:28, 45:24, | 133:25, 133:29, | 64:6, 73:23, | ANDREW [1] - |
| ADDITIONAL[9] | 135:22, 141:17 | 62:17, 62:18, | 138:5 | $75: 17,76: 14$ | 102:21 |
| - 11:20, 11:21, | AFFECTED [23] | 62:22, 63:8, 63:9, | AGREEMENT | $76: 25,76: 29$ | ANIMALS [1] - |
| 11:24, 16:13, | - 48:4, 51:3, 51:5, | 63:16, 63:17, | [15]-119:1, $129.4,130 \cdot 15$, | 77:8, 77:17, | 108:4 |
| 27:29, 34:14, | 51:7, 51:25, | 74:10, 74:11, | 129:4, 130:15, | 78:28, 79:26 | ANNAMOE [1] - |
| 35:2, 64:2, | 51:28, 52:13 | 75:15, 75:16, | 130:25, 133:10, | 80:11, 81:3, | 20:11 |
| 136:16 | 53:19, 53:20, | 76:8, 76:23, | 133:23, 134:2, | 82:24 | ANNESLEY ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| ADDRESS [4] - | 53:26, 53:28, | 76:24, 77:6, 77:7, | 134:7, 134:17, | ALTERATIONS | 3:2 |
| 5:15, 29:27, 30:1, | 53:29, 56:1, | $77: 15,77: 16$, $77.25,77: 26$, | $136: 28,136: 29$, $137 \cdot 1,138.27$ | [12] - 37:16, | ANNESLEY [3] - |
| $103: 22$ | 57:23, 57:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 25,77: 26, \\ & 78: 17,78: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:1, 138:27, } \\ & 139: 21,140: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $37: 19,62: 2$ | $8: 6,9: 2,98: 24$ |
| ADDRESSED | 58:27, 58:29, | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 17,78: 18, \\ & 78: 24,78: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 139:21, 140:12 <br> AGREEMENTS | $62: 25,78: 15$ | ANNESLEY [5] - |
| [3] - 46:6, 87:19, | 59:2, 59:5, 60:27, | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 24,78: 25, \\ & 78: 26,79: 14 \end{aligned}$ | AGREEMENTS <br> [5] - 49.26, 60:15 | $80: 22,80: 23$ | $3: 3,8: 7,79: 16$ |
| $108: 29$ | 61:9, 103:25 | 78:26, 79:14, | [5] - 49:26, 60:15, | 81:1, 82:13, | 98:17, 98:25 |
| ADJOINING ${ }_{[7]}$ - | 111:9 | $79: 15,79: 24$, $79.25,80 \cdot 2,80 \cdot 3$ | 116:25, 128:19, | 82:15, 126:3, | ANNESLEY'S |
| 48:25, 50:23, | AFTERNOON | $79: 25,80: 2,80: 3$, $80: 9,80 \cdot 10$ | 128:23 | $126: 4$ | $[1]-9: 17$ |
| 50:26, 50:28, | [2]-25:27, 141:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 9,80: 10, \\ & 80: 17,80: 18 \end{aligned}$ | AGRICULTURA <br> L[1] - 25:9 | ALTERNATIVE | ANNIE [1] - |
| 51:1, 51:8, 51:29 | AFTERSHOCK | 80:17, 80:18, 80:19, 80:22, | L [1] - 25:9 <br> AHEAD ${ }_{[14]}$ - | [4] - 46:5, 116:12, | $11: 14$ |
| ADJUSTED [1] - | [1] - 51:22 | 80:19, 80:22, 80:23, 85:27 | AHEAD [14] - | 116:17, 116:19 | ANNOUNCED |
| 134:5 | AFTERWARDS |  | 11:17, 14:6 | ALTERNATIVE | [7] - 22:8, 33:5, |
| ADMINISTRATI | [1] - 115:28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 86:12, 97:6, } 97 \\ & 97: 13,97: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 26,48: 3, \\ & 59: 10,69: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $L_{[1]}-25: 16$ | 105:22, 114:26, |
| ON [1] - 6:17 | AGAT[6]-6:4, $6 \cdot 25,52 \cdot 19,53 \cdot 5$ | 97:18, 97:19, | 86:28, 102:1, | ALTOGETHER [2] $-20 \cdot 3,35 \cdot 3$ | 115:16, 116:1, |
| ADMINISTRAT | $6: 25,52: 19,53: 5$, $127 \cdot 15,128 \cdot 17$ | 97:23, 97:24, | 116:18, 121:4, | [2] - 20:3, 35:3 | 116:9 |
| OR [1] - 62:8 | 127:15, 128:17 | $97: 26,97: 27,$ | $128: 16,135: 28$ | AMALGAMATE | ANNUAL[2] - |
| ADOPT [4] - | AGE [1]-131:28 | 98:26, 98:27, | 137:11, 137:1 | D [1] - 16:22 | 97:28, 98:5 |
| 72:24, 81:9, | AGENDA ${ }^{\text {[7] - }}$ - $3.22,10.10$ | 99:20, 100:22, | AILG [1] - 98:13 | AMALGAMATI | ANNUAL[1] - |
| 81:10, 90:26 | $3: 22,10: 10$, $92: 25,93: 16$ | 101:3, 101:4, | ALARM [1] - | NG [1] - 85:1 AMAZING 31$]$ | 98:3 |
| ADOPTED [3] - | $92: 25,93: 16$, $96 \cdot 25,100 \cdot 22$ | 101:16, 114:5, | 135:23 | AMAZING[3] - | ANNUM [1] - |
| $80: 24,80: 25$, $83: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 25,100: 22, \\ & 117: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 114:6, 114:21, | ALLEGED ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 18,124: 23 \\ & 124: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 95:27 <br> ANSWER [7] - |
| $83: 4$ ADOPTION ${ }_{[1]}$ - | AGENDA [1] - | 140:13 | 137:14 | 124:25 AMEND | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ANSWER [7] - } \\ & \text { 14:12, 14:19, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 91:2 | 14:13 | AGREEING[5] - | ALLEVIATING | 37:12, 135:11, | 117:8, 136:21, |
| ADULT [4] - | AGO [11] - 3:5, | 62:21, 62:23 | [1] - 30:13 | 136:15 | 136:22, 138:10, |
| 89:23, 90:7, | 27:15, 28:29, |  | ALLOW [6]- | AMENDMENT | 138:14 |
| 93:29, 94:3 | 54:28, 68:19, | AGREEMEN | 25:14, 64:8, | [12] - 39:15, 45:2, | ANSWERED ${ }_{\text {[4] }}$ |
| ADULTS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 101:23, 101:27, | AGREEMENT | 76:15, 89:4, | 48:11, 48:15, | - 8:15, 8:26, |



| 21:16, 38:25, | 20:28, 21:8, | 88:24, 89:9, | 70:7, 71:5, 75:18, | 121:14, 122:8, | 109:3, 111:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45:7, 45:11, 75:3, | 21:11, 33:27, | 89:16, 90:8, | 80:25, 82:18, | 123:2, 140:1 |  |
| 103:17, 110:9, | 41:11, 43:21, | 90:10, 91:16 | 83:4, 97:8, | BUILDING [18] - | C |
| 110:13, 111:24 | 45:12, 58:26, | BOOM [2] - | 114:24, 114:27, | 4:14, 43:1, 45:17, |  |
| BELLS [2] - | 65:28, 70:29, | 131:17 | 116:5, 117:5, | 47:12, 72:15, |  |
| 135:23, 140:5 | 78:13, 86:9, | BOOST [1] - | 117:15, 118:12, | 74:24, 87:6 | $70: 1$ |
| BELONGING [1] | 87:28, 91:9, | 94:18 | 120:2, 120:17, | 87:18, 88:1 | 70:1 <br> CAMPAIGN ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| - 51:2 | 105:10, 113:17, | BORD [12] - | 122:12, 123:12, | 88:13, 91:21 | CAMPAIGN ${ }^{11]}$ - |
| BELONGS [1] - | 127:22 | 4:15, 75:27, | 125:24, 132:7, | 92:2, 92:4, 92:7, | 111:29 |
| 50:26 | BITE [1] - 43:9 | 114:22, 117:18, | 132:11, 139:12, | 120:28, 125:25, | CAMPAIGNING |
| BELOW [3] - | BITS [1] - 128:20 | 117:28, 121:14, | 140:18 | 125:26, 131:27 | [1] - 30:21 |
| 57:6, 88:2, 88:21 | BLAKE [3] - | 134:23, 134:28, | BREDA [2] - | BUILDINGS [3] - | CAMPUS [1] - |
| BENDS [1] - | 9:16, 27:12, | 135:12, 136:14, | 27:18, 29:1 | 41:22, 76:18, | 64:2 |
| 112:21 | 108:23 | 141:1 | BRENDAN [4] - | 118:15 | CANNOT [4] - |
| BENEFICIAL [1] | BLAKE [5] - | BORDER [2] - | 92:7, 94:8, 94:27, | BUILT [14] - | 48:24, 130:2, |
| - 30:25 | 9:17, 27:13, | 26:2, 60:21 | 95:8 | 66:14, 70:5, | 130:27, 132:20 |
| BENEFIT [2] - | 108:24, 136:6, | BORDERS [1] - | BRENNAN $\left.{ }^{3}\right]$ - | 71:10, 71:27, | CAPITAL [1] - |
| 28:1, 28:19 | 136:9 | 105:29 | 3:11, 3:12, 3:13 | 72:3, 72:10, | 91:6 |
| BENTLEY [2] - | BLANCHE | BORE [1] | BRIDGE [1] - | 104:3, 104:4, | CAR [23]-33:18, |
| 16:3, 16:6 | 46:13 | 123:25 | 87:19 | 104:9, 109:11, | 79:27, 105:6, |
| BENTLEY ${ }_{[2]}$ - | BLANDNESS | BORN [1] - | BRIEF [5] - 3:24, | 120:20, 131:17, | 114:29, 115:1, |
| 16:17, 34:12 | - 88:18 | 104:12 | 8:29, 22:5, 34:20, | 131:18, 133:21 | 116:10, 116:12, |
| BERNADETTE | BLESSINGTON | BORNE [1] - | 92:22 | BUMS [2] - | 116:15, 117:4, |
| [1]-81:24 | [13]-25:3, 25:6, | 19:27 | BRIEFLY [3] - | 24:16, 35:15 | 22:27, 123: |
| BERNIE [1] - | 25:11, 25:15, | BOROUGH [1] - | 33:22, 52:28, | BUS [26] - 18:11, | 123:8, 123:18, |
| 85:20 | 101:22, 102:22, | 89:10 | 112:9 | 18:17, 19:3, 19:6, | 123:19, 126:5, |
| BEST [6] - | 102:23, 104:2, | BOTTOM [7] - | BRILLIANT ${ }^{11}$ ] - | 19:11, 19:19, | 126:6, 126:7, |
| 28:10, 29:1, 30:4 | 104:6, 107:16, | 21:7, 60:11, | 24:4 | $23: 2,23: 16$ | 135:2, 135:13, |
| 35:14, 38:5, | 108:25, 109:1, | 84:17, 87:21, | BRING [13] - | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 17,23: 18, \\ & 23 \cdot 2024 \cdot 9, \end{aligned}$ | 136:16, 140:10 |
| 119:4 | 111:6 | 88:20, 88:21, | 13:21, 23:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 20,24: 9, \\ & 24 \cdot 21,25 \cdot 24 \end{aligned}$ | CARE [1] - |
| BETTER [4] - | BLINK [1] - | 88:24 | 58:7, 68:26, | 24:21, 25:24, | 104:27 |
| $45: 17,86: 6$ | 132:25 | BOUNDARIES | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 25,87: 28, \\ & 88: 23,93: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:25, 26:15, } \\ & \text { 26:17, 27:26, } \end{aligned}$ | CAREFUL [1] - |
| $105: 2,113: 1$ | BLOODY [2] - $137: 1,137: 18$ | [1]-59:28 | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 23,93: 9 \\ & \text { 120:1, 120:3, } \end{aligned}$ | 32:7, 33:16, | $84: 15$ |
| BETWEEN [10] - | 137:1, 137:18 | BOUNDARY $-13: 14,25.13$ | 120:1, 120:3, 120:4, 125:20, | $35: 26,36: 9$ | CARLOW [6] - |
| $25: 10,33: 14$, $38 \cdot 1,38 \cdot 9,40$ | BLOOMING [1] - 137:2 | - 13:14, $25: 13$ | 120:4, 125:20, 127:28 | 35:26, 36:9, $36: 10$ | 16:8, 16:19, |
| 61:6, 66:27, | BLUE [1] - 21:28 | 80:17, 95:23, | BRINGING [6] - | BUS [4]-25:23, | 33:23, 33:26 |
| 82:26, 114:11, | BOARD [2] - | 99:12 | 21:4, 40:28, | 30:21, 31:7, | 103:24, 105:2 |
| 136:25 | 47:5 | BOURKE [1] - | 93:10, 115:6, | 104:6 |  |
| BEYOND [1] - | BOARD [11] - | 95:24 | 120:1, 125:16 | BUSES [10] - | Y[3]-101:28, |
| 110:19 | 7:22, 7:24, 8:2, | BOX [1] - 88:17 | BRITTAS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 19:20, 22:27, | $104: 4,104: 9$ |
| BID [3] - 120:15, | 8:4, 8:8, 9:20, | BOXING | 20:17 | 22:29, 23:9, 25:4, | CARRIAGEWA $\text { YS }{ }_{[1]}-102: 3$ |
| 127:27, 140:7 | 25:8, 25:19, | 69:28 | BROCHURE [3] | 27:24, 28:3, 31:2, | YS [1] - 102:3 <br> CARRIED [3] - |
| BIDDER [5] - | 53:22, 91:1, 109.29 | BRADY [1] - | - 35:19, 36:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 28,91: 9 \\ & \text { BUSIER [3] - } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CARRIED [3] - } \\ & 54: 29,55: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| 114:13, 114:15, | 109:29 | 5:24 | BROCKAGH ${ }_{[1]}$ $-31: 29$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { BUSIER [3] - } \\ 108: 6,113: 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 29,55: 4, \\ & 109: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $115: 3,115: 20$ BIDDERS 3 ] - | BOARD'S [1] - $8: 11$ | BRANDING ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - 16.20 | $\begin{gathered} -31: 29 \\ \text { BROU } \end{gathered}$ | 108:6, 113:20 <br> BUSINESS ${ }_{77}$ | CARROLL [1] - |
| BIDDERS [3] - | 8:11 | 16:20 | 8:21, 35:22, | 7:21, 8:2, 8:12, | 11:14 |
| 136:12 | 109:15 | 3:9 | 67:25, 100:3 | 17:4, 45:6, | CARRY [2] |
| BIG [7] - 13:23, | BODY [3] - 9:7, | BRAY | 107:9, 113:11, | 107:28, 108:1 | 65:21, 137:15 |
| 22:24, 27:24, | 9:29, 10:6 | 4:13, 10:29, 11:3, | 120:5, 122:4, | BUSSE [1] - | CARS [1]-91:9 |
| 54:4, 68:22, 89:5, | BOGGED [1] - | 18:19, 26:17, | 127:19 | 71:4 | CARTE [1] - |
| 89:29 | 122:11 | 27:3, 37:8, 37:10, | BUCKLEY [1] - | BUST [1] - 72:10 | 46:13 |
| BIGGEST [1] - | BONUS [1] - | 39:22, 41:22, | 42:4 | BUSY [3] - | CASE [11] - |
| 65:9 | 95:1 | 42:22, 43:18, | BUGGIES ${ }_{[1]}$ | 106:16, 113:16, | 27:3, 38:6, 48:7, |
| BIKE [1] - 33:18 | BOOK | 43:24, 44:29, | 88:7 | 113:18 | 52:21, 52:22, |
| BILLY [1] - | 132:19 | 45:14, 45:15, | BUILD [10] | BUZZ [1] - | 52:24, 81:24, |
| 111:28 | BOOKLET [1] - | 46:19, 47:5, 47:9, | $47: 4,71: 13$ | 125:28 | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 9,119: 29 \\ & 120: 22,134: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BIT [20] - 14:5, } \\ \text { 17:19, 19:22, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 14 \\ & \text { BOOKS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 15,61: 6 \\ & 67: 19,69: 26, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72:11, 73:11, } \\ & \text { 120:16, 121:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BYPASS [4] - } \\ \text { 108:25, 109:1, } \end{gathered}$ | CASES [1] - |


| 28:16 | 9:24, 10:9, 10:16, | 78:25, 78:27 | CENTRE [9] - | 38:18, 39 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAST [1] - | 10:20, 10:22, | 79:7, 79:13, | 72:6, 78:7, 78:8, | 39:14, 42:9, | $90: 27,110: 25,$ |
| 127:12 | 10:27, 11:2, 11:5, | 79:23, 80:1, 80:7, | 79:27, 120:19 | 42:18, 43:6, 43:7, | 114:28, 115:28, |
| CASTLELYNN | 11:7, 11:11, | 80:9, 80:15 | 132:18, 140:3 | 44:3, 47:1, 48:10, | 116:27, 118:1, |
| [1] - 97:8 | 11:27, 12:3, | 80:18, 80:27 | 141:6 | 48:20, 50:2, | 118:6, 122:19, |
| CATCH [3] - | 12:27, 13:1, | 81:9, 81:12, | CENTRE [5] - | 51:13, 54:4, | 122:22, 122:24, |
| 20:20, 20:23, | 13:29, 14:7, | 81:16, 82:5, 82:9, | 4:13, 31:29, | 54:28, 59:11 | 126:27, 127:7 |
| 26:16 | 14:11, 14:15, | 83:7, 83:9, 83:23, | 42:15, 114:13, | 60:13, 61:20, | 127:13, 132:19, |
| CATCHMENT | 14:19, 15:3, 15:7, | 84:17, 84:28, | 114:27 | 64:18, 66:24, | 132:20, 139:24 |
| [1] - 32:17 | 15:16, 15:18, | 85:5, 85:9, 85:22, | CENTRES [1] | 68:17, 70:19, | CHANGED [9] - |
| CATHAOIRLEA | 15:26, 16:2, | 85:26, 86:3, 86:7, | 70:4 | 73:18, 74:20, | 42:4, 79:1, 85:24, |
| CH [89] - 4:11, | 16:16, 22:20 | 86:14, 86:19, | CENTURY | 74:23, 75:2, 75:8, | 109:14, 111:2, |
| 4:27, 4:29, 5:20, | 24:25, 26:4, | 86:24, 86:29, | 108:12 | 75:23, 79:4, | 117:24, 118:29, |
| 6:4, 6:5, 8:7, 9:1, | 27:12, 29:2, | 87:3, 91:3, 91:26, | CERTAIN ${ }_{\text {[6] }}$ | 79:10, 84:20, | 127:4, 130:20 |
| 9:15, 9:25, 11:17, | $29: 17,30: 6,$ | 92:21, 93:18, | 29:11, 50:19, | 86:4, 86:6, 86:13, | CHANGERS ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 11:28, 11:29, | $31: 15,32: 19$ | $94: 5,94: 24,95: 5,$ | 100:17, 100:24 | 90:25, 91:4, | - 23:15 |
| 12:8, 12:26, | 32:29, 33:22, | 95:23, 96:4, | 100:25, 139:23 | 91:27, 94:25 | CHANGES [15] - |
| 14:17, 16:5, | 35:17, 36:6, | 96:10, 96:18 | CERTAINLY ${ }_{[46]}$ | 96:15, 96:24 | 63:6, 78:20, |
| 24:27, 26:3, | 36:17, 37:2, 37:4, | 96:23, 96:27, | - 12:18, 25:13, | 98:11, 99:28, | 80:24, 83:3, |
| 27:13, 29:18, | 37:7, 37:23, | 97:1, 97:5, 97:8, | 25:21, 26:10, | 100:6, 100:8 | 84:20, 115:15, |
| $30: 6,30: 7,31: 16$ | $38: 17,39: 5,39: 7,$ | 97:11, 97:13, | 26:11, 27:29, | 100:21, 103:15, | 115:21, 116:21, |
| 32:10, 32:18, | 39:9, 39:17, | 97:15, 97:18, | 28:1, 28:2, 32:12, | 103:28, 104:11, | 117:25, 118:27, |
| 32:20, 36:4, | 39:26, 40:9, | 97:20, 97:22 | 46:23, 53:20, | 104:22, 109:20, | 119:7, 122:18, |
| 37:13, 37:21, | 40:13, 40:16, | 97:25, 97:28 | 53:24, 54:1, 55:3, | 123:23, 128:18, | 127:11, 132:17 |
| 38:6, 39:24, | 40:26, 41:4, 41:6, | 98:4, 98:12 | 64:20, 66:20, | 129:18, 133:5 | 140:13 |
| 39:27, 40:8, | 41:16, 41:18, | 98:22, 98:26 | 66:24, 67:8, 70:5, | 133:27, 134:22 | CHANGING [14] |
| 44:27, 44:28, | 41:24, 41:27 | 98:28, 99:20 | 81:18, 87:10, | 135:26, 136:1, | - 42:16, 44:24, |
| 45:28, 46:1, | 42:1, 42:10, | 99:25, 100:23 | 92:11, 92:15 | 136:6, 136:20 | 77:10, 79:19, |
| 47:16, 48:17, | 42:12, 42:19 | 101:16, 101:18, | $101: 3,101: 11$ | 136:23, 138:3 | 85:3, 89:23 |
| 49:29, 52:19, | 42:25, 42:27, | 103:14, 105:4, | 107:18, 108:12 | 138:9, 141:2 | 89:28, 89:29 |
| 52:20, 53:7, | 43:4, 43:21, 44:6, | 106:13, 107:13, | 108:24, 108:26 | CHAIRMAN ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 93:29, 94:3, |
| 56:12, 56:25, | 44:25, 45:29, | 108:23, 109:21, | 108:28, 111:8, | 6:28, 72:19, | 104:10, 115: |
| 57:24, 57:28, | 46:29, 47:15, | 110:23, 112:8, | 113:6, 114:23, | 81:14 | 120:25, 132:17 |
| 61:24, 61:28, | 47:25, 47:29 | 113:27, 114:2 | 115:24, 120:15, | CHAIRPERSO | CHAOS ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 62:9, 63:2, 65:4, | 48:9, 48:16 | 114:5, 114:7 | 121:22, 124:18, | N ${ }_{[2]}-6: 28,7: 1$ | 116:18 |
| 66:21, 67:11, | 48:19, 50:1 | 116:29, 123:22 | 124:19, 125: | CHAIRS ${ }_{[1]}$ | CHARGE [4] - |
| 69:14, 70:26, | 50:18, 52:18, | 126:22, 127:14 | 125:17, 131:9, | 89:17 | 27:18, 96:13, |
| 72:1, 73:28, 76:9, | 53:6, 54:3, 54:23, | 130:9, 131:15, | $131: 12,132: 28$ | CHALLENGE [1] | $97: 2,97: 9$ |
| 77:27, 80:28, | 54:27, 55:24, | 131:25, 133:3 | 133:19, 134:19, | - 35:15 | CHARLESLAN |
| 81:8, 82:1, 83:8, | 56:14, 56:22, | 136:3, 136:18 | 135:23 | CHALLENGES | D [1] - 19:21 |
| 87:4, 92:22, | 58:1, 58:24, 59:9, | 136:21, 138:4 | CERVICAL [1] - | [1]-63:2 | CHARNWOOD |
| 93:19, 94:6, 95:6, | 61:1, 62:6, 62:10, | 138:11, 141:8 | 129:23 | CHAMBER [17] - | [1] - 77:21 |
| 95:24, 96:12, | 62:13, 62:16, | CATHERINE [2] | CETERA [8] - | $6: 29,8: 10,8: 21,$ | CHAT [1] - |
| 101:15, 101:20, | $63: 7,63: 15$ | $-3: 4,3: 8$ | 19:2, 21:9, 25:9 | 26:9, 43:10, 53:9, | 139:12 |
| 106:14, 107:14, | 63:25, 64:17, | CATHLEEN [1] - | 88:24, 89:5, | 53:23, 55:27, | CHEAPER [2] - |
| 108:22, 114:9, | 65:3, 66:23, | 3:11 | 89:11, 90:20 | 103:19, 103:22 | 14:3, 118:18 |
| 114:10, 115:6, | 67:10, 68:16, | CAUSED [1] | 99:5 | 106:9, 106:22, | CHECK [2] - |
| 116:24, 116:28, | 69:11, 70:18, | 125:1 | CHAIR [12] - 4:3, | 107:11, 107:19, | $83: 11,83: 25$ |
| 117:11, 127:15, | 71:6, 71:29, | CAUSING [3] - | 22:21, 41:29, | $137: 4,141: 21$ | CHECKED [1] |
| 127:16, 128:24, | 72:18, 73:4, | 91:9, 100:15, | $58: 3,58: 25,$ | CHAMBERS ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ | 15:18 |
| 130:11, 131:26, | 73:27, 74:4, | $100: 18$ | 60:25, 71:7, 74:3, | - 106:6, 107:6 | CHIEF [58] - |
| 133:9 | 74:12, 74:18, | CD5 [1] - 43:1 | 81:11, 86:18, | CHANCE [1] - | 37:9, 37:17, 38:4, |
| CATHAOIRLEA | 74:21, 75:1, | CE [4]-41:2, | 126:23, 129:5 | 95:15 | 38:12, 39:11, |
| CH [245] - 3:2, 3:7, | 75:13, 75:22, | 64:29, 135:27, | CHAIRMAN [81] | CHANGE [32] | $39: 28,40: 7 \text {, }$ |
| 3:17, 3:26, 3:28, | 76:8, 76:10, | 137:9 | - 3:20, 5:9, 5:13, | 13:8, 13:11, | 41:10, 41:13, |
| 4:2, 4:10, 5:1, | 76:22, 76:27, | CE'S [1] - 40:20 | $5: 17,6: 27,7: 4$ | $13: 14,42: 29$ | 41:22, 42:7, |
| 5:3, 5:18, 5:29, | $77: 3,77: 6,77: 13,$ | CEMENT [2] - | 7:6, 7:20, 11:26, | 57:28, 64:8, | 42:17, 42:23, |
| 6:3, 6:26, 7:7, | 77:15, 77:23, | $65: 8,68: 9$ | 13:2, 13:22, 14:1, | 64:10, 65:24, | $43: 2,48: 4,48: 21$ |
| $7: 15,7: 19,8: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 25,77: 29, \\ & 78: 5,78: 10, \end{aligned}$ | CEMETERIES | 14:27, 15:24, | $74: 1,74: 7,75: 19$ | 61:12, 62:27, |
| 8:28, 9:11, 9:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 5,78: 10 \\ & 78: 12,78: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $[1]-100: 27$ | $26: 5,36: 29$ | $77: 18,82: 24$ | 63:6, 63:13, |


|  | CLARIFY [3] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64:15, 64:20, | 40:14, 58:19, | 42:11, 42:18, | 95:24, 96:12, | 32:19, 32:29, | 79:24, 80:1, 80:2, |
| 65:23, 67:12, | 59:12 | 42:24, 42:26, | 96:15, 96:16, | 36:7, 36:13, | 80:9, 80:17, |
| 67:22, 67:27, | CLARITY [1] - | 43:5, 43:7, 43:24, | 96:21, 96:22, | 36:22, 37:4, 37:5, | 80:27, 81:12, |
| 68:12, 74:2, | 37:1 | 44:7, 44:27, 46:1, | 96:24, 96:29, | 38:6, 38:15, | 81:13, 81:17, |
| 74:13, 75:20, | CLAUSE [12] - | 47:1, 47:16, | 97:4, 97:10, | 38:17, 39:17, | 82:6, 82:7, 85:26, |
| 76:19, 77:2, 77:9, | 116:25, 129:11, | 48:10, 48:17, | 97:12, 97:17, | 39:18, 39:25, | 90:1, 90:5, 91:3, |
| 77:22, 78:9, | 129:14, 129:28, | 48:20, 50:2, | 97:21, 98:1, | 39:26, 40:9, | 91:26, 92:18, |
| 78:20, 79:2, | 130:18, 130:26, | 50:19, 51:13, | 98:11, 98:15, | 40:16, 40:18, | 92:21, 93:18, |
| 79:21, 79:29 | 131:13, 133:13, | 51:28, 52:6, 52:8, | 98:17, 98:25, | 40:19, 41:4, 41:6, | 94:5, 94:15, |
| 80:6, 80:13, | 133:24, 133:28, | 52:10, 52:11, | 98:29, 99:16, | 41:12, 41:16, | 94:24, 95:5, |
| 80:21, 82:11, | 134:9, 138:5 | 52:19, 53:7, 54:4, | 99:17, 99:21, | 41:27, 42:1, | 95:23, 96:6, 96:7, |
| 82:26, 83:1, | CLEANER ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 54:24, 54:28, | 99:28, 101:15, | 42:10, 42:12, | 96:10, 96:16, |
| 93:10, 99:4, | 64:24 | 55:25, 56:15, | 101:20, 103:15, | 42:19, 42:25, | 97:5, 97:6, 97:16, |
| 115:10, 121:8, | CLEAR [13] - | 56:25, 58:3, | 105:5, 106:14, | 42:27, 43:4, | 97:22, 97:23, |
| 122:24, 129:13, | 44:7, 53:2, 58:22, | 58:19, 58:21, | 107:3, 107:5, | 43:22, 44:6, | 97:26, 98:6, 98:7, |
| 130:7, 130:8, | 105:24, 120:17, | 58:25, 59:11, | 107:14, 108:24, | 44:25, 45:29, | 98:13, 98:15, |
| 130:11, 130:28, | 123:29, 125:7, | 59:14, 59:20, | 109:22, 110:24, | 46:3, 46:16, | 98:22, 98:23, |
| 140:26 | 133:27, 135:8, | 59:21, 59:24, | 112:2, 112:3, | 46:27, 46:29, | 99:12, 99:18, |
| CHILDHOOD [1] | 135:15, 135:25, | 59:27, 60:4, 60:6, | 112:6, 113:24, | 47:2, 47:15, | 99:19, 99:26, |
| - 141:16 | 136:8, 136:12 | 60:9, 60:11, | 113:29, 114:3, | 47:17, 47:26, | 101:19, 102:8, |
| CHILDREN [3] - | CLEARLY [3] - | 60:13, 60:17, | 114:9, 123:23, | 47:27, 48:9, | 103:14, 105:4, |
| 47:20, 71:23, | 14:17, 25:1, | 60:19, 60:22, | 126:23, 127:15, | 48:16, 48:19, | 105:16, 106:2, |
| 89:16 | 103:6 | 60:24, 60:25, | 128:18, 128:27, | 50:1, 50:16, | 106:12, 106:13, |
| CHILDREN'S [1] | CLIMATE [2] - | 60:26, 60:28, | 128:29, 129:5, | 50:18, 50:20, | 106:18, 107:2, |
| - 89:15 | 89:7, 89:12 | 60:29, 61:2, | 129:9, 129:13, | 52:6, 52:18, | 107:9, 107:13, |
| CHOKED [1] - | CLLR [7]-3:2 | 61:13, 61:16, | 129:18, 130:7, | 52:21, 53:4, 53:6, | 107:29, 109:21, |
| 110:28 | 24:26, 58:24, | 61:20, 62:7, | 131:16, 131:26, | 53:17, 53:22, | 110:15, 110:23, |
| CHRISTINE [2] - | 64:17, 85:26, | 62:12, 62:15, | 133:5, 133:11, | 54:1, 54:2, 54:3, | 111:24, 111:29, |
| 122:3, 130:13 | 98:24, 108:23 | 62:21, 62:23, | 133:18, 133:27, | 54:6, 54:21, | 113:6, 113:8, |
| CHRISTMAS ${ }_{[1]}$ | CLLR [291] - 3:3, | 63:27, 63:28, | 134:22, 134:27, | 54:27, 55:13, | 113:27, 114:1, |
| - 125:26 | 3:20, 4:3, 4:11, | 64:2, 64:3, 64:18, | 135:26, 136:1, | 55:24, 55:25, | 114:8, 123:22, |
| CINEMA [1] - | 4:28, 5:4, 5:8, | 65:4, 66:24, | 136:2, 136:5, | 56:8, 56:9, 56:13, | 126:22, 126:25, |
| 140:3 | 5:9, 5:20, 6:2, | 67:26, 68:8, | 136:6, 136:8, | 56:14, 56:23, | 127:14, 127:19, |
| CIRCULATE [1] | 6:4, 6:27, 7:8, | 68:15, 68:17, | 136:9, 136:10, | $56: 24,56: 27$ | 131:15, 131:25, |
| - 12:18 | 7:18, 7:20, 8:7, | 69:14, 70:19, $71 \cdot 7,72 \cdot 1,72 \cdot 19$ | $136: 20,136: 23$, $137: 26,137: 28$, | 56:28, 58:1, 59:4, | 135:4, 135:22, |
| CIRCULATED | 9:1, 9:13, 9:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 7,72: 1,72: 19, \\ & 73: 3,73: 7,73: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:26, 137:28, } \\ & \text { 137:29, 138:3. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 3,61: 4,61: 5, \\ & 61: 10,61: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 136:11, 137:27 |
| [5] - 11:18, 11:20, | 9:25, 11:1, 11:4, | 73:3, 73:7, 73:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:29, 138:3, } \\ & \text { 138:9. 138:12 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 10,61: 14, \\ & 61: 17,61: 18, \end{aligned}$ | CLOSE [2] - |
| 12:17, 37:12, | 11:6, 11:16, | 74:3, 74:8, 74:19, | 138:9, 138:12, | 61:17, 61:18, | 76:18, 126:11 |
| 99:22 | 11:26, 11:28, | 74:23, 75:2, $75: 23,76: 2,76: 4,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 138: 17,139: 5, \\ & 140: 28,141: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:10, 62:16, } \\ & \text { 63:7, 63:8, } 63: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CLOSED [2] - } \\ 34: 17,103: 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| CIRCULATED) | $12: 4,12: 14$, $12: 19,12 \cdot 28$, | $76: 7,76: 9,76: 20,$ | 141:4, 141:7, | 63:16, 63:25, | $\text { CLOSELY }_{[1]}-$ |
| [1] - 11:15 | 12:19, 12:28, | $76: 21,77: 5,$ | 141:16 | 63:26, 64:10, | 35:6 |
| CITY [2]-113:2, | 14:14, 14:16, | 77:14, 77:24, | CLLR [272]-3:4, | 65:3, 65:27, 66:8, | CLOSING [1] - |
| 113:21 | 14:27, 15:4, 15:8, | 77:27, 78:11, | 3:19, 4:2, 4:10, | 66:23, 66:29, | 116:16 |
| CITYWEST [1] - | $15: 14,15: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 14,78: 16, \\ & 79: 4,79: 8,79: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 3,5: 10,5: 21, \\ & 6: 3,6: 13,6: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 9,67: 12, \\ & 68: 16,69: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CLUB [2] - } \\ 75 \cdot 18 \quad 126 \cdot 10 \end{gathered}$ |
| 104:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:24, 15:27 } \\ & 22: 21.24: 27 \end{aligned}$ | $79: 18,80: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 3,6: 13,6: 24, \\ & 6: 27,7: 7,7: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 16,69: 12, \\ & 69: 13,69: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75: 18,126: 10 \\ \text { CLUBS [3] - } \end{gathered}$ |
| CIVIL [1] - 118:26 | $26: 5,27: 13,2$ | 80:16, 80:28, | $7: 19,8: 6,8: 14$ | 69:29, 70:18, | $69: 25,69: 28$ |
| 118:26 CKW@ | 29:18, 30:7, | 81:10, 81:11, | 8:16, 8:17, 8:24, | 71:6, 71:8, 71:29, | CLUMPING [1] - |
| LOCALLINK.IE | 31:16, 32:20, | 81:14, 81:17, | 8:27, 8:28, 9:2, | 72:18, 73:24, | 71:25 |
| [1] - 36:2 | 33:1, 36:26, | $83 \cdot 2083 \cdot 24$ | 9:16, 9:17, 9:21, | 74:4, 74:9, 74:18, $74: 21.74: 22$ | CLUSTER [1] - |
| CLAIM ${ }^{11]}$ - | $36: 29,37: 3$, $38: 18,38 \cdot 21$, | 83:28, 84:3, | 10:25, 10:26, | $75: 9,75: 10$ | 85:5 |
| 129:27 | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 18,38: 21, \\ & 39: 3,39: 4,39: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 84:13, 84:16, | 11:5, 11:7, 11:27, | 75:22, 76:11, | $85: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLAIMS [1] - } \\ & \text { 118:15 } \end{aligned}$ | 39:14, 39:18, | 85:24, 86:4, | 12:27, 13:1, | 76:12, 76:17, | CO [1] - 33:10 |
| CLARIFICATIO | 39:27, 40:12, | 86:13, 86:17, | 13:29, 14:15, | 76:22, 76:23, | COACHES ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| N [1] - 103:8 | 40:15, 40:29, | $86: 28,87: 2,91:$ | 22:20, 26:4, 27:6, | $77: 25,78: 1,78: 2$ | 21:10 |
| CLARIFIED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41:3, 41:5, 41:15, } \\ & \text { 41:17, 41:26, } \end{aligned}$ | 86:28, 87:2, 91:4, 91:27, 92:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 20,26: 4,27: 6, \\ & 27: 12,28: 6,29: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 78:10, 78:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COALESCED } \\ & \text { [11-82:26 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 15:8 |  | 93:19, 94:6, | 29:17, 30:6, | 78:23, 79:13, | [1] - 82.26 |



| 112:20, 113:22, | COURTHOUSE | CULLEN [42] - | 133:20, 134:7 | 5:16, 7:16, 45:11, | 73:29, 80:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 129:21, 129:23, | [6]-87:15, 92:12, | 3:20, 5:9, 13:2, | DAMAGING ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 79:8, 100:17, | DEPARTMENT |
| 131:27 | 92:13, 92:14, | 13:22, 15:27, | 49:25 | 102:17, 103:1 | [2]-13:13, 120:25 |
| COUNTRYSID | 93:15, 94:15 | 26:5, 54:4, 54:24, | DANGER [2] - | 103:22, 103:23, | DEPARTS ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| E [1] - 84:18 | COURTS [1] - | 58:3, 58:21, 61:2, | 60:16, 112:17 | 114:23, 115:7, | 119:27, 119:28 |
| COUNTY [5] - | 55:11 | 86:4, 86:13, | DANGEROUS | 125:13, 125:21 | DEPARTURE [1] |
| 23:27, 25:12, | COVER [3] - | 86:17, 86:20, | [9] - 7:3, 48:29, | DECISIONS [1] - | - 119:23 |
| 26:14, 26:19, | 54:10, 54:22, | 86:21, 91:27, | 81:4, 106:25, | 39:24 | DEPOSIT [2] - |
| 104:17 | 76:27 | 96:15, 96:22, | 108:9, 110:3, | DECREASING | 133:22, 134:9 |
| COUNTY [82] - | COVERED [1] - | 98:11, 99:28, | 111:12, 112:15, | [1] - 84:5 | DEREK [1] - |
| 5:5, 6:12, 6:16, | 123:20 | 103:15, 107:3, | 112:20 | DEFER [2] - | 141:14 |
| 7:27, 9:6, 10:11, | COX ${ }_{[1]}-11: 2$ | 112:6, 113:24 | DARGLE [7] - | 13:19, 14:11 | DERELICTION |
| 10:17, 10:23, | CPO [13] - | 133:5, 133:11, | 48:2, 49:19, 50:8, | DEFINED [1] - | [1] - 87:27 |
| 10:29, 11:12, | 15:12, 50:10, | 133:18, 133:27, | 55:20, 57:22, | 16:23 | DEROGATION |
| 14:24, 14:26, | 51:16, 51:27, | 134:22, 134:27, | 61:6, 61:8 | DEFINES [1] - | S [1] - 100:25 |
| 15:21, 15:22, | 54:9, 54:11, | 136:1, 136:5, | DART [10] - | 14:17 | DES [1] - 39:9 |
| 16:14, 18:6, 18:8, | 54:13, 54:17, | 136:8, 136:10, | 18:13, 19:25, | DEFINITELY ${ }_{[1]}$ | DESCEND [1] - |
| 18:28, 22:17, | 54:20, 54:22, | 138:9, 138:12, | 20:3, 20:21 | - 35:10 | 31:25 |
| 24:20, 26:7, 26:9, | 54:23, 56:29, | 138:17, 139:5, | 21:18, 22:12 | DELAY ${ }_{\text {[4] }}$ | DESIGN [4] - |
| 26:12, 26:24, | 57:9 | 140:28, 141:4, | 27:2, 27:26, | 82:3, 125:4, | 87:9, 114:21, |
| 27:10, 30:9, | CPO'D [3] - | 141:7 | 29:28, 31:7 | 128:18 | 114:29, 116:27 |
| 30:11, 30:18, | 59:16, 59:18, | CULLEN [21] - | DATE [1] - | DELAYED [3] - | DESIGNATED.. |
| 31:10, 31:25, | 59:26 | 11:13, 13:1, | 111:23 | 119:10, 124:15, | [1]-44:12 |
| 32:7, 32:9, 33:25, | CRACK ${ }_{[1]}$ | 15:28, 26:4, | DAVID [2] - | 125:5 | DESIGNED [2] - |
| 35:11, 36:8, | 21:2 | 36:13, 54:3, 58:1, | 122:3, 130:1 | DELAYS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 89:16, 117:17 |
| 43:17, 46:11, | CRACKS [1] - | 80:9, 91:26, | DAYS ${ }_{\text {[3] }}$ - | $126: 17$ | DESIGNING ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 46:19, 49:12, | 118:15 | $94: 15,96: 7$ | $95: 13,123: 14$ | DELEGATE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 122:29 |
| 50:4, 50:5, 52:23, | CRANES | 96:17, 97:16, | $123: 16$ | 98:20 | DESIRABLE [2] |
| 52:27, 53:12, | 125:24, 125:25 | 99:26, 102:8, | DEAL [7]-9:18, | DELEGATES ${ }_{[1]}$ | $-55: 19,55: 22$ |
| 55:1, 82:10, | CRASH [1] - | 103:14, 106:2, | 12:4, 14:20, | - 5:25 | DESK [1] - 89:19 |
| 82:14, 82:19, | 72:9 | 107:2, 111:24, | $43: 18,61: 29$ | DELGANY [1] - | DESKS [1] - |
| 82:20, 82:29, | CREATING [2] - | 111:29, 114:1 | $115: 23,136: 25$ | 19:27 | 90:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 84: 21,85: 3,92: 6, \\ & 93: 7,98: 5,100: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $29: 8,88: 28$ | CULLEN'S [2] - <br> 3.19, 113.6 | DEALING [8] - | DELIBERATE | DESPITE [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 93: 7,98: 5,100: 1 \\ & \text { 100:13, 100:24, } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{96.2}{\text { CREDIT }}$ [1] - | 3:19, 113:6 <br> CULTURA | $8: 1,28: 16,45: 4$ | $\text { [1] }-85: 11$ | $40: 2$ |
| 100:26, | 96:2 | U7, 94-9 | 45:6, 58:5, 58:11, | DELIGHTED [5] | DETAILED [2] - |
| $\text { 101:6, } 101: 9$ | CRISIS [4] - | 92:27, $94: 9$ 94.22 | 60:5, 135:6 | - 22:19, 36:3, | 112:18, 118:4 |
| 101:6, 101:9 | 7:28, 7:29, 67:6, | $94: 2$ | DEALS ${ }^{2}$ | 91:6, 112:9, | DETAILS ${ }_{[4]}$ - |
| 5:8, 105: | 68:7 CRITERIA |  | 64:6, 1 | 113:9 | 95:12, 95:16, |
| 106:10, 106:11, | CRITERIA | E [1] - | EALT [4] - | DELIVER [3] - | 132:15, 132:20 |
| 107:15, 108:22, | CRITICAL | 15:10, 68:6, | 107:20, 132:27 | $95: 29$ | DETERMINED [1] - 134:29 |
| 114:12, 114:20, | 24:22, 36:10 | 68:14, 99:1, | DEBATE [4] - | DELIVERED ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | DETRIMENTAL |
| $116: 29,117: 3$, $117.9,119.9$ | CRITICISED | 128:25, 128:28, | $53: 8,58: 4,59: 27$ | $-66: 28$ | [1] - 45:22 |
| 117:9, 119:9, | $-125: 18$ | 129:2, 129:6, | $82: 28$ | DELIVERING [2] | DEVELOP ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 119:17, 119:22, } \\ & 134: 2,141: 11 \end{aligned}$ | CROSS [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 129: 12,129: 17, \\ & 130 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | DECEASED [1] - | $-69: 20,70: 2$ | $27: 10,42: 5$ |
| 134:2, 141:11 COUNTYWIDE | 26:2 | 130:4 <br> CURRENT [2] | $11: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DELIVERS [1] - } \\ & 16: 7 \end{aligned}$ | DEVELOPED <br> [2] |
| [1]-43:12 | CROSS [6] $33: 8,33: 9,33$ | 15:2, 44:29 | -98 |  | - 29:16, 75:24 |
| COUPLE [10] - | $74: 26,78: 6$ | CURVED [1] - | DECEPTION ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | $\text { 80:11, } 88:$ | [24]-4:17, 72:10, |
| 34:12, 55:12, | 112:22 | 34:26 | - 137:3 | DELL [1] - 76:16 | 116:2, 116:6, |
| 96:27, 101:25, | CROSS- | CUT [2]-32:4, | DECIDE | DEMAND [5] - | 116:9, 116:15, |
| 101:29, 127:16, | BORDER [1] - | 50:24 | 58:7, 75:25, 76:5, | 17:20, 21:24, | 118:9, 119:6, |
| 132:5, 132:6, $137: 8.141: 21$ |  | CUTTING [1] - | 109:29, 116:21 | 24:14, 24:15, | 119:14, 120:3, |
| COURSE | CROSSING [3] - |  | ECIDED [3] - | 28:15 | 122:27, 123:3, |
| $20: 10,141: 5$ | 25:12, 51:14, | $62: 1$ | 14:15, 6 $125 \cdot 11$ | DEPART ${ }^{2]}$ | 123:29, 127:29, |
| COURT [2] - | 53:29 |  | 125:11 | 119:15, 119:24 | 129:10, 129:14, |
| 79:20, 97:20 | 69:17 | D | 48:28 | [8] - 4:4, 13:17, | 130:2, 130:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { COURTESY }{ }_{[1]}- \\ & \text { 103:20 } \end{aligned}$ | CRYSTAL [1] - $53: 1$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DECISION [17] - } \\ & 4: 13,5: 7,5: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 9,42: 21, \\ & 62: 20,63: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:16, 134:21, } \\ & 136: 25,140: 7 \end{aligned}$ |


| DEVELOPER'S | 28:29 | 86:22, 92:1, 92:8, | DOCTORS [1] - | DRAFT [3] - | 104:26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1]-131:9 | DIFFERENCE | 99:7, 99:13, | 27:22 | $37: 10,45: 25$ |  |
| DEVELOPERS | [4] - 23:25, 60:12, | 109:8 | DOCUMENT ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ | 70:28 | $E$ |
| [13] - 46:11, | 84:28, 93:21 | DISCUSSING | - 115:11, 129:15 | DRAFT [1] - |  |
| 46:21, 46:24, | DIFFERENCES | [10]-7:23, 8:10, | DOCUMENTAT | 38:10 |  |
| 65:9, 66:13, | [1] - 101:10 | 59:22, 59:24, | ION [9] - 4:22, | DRAFTED [2] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { E-MAIL [2] - } \\ 35: 27,36: 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 67:28, 71:12, | DIFFERENT ${ }_{[17]}$ | 63:18, 65:14, | 12:8, 62:24, | 18:1, 45:1 | 35:27, 36:2 <br> EARLY [1] - |
| 122:18, 124:2, | -19:16, 44:22, | 85:10, 132:3, | 85:15, 87:10, | DRAG [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EARLY }_{[1]}- \\ & 67: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 127:25, 129:7, | 55:10, 58:15, | 135:29, 137:13 | 89:8, 114:26, | 132:12, 132:24 | EASIER [3] - |
| 132:3, 133:28 DEVELOPING | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 3,81: 26, \\ & 85: 17,100: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DISCUSSION } \\ & {[7]-3: 24,7: 15,} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:28, 127:2 } \\ & \text { DOCUMENTS } \end{aligned}$ | DRAW [1] - 40:2 DRAWING [2] - | $42: 5,65: 26$ |
| [2] - 50:14, 67:4 | 100:12, 100:25, | $8: 25,19: 12$ | [4]-84:13, | DRAWING [2] 109:15, 130:12 | 85:17 |
| DEVELOPMEN | 100:26, 101:6, | 69:10, 70:27, | 115:18, 135:16, | DRAWINGS [3] - | EASILY ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| T [28]-18:25, | 118:1, 130:28, | 107:7 | 138:18 | 86:26, 120:4, | 6:16 |
| 43:28, 67:15, | 140:10 | DISGRACE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | DOG [1] - 57:26 | 123:16 | EAST [1] - 26:29 EAST [6] - |
| 68:23, 68:25, | DIFFICULT [6] - | 137:7 | DONARD [1] - | DRAWN[2] - | $37: 26,74: 17$ |
| 82:11, 82:14, | 18:14, 53:10, | DISPLAY ${ }_{[6]}$ - | 27:16 | 59:29, 130:15 |  |
| 83:1, 84:22, | 93:25, 111:11, | 37:14, 37:20, | DONE [43] - 4:5, | DREW [1] - | $105: 9,108: 21$ |
| 92:28, 94:9, | 111:20, 123:10 | 39:16, 43:3, | 4:20, 4:25, 9:20, | 134:2 |  |
| 94:22, 119:1, | DIFFICULTIES | 48:12, 62:26 | 16:15, 18:11, | DRINK [1] - 35:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EASTERN [1] - } \\ & 77: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 129:1, 129:3, | [1] - 120:13 | DISPOSAL [14] - | 24:5, 26:1, 29:16, | DRIVE [3] - | $\operatorname{EASY}_{[3]}-$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:15, 130:25, } \\ & \text { 133:9, 133:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIGGING [1] - } \\ & \text { 118:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:27, 11:11, } \\ & \text { 11:23, 12:2, } 12: \end{aligned}$ | $30: 4,39: 21$ | 111:11, 111:13, | $67: 13,67: 18$ |
| 134:2, 134:7, | DIRECT [1] - | 12:21, 14:22, | 51:16, 62:2, 64:9, | DRIVEN [1] - | $124: 4$ |
| 134:17, 136:27, | 47:8 | 15:7, 15:8, 15:19, | 69:16, 75:4, | 22:26 | ECHO [2]-71:7, |
| 136:29, 137:1, | DIRECTION [2] - | 36:18, 37:2, | 79:16, 79:18, | DRIVERS [1] - | 94:7 |
| 138:27, 139:20, | 19:11, 33:25 | 117:13, 127:18 | 88:5, 92:29, 94:4, | 29:10 | ECONOMICAL |
| 140:11 | DIRECTLY [1] - | DISPOSALS ${ }_{[1]}$ | 94:10, 95:3, | DRIVING [1] - | LY [2]-112:29, 140:6 |
| DEVELOPMEN | 38:27 | - 11:29 | 95:29, 101:25, | 32:10 | 140:6 <br> EDGE [1]-95:9 |
| T [44]-4:19, 26:7, 26:21, 29:9, | DIRECTOR ${ }_{\text {[16] }}$ $-58: 19,59: 11$, | DISPOSE ${ }_{\text {[2] - }}$ $36: 20,130: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:2, 109:18, } \\ & \text { 112:18, 117:22, } \end{aligned}$ | DROPPED [1] - | EDGE [1] - 95:9 <br> EDITION [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26: 21,29: 9 \\ & 45: 13,45: 19, \end{aligned}$ | - 58:19, 59:11, | 36:20, 130:1 DISPOSED [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:18, 117:22, } \\ & \text { 118:2, 126:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $28: 8$ | $35: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45: 13,45: 19, \\ & 46: 25,47: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 91:8, 92:26, $94: 26,95: 7$, | DISPOSED [1] - 66:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118:2, 126:20, } \\ & \text { 131:9, 131:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DROVE }_{[1]}- \\ & 105: 12 \end{aligned}$ | EDUCATION ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 47:22, 47:23, | 95:28, 114:24 | DISPOSING [2] - | 131:23, 136:25, | DROVER [ | - 42:21 |
| 48:24, 48:28, | 115:16, 115:25, | 15:1, 129:29 | 136:28, 137:21, | 105:6 | EDUCATION ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| 49:11, 49:27, | 116:29, 117:10, | DISPUTE [3] - | 137:22, 140:6, | DUAL [1] - 104:4 | - 8:11, 42:21 |
| 61:8, 67:16, 68:6, | 124:1, 125:16, | 50:2, 50:16, | 141:19 | DUBLIN <br> 14] | EFFECT [6] - |
| 69:17, 75:28, | 125:18, 136:19 | 102:19 | DOUBLE [2] - | 18:6, 18:21, | 67:26, 67:29, |
| 75:29, 77:11, | DISABILITIES | DISQUALIFIED | 88:9, 91:15 | 23:28, 26:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:2, 68:6, 74:15, } \\ & 118 \cdot 00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 81:5, 91:5, 91:24, 95.4 95:9, | [2] - 31:5, 31:9 | [2] - 139:1, 139:3 | DOWN [34] - | 26:17, 27:3, | 118:20 <br> EFFECTIVELY |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:4, 95:9, } \\ & 114: 18,118: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DISABILITY }{ }_{[2]}^{-} \\ & 31: 4,35: 23 \end{aligned}$ | DISTANCES [2] <br> - 91:17, 105:13 | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 15,8: 5,16: 23 \\ & 17: 10,20: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $30: 21,68: 24,$ | EFFECTIVELY <br> [5] - 48:23, 65:6, |
| 119:8, 119:16, | DISABLED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | DISTRICT [3] - | 32:4, 34:18, | 105:28, 108:10, | 66:14, 69:5, |
| 119:19, 119:24, | 94:2 | 25:5, 25:24, | 51:10, 51:15, | 111:7, 113:13 | 115:1 |
| 119:27, 119:28, | DISABLED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 101:7 | 51:20, 51:23, | $\text { DUN }_{[1]}-46: 23$ | EFFORTS [2] - |
| 120:16, 127:4, | 90:4 | DISTRICT ${ }_{[14]}$ | 58:12, 67:20, | DUNNE [5] - | 4:6, 56:5 |
| 127:11, 130:21, | DISAGREE $[3]$ - | 4:21, 37:8, 37:11, | 83:24, 88:29, | 11:26, 14:1, | EIA [1] - 117:22 |
| 134:5, 137:16, | 64:20, 64:28, | 80:25, 92:1, 92:9, | 95:12, 103:21, | 15:24, 91:4, | EIGHT [10] - |
| 137:17, 140:3 | 81:19 | 93:3, 95:18, | 104:16, 105:28, | 97:21 | 16:12, 19:14, |
| DEVELOPS [1] - | DISAPPOINTE | 98:19, 100:10, | 106:10, 107:25, | DUNNE [8] | 19:23, 73:25, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:27 } \\ & \text { DEVEREU } \end{aligned}$ | D [1] - 66:5 | 101:2, 102:23, | 110:12, 112:15, | 11:27, 13:29, | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 12,86: 1,96: 7 \\ & 101: 27.103: 17 . \end{aligned}$ |
| $3: 12$ | DISCOVER [1] - | 114:27, 141:13 | $113: 7,113: 25$ 118:14, 118:17 | 15:25, 36:22, | 117:22 |
| DEVIATION [3] - | DISCUSS [4] - | 100:6 | 121:29, 122:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 3,92: 18,96: 6, \\ & 97: 22 \end{aligned}$ | EIGHTH [1] - |
| 134:23, 134:28, | 4:12, 5:29, 7:21, | DISTRICTS ${ }_{[2]}$ | 123:4, 126:11, | DUNNE'S [1] | 36:24 |
| 141:1 | 125:20 | 66:19, 132:9 | 132:25, 140:5 | $21: 10$ | EILEEN [1] - |
| DIALOGUE ${ }_{\text {[4] - }}$ | DISCUSSED | DIVIDE [1] - | DOYLE [1] - | DURING [2] - | 3:13 |
| 56:6, 139:18, | [13] - 8:20, 8:23, | 106:16 | 102:21 | 21:28, 30:14 | EITHER [5] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 139:25, 139:26 } \\ & \text { DICK [2]-27:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $9: 3,35: 4,53: 11$ <br> 65:14, 70:29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DJOUCE [1] - } \\ & \text { 97:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DOZEN [1] - } \\ & 138: 20 \end{aligned}$ | DVDS [1] - 90:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 18,23: 28 \\ & 38: 8,57: 4,84: 18 \end{aligned}$ |


| ELDERLY ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 127:11 | EVEREST ${ }_{[1]}$ - | - 45:24, 102:3 | 135:2 | FAMILY $_{[1]}-3: 6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:19 | ENTIRE [2] - | 42:15 | EXISTING [17] - | EXTRACURRIC | FAMOUS ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| ELECTED [2] - | 25:4, 80:21 | EVERYWHERE | 15:6, 16:27, 17:7, | ULAR [1] - 30:26 | 116:13 |
| 104:17, 105:25 | ENTITLED [3] - | [1] - 113:14 | 17:13, 20:25, | EXTREME [2] - | FANTASTIC ${ }_{[6]}$ - |
| ELECTION [3] - | 115:14, 119:6, | EXACTLY ${ }_{[1]}$ | 21:6, 21:10, | 47:1, 47:3 | 23:1, 23:24, |
| 111:29, 112:7 | 137:29 | 7:9 | 34:19, 38:22, | EXTREMELY [1] | 29:15, 81:25, |
| ELECTORAL [1] | ENVIRONMEN | EXAMPLE ${ }_{[3]}$ | 38:26, 44:11, | - 47:18 | 94:4, 122:14 |
| - 100:7 | TAL [3] - 117:19, | 10:1, 26:14, 27:1 | 44:29, 49:24 | EYE [2] - 127:12, | FAR [16]-16:15, |
| ELECTORAL | 117:27, 117:29 | EXCEL [1] - | 79:19, 79:26, | 132:26 | 32:17, 43:29, |
| WEST [1] - 104:1 | ENVIRONS [1] - | 84:19 | 79:28, 87:15 |  | 49:27, 64:24, |
| ELEMENT [2] - | 83:6 | EXCEPT [1] - | EXPAND [2] - | F | 64:28, 65:17, |
| 4:18, 115:29 | EPA [1] - 82:21 | $113: 14$ | $32: 8,43: 21$ |  | $65: 29,104: 7$ |
| ELEMENTS [2] - | EQUAL [1] - | EXCITED ${ }_{[1]}$ | EXPANDED [1] - |  | 104:26, 105:2, |
| $81: 18,115: 4$ | 127:2 | $90: 2$ | $32: 11$ | FACEBOOK [1] | $122: 10,126: 2$ |
| ELEVEN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | EQUALLY ${ }_{[3]}$ | EXCITING [3] - | EXPECTING [1] | $-19: 2$ | 126:18, 136:29 |
| 115:17 | 101:6, 109:17 | 30:9, 30:28, | - 18:17 | FACILITATE | FAR- |
| EMANATED ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 109:18 | 95:11 | EXPEDITIOUS | - 38:26, 38:29, | REACHING [1] - |
| 13:12 | EQUIPPED | EXCLUSIVE [1] | LY [1] - 107:21 | 135:2 | 49:27 |
| EMPLOYMENT | 31:2 | - 99:14 | EXPENDITURE | FACILITIES [18] | FARMERS [2] - |
| [3]-65:11, 77:10, | EQUIVALENT | EXCUSE [2] - | [1] - 135:1 | - 25:16, 25:18, | 103:1, 108:2 |
| 78:29 | [1] - 118: | 129:13, 129:18 | EXPENSES ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 65:17, 65:26, | FARMING [1] - |
| EMULATE [1] - | ERIC [9]-16:3, | EXECUTIVE [46] | 8:15 | $71: 11,71: 19$, $71: 22,71: 28$, | 111:4 |
| 95:29 | 16:6, 16:14, | - 37:17, 38:13, | EXPENSIVE ${ }_{[1]}$ | 71:22, 71:28, $72: 5.72: 7.72: 11$ | FASSAROE ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| ENABLE [1] - | 22:22, 22:23, | 41:10, 41:22, | - 29:13 | 72:5, 72:7, 72:11, $72: 16,74: 25$ | $64: 7,71: 4$ |
| 23:22 | 23:15, 23:26 | 42:7, 42:17, | EXPERIENCE | 72:16, 74:25, | FASTER ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| ENCOURAGED | 24:5, 29:18 | 42:23, 43:2, 48:5, | [5] - 32:8, 55:1, | 89:28, 89:29, | 123:3 |
| [2] - 30:12, 91:18 | ERRONEOUSL | 62:28, 63:6, | 56:9, 58:10 |  | FAVOUR [6] - |
| END [20] - 7:10, | $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {[1] }}$ - 79:28 | 63:14, 63:20 | 71:12 | 89:23, 94:3, | $29: 12,40: 24$ |
| $7: 13,22: 17,25: 2$ | ERROR [8] | 64:7, 64:15, | EXPERT [1] - | 89:23, 94:3, 94:11 | $41: 22,112: 10$ |
| 25:13, 51:11, | 33:7, 79:21 | 64:21, 65:23, | 62:9 | 94:11 | $112: 11,124: 8$ |
| 52:26, 53:2, | 83:15, 83:26 | 67:12, 67:22 | EXPIRED [1] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { FACT [17] - } \\ \text { 10:1, } 28: 17, \end{gathered}$ | FEATURE ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 55:11, 56:3, 58:6, | 84:10, 85:12 | 68:12, 74:2, | $14: 4$ | 29:23, 55:21, | 88:18 |
| $58: 21,67: 8$ 69:23. 91:13. | 85:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 13,75: 20, \\ & 76: 19,77: 2, \end{aligned}$ | EXPLAIN [4] 13:10, 130:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 23,55: 21, \\ & 55: 28,109: 26, \end{aligned}$ | FEATURES ${ }_{[2]}$ 88:14, 95:19 |
| 69:23, 91:13, | ESPECIALLY ${ }_{[4]}$ | 76:19, 77:2, | 13:10, 130:6, | 116:27, 119:2, | 88:14, 95:19 |
| 94:1, 94:12, $101: 12,121: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & -6: 24,30: 11 \\ & 30: 20,67: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 78:9, 78:20, 79:2, | EXPLAINED [4] | 124:6, 125:15, | 70:21 |
| $131: 18$ | E | 79:22, 79:29, | - 16:18, 48 : | 126:7, 126:28, | FEDERATION |
| ENDED [1] - | 47:18, 74:28 | 80:6, 80:13, | 141:2, 141:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 127: 23,137: 8, \\ & 137: 15,140: 13 \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 90:4 |
| 98:5 | 79:20 | 82:26, 93:10, | EXPLANATION |  | FEED [3] - |
| ENDS [1] - | ESTATES | 115:10, 116:20, | [4]-12:13, 13:6, | $110: 29,111: 1$ | 20:26, 21:19, |
| 131:17 | 46:10, 46:18 | $121: 8,122: 24$, $129 \cdot 13,130: 7$ | 14:10, 117:10 | $\text { FAIL }_{[1]}-132$ | $21: 21$ |
| ENGAGED [1] - | 46:25, 96:13 | $129: 13,130: 7$, $130: 8,130: 12$ | EXPLORING ${ }_{[1]}$ | FAIR [5] - 6:21, | FEEDBACK ${ }_{\text {[5] - }}$ |
| 87:8 | ET [8]-19:2, | $\begin{aligned} & 130: 8,130: 12 \\ & 130: 28.140: 26 \end{aligned}$ | - 32:12 | 33:12, 46:11, | 19:13, 21:12, |
| ENGINEER [2] - | 21:8, 25:9, 88:24, | $13$ | EXPRESSED [1] | $68: 1,124: 15$ | 22:18, 25:21, |
| 90:29, 118:10 | $89: 5,89: 11$, $90 \cdot 20,99.5$ | $[14]-37: 9,38$ | - 124: | FAIRLY [4] - | 34:29 |
| ENGINEERIN $[1]-118: 26$ | 90:20, 99:5 <br> EUROPEA | $[14]-37: 9,38$ $38: 13,39: 12$ | 75:14, 107:26 | 58:22, 112:6, | FEEDER [2] - 18:13, $25: 4$ |
| ENJOY [3] | - 110:11 | 39:28, 40:7, | EXTENDED [3] - | $113: 16,134: 12$ | FEELINGS ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 47:20, 49:21, | EVENING | 41:13, 48:21, | 88:16, 104:2, | $6: 19,9: 8,46: 4$ | 31:19 |
| 89:18 | 20:6, 22:4, 22:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 12,67: 27, \\ & 80: 21,82: 12, \end{aligned}$ | 104:5 | 99:25, 112:6, | FELL [1] - 12:5 |
| ENJOYED [1] - | 22:13, 23:8, | 80:21, 82:12, $83 \cdot 2 \quad 99: 5$ | EXTENDING [1] | 113:27, 138:11 | $\operatorname{FELT}_{[4]}-12: 19,$ |
| 49:22 | 34:26, 35:1, 35:4, | $\text { 83:2, } 99: 5$ | $-86: 11$ | FALLS [2] - | $65: 23,110: 25,$ |
| ENNISCORTH | 35:12, 111:7 | EXERCISE [2] - | EXTENSIONS | $\begin{gathered} \text { FALLS [2] - } \\ \text { 61:24, 61:25 } \end{gathered}$ | 124:20 |
| $\mathbf{Y}_{\text {[1] - 67:20 }}$ | EVENINGS [2] - | 54:29, 55:4 | [1] - 111:19 | 61.24, 61.25 | FERGAL [1] - |
| ENORMOUS ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 25:26, 34:18 | EXHIBITION/ MEETING [1] - | EXTENT [1] - | 53:22, 103:18, | 69:29 |
| - 65:19 | EVENTS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | MEETING [1] - | 118:1 | $130: 9$ | FEW [10] - 6:8, |
| ENQUIRIES [1] - | 90:21 | 90:17 | EXTRA [8] | FAMILIES | $29: 5,33: 12$ |
| 115:22 | EVENTUALLY | EXHIBITIONS | 13:4, 13:7, 13:26, | 71:20, 94:1, | 33:22, 43:10, |
| ENSURE [3] - | [2] - 128:14, | [1] - 90:19 | 27:2, 28:2, | $100: 16,100: 19$ | 68:11, 71:12, |
| 27:8, 28:21, | 138:25 | EXISTENCE [2] | 113:21, 122:9, | 100.16, 100.19 | 87:17, 88:14, |



| GEOGRAPHIC | 34:7, 53:15, 81:2, | 88:17 | 21:13, 26:11, | HOPED [1] - | 108:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL [1] - 100:13 | 91:6, 91:23, | HALL [4] - | 29:27, 30:1, | 66:18 | HOUSING [4] - |
| GEOGRAPHY | 94:29, 96:2, 96:3, | 92:13, 92:15, | 67:27, 81:25, | HOPEFULLY | 13:17, 38:3, |
| [1] - 30:18 | 111:8, 112:2, | 93:11, 94:14 | 140:23 | [10]-22:15, | 39:10, 80:12 |
| GERMANS ${ }_{[1]}$ | 112:11, 113:12 | HAND [6] - | HELPS [2] - | 26:23, 30:1, | HUGE [14]-4:6, |
| 110:12 | GREATLY [1] - | 24:25, 69:24, | 121:19 | 30:12, 91:15, | 22:23, 25:3, |
| GERRY [1] - | 94:12 | 70:2, 89:3 | HERBERT [2] - | 94:14, 94:19, | 30:17, 50:12, |
| 111:14 | GREEN [5] - | HANDING [2] - | 116:13, 128:11 | 113:22, 126:10, | 67:29, 68:21, |
| GESTATION ${ }_{[1]}$ | 11:22, 13:18, | 123:28 | HERITAGE [3] - | 140:6 | 93:26, 102:6, |
| - 99:29 | 45:15, 45:17 | HANDLE [1] - | 63:3, 63:4, 74:1 | HOPING [1] - | 105:13, 122:1, |
| GIG [1] - 134:10 | GREEN [1] - | 8:2 | HERITAGE [1] - | 22:7 | 125:28, 132:23 |
| GILTSPUR [1] - | 18:19 | HANDS [1] - | 62:20 | HOPPING [1] - | HUGELY [4] - |
| 77:21 | GREENWAY ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 18:12 | HERSELF [1] - | 95:27 | 26:6, 27:9, |
| GIVEN [11] - | - 50:11 | HAPPY [18] - | 7:4 | HOSPITAL [3] - | 141:17 |
| 6:13, 6:15, 7:12, | GREENWAYS | 9:9, 9:21, 11:23, | HI ${ }_{[1]}-106: 2$ | 25:8, 25:17, | HUMAN [3] - |
| 45:15, 48:25, | [1] - 50:24 | 12:24, 13:21, | HIATUS [1] - | 25:18 | 110:2, 112:22, |
| 111:13, 114:28, | GREYSTONES | 14:16, 37:1, 39:2, | 100:16 | HOSPITALS ${ }_{[1]}$ | 112:24 |
| 124:28, 124:29, | [25] - 17:23, | 46:2, 58:14, 62:4, | HIGH [2] - 45:21, | - 27:22 | HUNDRED [3] - |
| 130:29 | 18:26, 19:6, 19:7, | 63:5, 77:1, 96:20, | 91:22 | HOTEL [3] - | 51:24, 70:7, |
| GLAD [1] - 85:11 | 19:15, 19:18, | 99:2, 128:20, | HIGHLIGHTED | 31:27, 32:12, | 70:12 |
| GLANCING [1] - | 19:23, 19:25, | 130:23, 138:10 | [2] - 11:21, 29:26 | 33:3 | HUNDREDS [1] |
| 84:3 | 19:27, 20:2, | HARBOUR [1] - | HIGHLY [3] - | HOTEL [1] - | - 49:15 |
| GLEN [4] - | 20:21, 21:18, | 61:6 | 45:22, 92:3, | 34:18 | HURLING [1] - |
| 51:20, 57:1, | 22:12, 23:12, | HARD [6]-15:4, | 108:1 | HOUR [2]-21:1, | 69:29 |
| 59:16, 79:20 | 23:13, 27:2, | 42:6, 48:6, 69:27, | HIMSELF [2] - | 110:29 |  |
| GLENCAP [2] - | 29:28, 29:29, | 90:4, 94:21 | 46:6, 114:29 | HOURLY [2] - |  |
| $14: 23,14: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 23,98: 19 \\ & \text { 132:10, 141:12, } \end{aligned}$ | HATCHED ${ }_{[1]}$ - $13: 18$ | HISTORY ${ }_{[1]}$ | $20: 6,23: 16$ |  |
| GLENDALO | 141:13 |  |  | HOUSE [7] - | IDEA [8]-21:16, |
| 18:17, 20:10, | GROUND [9] - | 102:5, 111:15 | HOL | 77:18, 88:12, | 99:16, 101:24, |
| 20:11, 21:17, | 14:5, 38:24, | HEAD-ON [2] - | 36:19, 102:13, | 88:25, 107:23, | $\text { 124:9, } 126: 8$ |
| 23:14, 26:15, | 38:28, 59:28, | 102:5, 111:15 | 118:12 | 108:19 | 139:9 |
| 26:16, 26:22, | $66: 28,69: 27$ | HEADING [2] - | HOLDINGS ${ }_{[1]}-$ | HOUSE [3] - | IDEAL[1] - |
| 29:25, 31:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 88:2, 88:21 } \\ & \text { GROUNDS }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $95: 27,114: 26$ | 65:9 | 100:1, 111:17 | 91:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31: 27,32: 1,32: 6, \\ & 32: 11,32: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GROUNDS [1] - } \\ & \text { 112:22 } \end{aligned}$ | HEADSTONES <br> [1] - 100:17 | HOLIDAYS ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 126.12 | $134: 15$ | IDEAS ${ }_{[3]}$ |
| $32: 11,32: 13$, $34: 15,34: 16$ | GROUP [1] | [1] - 100:17 HEALTH | 126:12 | 89:11 | 17:29, 21:2, 91:7 |
| GOD'S [1] - 7:22 | 16:18 | 7:29, 25:8, 25:19, | 85:20 | HOUSEHOLDE | IDENTIFY ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| GOLF [2] - | GROUP [2] - | 129:22 | HOLLYWOOD | RS [1] - 60:1 | 66:11 |
| 75:18, 126:10 | 12:22, 102:22 | HEAR [11] - | [5]-25:5, 101:28, | HOUSES [22] - | 104:18 |
| GOREY [1] - | GROUPS [4] - | 6:24, 10:3, 22:19, | 102:29, 108:26, | 37:27, 64:28, | ILLNESS [1] - |
| 33:10 | 5:26, 16:22, | 24:13, 105:3, | 112:22 | 66:14, 67:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ILLNESS [1] - } \\ & 3: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| GOVERNMENT | 106:27, 106:29 | 109:8 | HOME [5] - | 67:25, 68:12, | IMAGE [3] - |
| [1] - 104:10 | GROWING [2] | HEARD [4] - | 23:21, 57:13, | 68:27, 69:21, | 88:4, 88:15, |
| GRANT [1] - | 91:5, 91:13 | 22:5, 111:3, | 76:15, 111:1, | 70:8, 71:9, 71:10, | $122: 12$ |
| 134:28 | GUIDED [1] - | 125:18, 132:1 | 111:7 | 71:13, 71:27, | IMAGINE ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| GRANTED [1] - | 40:4 | HEAVY [1] - | HOMES [1] - | 72:8, 72:10, | 71:2 |
| 136:14 | GUIDELINES [2] | 30:13 | 25:27 | 72:15, 72:16, | IMMEDIATELY |
| GRAPH [2] - | - 40:5 | HECTARES ${ }^{\text {[3] - }}$ | HONEST [1] - | 73:16, 75:3, | [1] - 110:22 |
| 21:26, 21:29 | GUYS [1] - | 14:22, 15:20, | 106:20 | 76:18, 131:28, | IMPACT ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| GRAVEYARD |  | 75:24 | HONESTLY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 132:29 | $57: 5,57: 12$ |
| [3]-3:21, 3:22, $99: 28$ | H | HEIGHT [3] - $41: 21,76: 18$, | 104:22 | HOUSING [17] - 7:28, 46:10, | 118:20 |
| 99:28 GRAVEYARD | H | 41:21, 76:18, 88:9 | HOOPS [1] - 6:17 | 46:18, 46:25, | IMPACTED ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| [3] - 100:12, |  | HEITON [1] - | HOPE [10] | 47:18, 64:26, | $59: 7$ |
| 100:18, 101:2 |  | 42:4 | 28:27, 31:11 | 65:11, 67:6, | IMPACTS [3] - |
| GREAT [18] - |  | HELD [3] | 32:24, 32:2 | 67:21, 68:7, | 117:20, 117:27, |
| 21:12, 25:29, | $1$ | 10:11, 10:17, | 87:10, 87:14, | 69:18, 71:18, | 117:29 |
| 27:17, 27:27, | 133:21, 134:10 HALFWAY | 10:23 | $90: 4,92: 14$ | 72:27, 74:27, | IMPLEMENT ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ |
| 28:18, 33:13, | HALFWAY [1] - | HELP [7] - | 102:13, 123:18 | 75:28, 96:13, | - 110:18 |


| IMPLEMENTED | 94:26, 116:12 | INFRASTRUCT | 140:29 | ISOLATED [1] - | JAM [1] - 17:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] - 29:5 | INCLUSION ${ }^{2]}$ - | URE [2]-69:20, | INTERESTING | 25:28 | JANUARY [2] - |
| IMPLEMENTIN | 11:24, 39:1 | 105:26 | [1] - 53:7 | ISOLATION ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 105:21, 127:18 |
| G [1] - 71:28 | INCOMES [1] - | INFRASTRUCT | INTERESTS [1] - | 30:17 | JD [1] - 21:9 |
| IMPLICATIONS | 29:14 | URE [1] - 82:22 | 9:8 | ISSUE [28] - | JENNIFER [2] - |
| [4]-48:26, 49:10, | INCORPORAT | INITIAL [2] - | INTERNAL [1] - | 3:21, 5:18, 8:19, | 24:25, 31:18 |
| 49:28, 50:3 | E [1] - 73:14 | 22:5, 121:4 | 87:12 | 9:19, 15:3, 18:16, | JIM [1] - 111:14 |
| IMPORTANT | INCORRECTLY | INITIATIVE ${ }_{[6]}$ - | INTERPRETATI | 20:29, 27:25, | JOB [2] - |
| [14]-13:28, 17:1, | [1] - 44:23 | 29:20, 29:21, | ON [1] - 53:27 | 29:25, 31:5, | 108:17, 126:20 |
| 27:4, 47:18, | INCREASE [5] - | 29:24, 30:5, | INTERVIEWED | 43:29, 45:4, 46:6, | JOBS [2] - 113:2 |
| 49:14, 89:10, | 83:12, 84:8, | 108:24 | [3]-122:1, 122:3, | 51:15, 54:2, 55:5, | JOE [1] - 96:1 |
| 91:29, 92:24, | 84:25, 135:13, | INITIATIVES ${ }_{[1]}$ | 138:20 | 58:10, 58:26, | JOHN [2] - 5:24, |
| 93:11, 107:17, | 135:14 | - 27:8 | INTERVIEWS ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 59:3, 68:21, | 15:21 |
| 109:19, 122:10, | INCREASED [1] | INITIATIVES ${ }_{[1]}$ | - 139:12 | 68:22, 100:21, | JOKING [2] - |
| 130:19, 139:21 | - 118:19 | - 141:12 | INTRIGUED [1] - | 100:24, 101:13, | 112:4 |
| IMPOSE [1] - | INCREASES ${ }_{[1]}$ | INQUIRIES [1] - | 123:27 | 103:28, 107:17, | JOURNEY [1] - |
| 60:9 | - 127:29 | 81:26 | INTRODUCE [2] | 141:12, 141:16 | 23:22 |
| IMPOSED [1] - | INCREASING | INSERTED [1] - | - 49:10, 49:26 | ISSUED [2] - | JPC [2] - 98:3, |
| 60:7 | [3] - 71:26, 84:4, | 56:15 | INTRODUCES | 49:6, 135:12 | 98:5 |
| IMPOSSIBLE [1] | 84:5 | INSIDE [1] - | [1] - 81:3 | ISSUES [7] - | JUDGE [1] - |
| - 66:20 | INCREDIBLE [1] | 136:28 | INTRODUCING | 10:5, 17:28, | 49:6 |
| IMPRESS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | - 110:4 | INSIGHT [1] - | [2] - 48:27, 60:14 | 29:27, 30:2, 55:1, | JUDGED [1] - |
| $108: 18$ | INCREDIBLY ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 111:8 | INUNDATED [2] | $109: 25,140: 19$ | 121:26 |
| IMPRESSION | -4:7 | INSINUATE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | - 69:25, 94:28 | IT [3] - 90:12, | JULY/AUGUST |
| [1] - 128:6 | INCREMENTAL | 138:2 | INVESTIGATIO | 90:13, 90:14 | [1] - 87:13 |
| IMPROVED [2] - | [1] - 73:15 | INSINUATED ${ }_{[1]}$ | NS [1] - 123:7 | ITEM [14]-10:9, | JUNE [8] - 5:15, |
| 108:10, 119:15 | INDEED [2] - | - 136:26 | INVESTING [1] - | 10:27, 11:11, | 13:20, 14:13, |
| IMPROVEMEN | 92:6, 108:3 | INSINUATIONS | 112:24 | 12:25, 14:22, | 22:16, 100:22, |
| T [1] - 95:2 | INDEFINITELY | [1] - 137:28 | INVITATION ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 16:2, 36:20, 37:1, | 101:14, 102:18, |
| IMPROVEMEN | [1] - 67:20 | INSOFAR [1] - | 107:26 | 37:2, 86:3, 86:9, | 111:23 |
| TS [1] - 33:20 | INDEPENDEN | 38:25 | INVITATIONS | 87:3, 97:29 | JUSTICE [2] - |
| IMPROVING [2] | CE ${ }_{[1]}-29: 11$ | INSOLVENT ${ }_{[1]}$ | [1] - 106:28 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ITEM [32] - } \end{gathered}$ | 6:19, 6:21 |
| $-112: 16,113: 1$ | INDICATE ${ }_{\text {[1] - }}$ | - 139:2 | INVITE [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:16, 10:22, } \\ & \text { 15:19, 24:28, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| INADVERTENT | 17:20 | ${ }_{\text {INSTALLED }}{ }^{\text {[1] - }}$ | 103:16, 103:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 19,24: 28, \\ & 37: 7,47: 9,62: 10 \end{aligned}$ | K |
| LY [1] - 13:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { INDICATED [1] - } \\ & \text { 100:11 } \end{aligned}$ | 90:5 | 106:3, 113:6 | $62: 13,62: 16$ |  |
| -49:25, 54:9, | 100.11 INDIV | 33:26, 54:11, | $5: 27$ | 62:22, 73:27, | KAVANAGH ${ }^{3}$ ] |
| 54:15, 54:21, | - 12:5, 12:10, | 100:27 | INVITING [2] - | 74:5, 74:12, | -47:15, 95:5, |
| 68:24, 87:19, | 12:21, 12:23 | INSTEAD [5] - | 5:24, 107:24 | 75:14, 75:17, | 131:25 |
| 94:28, 109:3, | INDIVIDUALS | 64:11, 64:19, | INVOKE [2] - | $77: 29,78: 5$ 78:27, 82:10, | KAVANAGH[4] - 47•16, 95:6 |
| 109:12, 109:20, | [1]-137:5 | 83:25, 84:8, | $54: 13,54: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 27,82: 10 \\ & 85: 22,86: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -47: 16,95: 6 \\ & 96: 16,131: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| 110:4, 110:16, | INDUSTRIAL | 117:23 | INVOLVE [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 85:22, 86:15, } \\ & 91: 28,91: 29, \end{aligned}$ | 96:16, 131:26 KAVANAGHS |
| 140:15 | BUSINESS [1] - | INSURMOUNT | 85:3 | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 28,91: 29, \\ & 92: 20,96: 20, \end{aligned}$ | KAVANAGHS [1] - 21:9 |
| INAUDIBLE) [3] | 65:11 | ABLE [1] - 140:25 | INVOLVED [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:20, 96:20, } \\ & 97: 1,98: 4,98: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[1]-21: 9} \\ & \text { KEEN }_{[1]}-18: 27 \end{aligned}$ |
| - 45:28, 69:6, | INDUSTRY ${ }_{\text {[2] - }}$ | INTEGRATE ${ }_{[1]}$ | 95:3, 118:11, | 101:18, 117:15 | KEEP [8] - |
| 109:4 | 108:7, 113:2 | - 16:27 | 129:27, 130:12, | ITEMS [5] - | 57:17, 89:8, |
| INCH [1] - 33:10 | INFERENCE [2] | INTEGRATED | 137:6 | $40: 26,40: 27$ | 110:11, 113:11 |
| INCHANAPPA | $-136: 24$ | [1] - 103:29 | IPAD [1] - 83:21 | 96:26, 96:27, | 121:23, 122:2, |
| [2] - 15:20, 15:22 | INFORMATION | INTEGRATING | IRELAND [5] - | 98:10 | 129:20, 129:26 |
| INCLUDE [6] - | [14]-4:24, 6:11, | [1]-18:13 | 82:22, 90:4, | ITSELF [2] - | KENNEDY [3] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4: 20,10: 7,61: 20 \\ & 72: 6,102: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $18: 3,34: 3,34: 5$, $34: 8,34: 9,86: 10$, | INTENTION | 110:3, 141:10, 141:17 | $19: 15,19: 27$ | 32:19, 96:10, |
| 127:5 | 124:5, 124:10, | INTEREST [4] | IRISH [1] - 55:11 |  | 97:5 |
| INCLUDED [3] - | 124:11, 125:12, | 10:28, 68:17, | IRRELEVANT | J | 32:20, 96:12. |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13: 4,13: 16, \\ & 32: 28 \end{aligned}$ | 128:11, 128:12 INFORMATION | 122:1, 128:22 INTERESTED | [2] - 135:29, 136:2 IRRESPECTIV |  | $96: 21,97: 4$ |
| INCLUDES [3] - | [1] - 123:13 | [8] - 68:18, | $E_{[1]}-105: 19$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JACK [2] - } \\ \text { 20:17, 22:11 } \end{gathered}$ | KEPT [1] - 55:5 |
| 63:4, 63:18, | INFORMED [4] - | 126:17, 126:18, | ISLAND [1] - | JACKIE [4] - | KEVIN'S [2] 26.15, $26 \cdot 17$ |
| 73:29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 101:29, 102:20, } \\ & 117: 4,117: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 135:10, 135:18, } \\ & \text { 138:18, 139:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $141: 10$ | 16:3, 16:6, 16:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 15,26: 17 \\ & \text { KEY [6] - 16:26, } \end{aligned}$ |


| 18:3, 55:7, 125:4, | KWETB [9] - | LANDOWNERS | 133:21, 134:8 | 6:7, 6:9, 6:13, | LIMERICK ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125:27, 134:6 | 6:11, 6:12, 6:23, | [31] - 48:4, 48:22, | LAUNCHED [4] - | 6:18, 6:29, 7:4, | 89:27 |
| KIDS [2] - 30:25, | 6:28, 7:22, 8:18, | 50:6, 50:13, 51:3, | 27:16, 28:28 | 7:12, 8:15, 9:5, | LIMITED [5] - |
| 46:26 | 9:18, 9:27, 10:1 | 51:8, 52:3, 52:4, | 111:29, 112:4 | 9:7, 46:22, 53:19, | 22:5, 27:26, |
| KILBRIDE [1] - |  | 52:7, 52:14, | LAW [4]-3:11, | 54:15, 115:11, | 49:18, 71:11, |
| 25:6 | L | 53:11, 55:7 | 3:13, 13:12, | 127:7, 127:12 | 123:18 |
| KILCOOLE [6] - |  | 55:15, 57:18 | 118:3 | LEGALITIES ${ }_{[1]}$ | MITS [1] - |
| 19:6, 19:26, 20:1, |  | 57:23, 58:11 | LAWLESS ${ }_{[2]}$ - | - 125:2 | 45:26 |
| $30: 20,30: 24$ | LACK [3] - | 58:13, 58:16 | 10:13, 85:26 | LEINSTER [2] - | LINE [7] - 21:28, |
| KILDARE [5] - | $50: 10$ | 58:22, 58:29 | LAWLESS ${ }_{[4]}$ | 107:23, 108:18 | 60:11, 63:13, |
| 8:11, 23:28, | 70:24 | 59:2, 59:6, 59:24, | 30:6, 74:9, 78:23, | LEISURE [1] - | 63:29, 73:16, |
| 33:25, 35:6, 35:7 | LACKEN [1] - | 60:22, 60:25, | 106:13 | 61:7 | 104:2, 104:5 |
| KILKENNY [3] - | 25:6 | 61:10, 61:13 | LAWLESS ${ }_{[14]}$ - | LENDING [2] - | LINER [1] - |
| 16:9, 16:19, | LADS [1] - 79:16 | 67:4, 103:1, | $5: 20,6: 2,30: 7,$ | $89: 15,90: 7$ | 102:13 |
| 33:23 | LADY [1] - 23:20 | 108:1 | 83:8, 83:10, | LENGTH [2] - | LINK [12] - 16:3, |
| KILLARNEY ${ }_{[3]}$ | LAKE [1] - 31:26 | LANDOWNERS | 83:20, 83:24, | 121:2, 124:21 | 16:7, 16:18, |
| - 51:20, 57:1, | LAKE [3]-25:4, | " [5] - 49:13, | 83:28, 84:3, | LESS [4] - | 16:20, 17:13, |
| 59:16 | 25:24, 26:1 | 52:13, 56:17 | 84:13, 84:16, | 90:18, 108: | 18:8, 19:16, |
| KILMAC ${ }_{[2]}$ | LAKES [1] - | 57:29, 60:27 | 85:24, 106:14, | 113:16, 118:18 | 21:11, 23:5, |
| 83:12, 83:29 | 32:13 | LANDS [11] - | 107:5 | LETTER [9] - | 26:10, 29:6, 33:6 |
| KILMACANOG | LAND [84] - | 50:21, 55:6, 57:6, | LAWN [1] - | 75:5, 102:17, | LINK [6]-16:8, |
| UE [9]-14:25, | 9:28, 11:21, | 67:5, 75:18, | 100:27 | 102:26, 103:10, | 16:26, 25:10 |
| $14: 29,37: 27$ | 11:24, 13:4, 13:7, | 77:18, 81:6 | LAWYER ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 105:19, 106:19, | 26:3, 35:4, 87:24 |
| 38:1, 40:20, | 13:18, 13:23, | 103:7, 108:2 | 130:27 | 107:19, 108:13, | LINKED [1] - |
| 82:25, 84:24, | 13:26, 14:23, | 126:10 | LAWYERS [9] - | 110:6 | $34: 10$ |
| $84: 25,85: 2$ | 15:11, 37:26, | LANE [3] - | 120:9, 129:7, | LETTING [3] | LINKING [3] - |
| KILMURRAY [7] | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 29,38: 1 \\ & 38: 16,40: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $88: 12,88: 25$ | 130:16, 131:10, | $44: 28,56: 25$ | $34: 4,35: 10$ |
| - 38:2, 40:20, | 38:16, 40:19, | 96:1 | 132:1, 133:17, | 56:27 | 109:18 |
| 82:25, 84:21, | 41:12, 46:21, $50: 23,50: 24,$ | LANEWAY [2] - | 134:1, 134:15, | LEVEL [5] - | LINKS [3] - |
| $84: 24,85: 1,85: 6$ | 50:23, 50:24, | $23: 19,23: 21$ | 139:22 | 9:21, 25:23, | $16: 13,23: 7$ |
| KILMURRAY'S | 50:26, 50:28, | LANEWAYS ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\text { LAY }_{[1]}-89: 5$ | 29:11, 86:23, | 29:28 |
| $\text { [1] }-85: 7$ | 51:1, 51:2, 51:5, | - 28:8 | LAYOUT ${ }^{2}$ ] - | $90: 17$ | LIQUIDATED ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| KILOMETRES | 51:6, 51:8, 51:12 | LAOGHAIRE | 118:22, 118:23 | LIBRARIAN ${ }_{[1]}$ | - 133:20, 134:7 |
| [1] - 31:29 | 51:14, 51:15, | RATHDOWN [1] - | LEAD [1] - | 92:6 | $\text { LISA }_{[1]}-85: 20$ |
| KILRUDDERY | 51:18, 51:19, 51:24, 51:26, | 46:24 | $118: 15$ | LIBRARY ${ }_{[3]}$ | $\text { LIST }_{[4]}-37: 18,$ |
| $[3]-74: 13,74: 16,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 24,51: 26, \\ & 52: 14,52: 16, \end{aligned}$ | LAP [1] - 82:18 | LEASEHOLD [1] | 87:5, 88:5, 90:20 | $42: 22,133: 20$ |
| $74: 17$ | 52:14, 52:16, $53: 29.55: 12 .$ | LARAGH ${ }_{[4]}$ - | $-36: 25$ | LIBRARY <br> [14] - | LISTENED ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| KILTER |  | 26:20, 32:6, | LEAST [7] - | 87:14, 87:15, | 111:3 |
| 108:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 29,56: 2, \\ & 56: 29,57: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 32:10, 32:25 | $75: 19,95: 17$ | 88:29, 90:21, | LISTENING [3] - |
| KIND [14] - 9:29, | $57: 10,57: 19$ | LARGE [5] - | 103:12, 104:5, | $90: 28,91: 16$ | $58: 3,70: 5,133: 5$ |
| 36:4, 46:5, 46:13, | $57$ | 30:19, 89:23 | 104:7, 104:25, | 91:18, 93:20, | LISTS [1] - |
| 46:14, 46:22, |  | 91:13, 103:24, | 132:4 | 93:22, 93:23, | 132:29 |
| 56:6, 56:19, | $5$ | 118:12 | LEAVE [7] - | 93:26, 94:18, | LITIGATION ${ }^{2}$ ] - |
| 65:26, 79:21, |  | LARGER [1] - | 8:22, 9:18, 99:13, | 95:1, 95:25 | 55:17, 125:4 |
| 93:24, 101:8, | 59:18, 59:21, | 90:1 | 110:13, 111:6, | LIEN ${ }_{[1]}-121: 24$ | LIVE [6]-23:19, |
| 110:12, 132:20 KITCHEN | 59:22, 59:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAST [29] - 6:8, } \\ & \text { 16:12. 17:28. } \end{aligned}$ | 115:21, 131:22 | LIFE [9]-87:25, $87.28,91: 14$ | 29:14, 46:18, |
| $111: 17$ | 59:25, 59:29, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:12, 17:28, } \\ & \text { 23:7, 33:1, 33:5, } \end{aligned}$ | $67: 7,90: 18$ | 93:9, 93:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 24,60: 1, \\ & 74: 26 \end{aligned}$ |
| KNOCKED [2] - | 60:5, 60:10, 60:15. 60:18 | $37: 14,37: 19$ | $135: 1$ | 104:13, 112:23, | LIVED [1] - |
| $109: 16,132: 25$ | 60:19, 60:20, | $45: 3,48: 7,62: 26$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LED [2] - 81:23, } \end{aligned}$ | $112: 25,134: 4$ | 104:13 |
| KNOCKROE ${ }_{[1]}$ | $60: 21,60: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 12,65: 14, \\ & 65: 21,66: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 128:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIFELINE [2] - } \\ & 30: 3 \end{aligned}$ | LIVES [1] - |
| KNOWLEDGE | $64: 25,65: 5,65: 7,$ | 74:15, 79:1, 79:8, | 21:27, | LIFETIME |  |
| [3]-7:5, 8:13, |  | 82:24, 96:18, | 104:16, 104:29, | 71:23 | $66: 17,71: 21,$ |
| 118:16 | 103:3, 111:5, | 100:8, 101:25, | 110:9 | LIGHT [2]-3:19, | 111:17, 121:18 |
| KNOWN [4] - | $117: 13$ | 108:9, 111:10, | LEGACY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 6:7 | LOAD ${ }_{[1]}-67: 16$ |
| 10:28, 11:12, | WNER | 111:28, 114:10, | 96:3 | LIKELY [1] - | LOADS [4] - |
| $19: 2,31: 19$ | [6] - 15:13, 48:25, | 114:27, 120:17, | LEGAL [1] - | 24:15 | $90: 10,90: 14$ |
| KNOWS [2] - | 53:28, 54:11, | 123:24 | 11:13 | LIMBO [1] - | 123:12 |
| 51:13, 51:23 | $57: 20,58: 9$ | LATE [3] - 58:26, | LEGAL [16] - | 103:2 | LOAF [1] - 74:24 |



| MEMBERS [42] - | MIDDLE [1] - | 96:14, 96:16 | MONEY ${ }_{\text {[10] - }}$ | 93:22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:16, 4:24, 5:1, | 117:24 | MINUTE'S [1] - | 7:11, 7:13, 14:3, | MR [85] - 15:10, | 84:29, 85:7 |
| 7:2, 8:12, 10:9, | MIDST [1] - 22:3 | 3:15 | 14:5, 59:26, | 16:5, 16:17, | 85:10, 85:13, |
| 11:18, 11:20, | MIGHT [15] - | MINUTES [19] - | 93:14, 122:1 | 34:12, 35:19, | 86:1, 96:6, 98:2, |
| 11:22, 12:20, | 19:5, 21:2, 33:7, | 10:10, 10:16, | 122:9, 131:21 | 37:13, 37:24, | 98:14, 98:18, |
| 13:19, 14:7, | 34:1, 48:6, 52:28, | 10:22, 21:1, 32:2, | MONIES [1] - | 38:20, 39:10, | 99:18, 99:22, |
| 29:21, 36:17, | 59:4, 59:19, | 32:3, 32:5, 32:6, | 102:2 | 40:27, 41:1, 41:9, | 114:4, 141:20 |
| 37:7, 37:18, | 78:11, 86:7, | 32:10, 33:27, | MONTH [8] - | 41:21, 41:25, | MULTIPLE [1] - |
| 38:25, 39:5, 43:3, | 111:25, 116:6 | 33:28, 86:16 | 71:3, 87:13, | 42:3, 42:14, | 19:28 |
| 43:10, 70:6, | 121:15, 124:11, | 86:27, 87:1, | 101:12, 111:10, | 42:20, 42:29, | MULTIPLIER ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ |
| 80:24, 82:9, 86:3, | 137:21 | 96:19, 136:6, | 122:29, 123:4, | 48:1, 59:13, | - 36:24 |
| 86:29, 87:4, | MIGHTN'T [3] - | 136:9, 141:21 | 125:12, 137:24 | 59:18, 61:23, | MUNICIPAL [1] - |
| 90:25, 95:18, | 19:5, 46:13, | MISFORTUNE | MONTHS [9] - | 61:28, 62:8, | 100:4 |
| 96:4, 96:9, 99:13, | 60:29 | [1] - 52:24 | 6:8, 16:12, 68:18, | 62:19, 62:24, | MUNICIPAL [18] |
| 105:25, 107:15, | MILES [2] - | MISS [1] - 34:29 | 96:26, 101:25, | 63:10, 63:18, | - 4:21, 37:8, |
| 112:8, 118:24, | 111:1, 112:14 | MISSED [3] - | 102:1, 103:13, | 64:4, 67:11, 68:6, | 37:11, 66:19, |
| 121:5, 122:28, | MILLION [9] - | 59:19, 134:25 | 132:6 | 68:14, 70:27, | 80:25, 82:18, |
| 125:11, 128:23, | 112:21, 121:16, | 138:12 | MORNING [5] - | 73:28, 74:7, | 83:5, 86:23, |
| 141:8, 141:20 | 123:29, 133:21, | MISTAKE [1] | 11:20, 20:5 | 74:13, 75:17, | 91:29, 92:9, 93:3, |
| MEMBERS [9] - | 134:10, 135:3, | 83:18 | 25:25, 25:27, | 75:27, 76:3, 76:6, | 95:18, 98:19, |
| 6:12, 6:23, 7:24, | 140:22, 140:23 | MITCHELL [2] - | 92:24 | 76:14, 76:25, | 100:10, 102:10, |
| 8:4, 31:8, 40:24, | MILLION-AND- | 131:15, 141:14 | MORNINGS [1] - | 76:28, 77:8, | 114:27, 132:9, |
| 75:13, 124:3 | A-HALF ${ }_{[1]}$ - | MITCHELL [2] - | 103:26 | 77:17, 78:6, | 141:13 |
| MEMO [1] - | 121:16 | 131:16, 141:16 | MOST [20] - | 78:19, 78:28, | MURPHY [2] - |
| 114:25 | MILLIONS [1] - | MITIGATE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 18:25, 28:16, | 79:17, 79:19, | 90:1, 90:5 |
| MEMORY ${ }_{[2]}$ | 65:13 | 65:28 | 37:18, 38:25, | 79:26, 80:4, | MUST [10] - |
| 33:2, 76:17 | MIND | MIXE | 50:4, 53:11, | 80:11, 80:20, | 7:10, 9:3, 9:4, |
| MEND [1] - | 13:8, 45 | 77:10 | 55:26, 69:6, | 82:17, 83:19, | 25:20, 58:21, |
| 133:14 | 45:20, 45:27, | MIXING [1] | 69:18, 70:9, | 84:1, 84:15, | 84:19, 102:7, |
| MENTION [3] - | 96:17, 117:12 | 122:2 | 82:18, 87:11, | 85:11, 85:14, | 112:3, 134:3, |
| 35:25, 54:25, | MINE [1] - 89:17 | MODEL [3] | 91:17, 103:20, | 86:26, 87:4, 96:9, | 134:25 |
| 123:12 | MINEFIELD [3] - | 26:10, 50:7 | 110:2, 110:27, | 99:1, 99:4, |  |
| MENTIONED ${ }_{[7]}$ | 53:19, 55:3, | MODERN [3] - | 112:19, 126:19, | 117:11, 128:25, | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| $-22: 8,26: 14$, $26: 19,59: 4$ | 55:10 | 94:11, 95:10, | 129:24, 134:11 | $128: 28,129: 2$ |  |
| 26:19, 59:4, 59:13, 102:8, | MINISTER [1] - | 95:19 | MOTHER [2] - $3.11,3.13$ |  |  |
| 59:13, 102:8, $110 \cdot 15$ | 109:29 | MODIFICATIO | 3:11, 3:13 |  | $15: 2,15: 5,15: 6$ |
| $110: 15$ <br> MENTIONING | MINISTER ${ }_{\text {[34] - }}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ [3] - 38:7, 64:8, | MOTHER-IN- LAW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:6, 130:11, } \\ & \text { 133:9, 133:13, } \end{aligned}$ | 37:27, 38:27, |
| [1] - 127:23 | 5:6, 5:13, 5:23, | 64:10 | L | 133:19, 134:1, | 108:21 |
| MERIT [2] - | 28:29, 31:19 |  | MOTI | 134:25, 136:22, | N81 [11] - 5:5 |
| 50:20, 52:11 | 38:3, 39:10, | 82:16, 83: | 24:19, 103:10 | 138:14, 138:19, | 101:26, 102:4, |
| MERITS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 39:19, 40:1, | MODIFIED [1] - | 106:18, 114:3 | 139:7, 141:5 | 102:18, 106:5, |
| $39: 1$ | 82:21, 101:24, | 80:23 | MOTIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ | MS [54]-3:11, | 106:20, 106:23, |
| METRE [1] - | 102:8, 102:11, | MODI | 81:26 | 10:19, 10:21, | 12:10, 112:25 |
| 117:23 | 102:17, 102:19, | 38:21, 43:28, | MOTORWAY [1] | 10:25, 11:8, | 113:14, 113:1 |
| METRES [2] - | 102:25, 103:10, | 73:23 | - 15:16 | 11:10, 11:17, | N9 [1] - 109:19 |
| 55:12, 90:8 | 103:16, 105:16, | 73.23 | MOTORWAYS | 12:7, 12:17, | NAAS [7]-25:9, |
| MICHAEL [6] - | 105:20, 106:3, | 20:29, 22:14, | [3] - 113:13 | 13:11, 14:9, | 25:11, 25:16, |
| 14:24, 92:6, | 106:4, 106:11, | 23:25, 24:8, | 113:15 | 15:25, 15:28, | 5:18 |
| 92:26, 93:12, | 106:19, 106:29, | 26:12, 28:13, | MOTTOS | 16:1, 36:8, 36:20, | 6:3, 113:19 |
| 93:20, 94:8 | 107:5, 107:22, | 31:6, 32:28, | 18:20 | 36:27, 40:14, | NAIL [1] - 55:12 |
| MICHAEL'S [1] - | 108:13, 111:21, | 34:25, 51:27 | MOVE [5] - 13:3, | 40:18, 41:19, | NAILED [1] - |
| 86:28 | 111:25, 113:7, | $73: 11,74: 27,$ | 21:29, 110:28, | 47:26, 61:3, | 51:23 |
| MID [3] - 14:29, | 113:25 | 84:21, 87:26, | 113:9, 118:28 | 61:14, 61:17, | NAME [4]-3:7, |
| 25:27 | MINOR [2] - | 99:4, 102:3, | MOVED [1] - | 61:26, 62:17, | 7:23, 16:6, 28:5 |
| MID- | 126:3, 126:4 | 102:27, 102:29, | 132:27 | 62:22, 73:22 | NARROW [1] - |
| AFTERNOON ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | MINUSED [1] - | 103:2, 109:14, | MOVES [1] - | 74:6, 74:9, 75:9, | 88:6 |
| - 25:27 | 83:14 | 113:18, 129:22 | 64:25 | 76:11, 78:1, | NATIONAL [6] - |
| MID-MORNING | MINUTE [5] - | MONDAY [2] - | MOVING [3] - | 78:17, 78:24, | 28:24, 40:4, 40:5, |
| [1] - 25:27 | 71:4, 83:11, 89:9, | 10:12, 10:24 | 71:20, 88:29, | 80:17, 82:6, | 102:5, 108:9, |
|  | 71.4, 83.11, 89.9, | 10.12, 10.24 | 71.20, 88.29, | 83:27, 84:12, | 117:21 |


| NATIONAL [2] - | 123:10, 130:16, | NINE [6] - 78:3, | 130:24 | 40:27, 41:1, 41:9, | OBJECTIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:23, 22:14 | 132:3, 133:15 | 87:13, 101:21, | NOTICE [4] - | 41:21, 41:25, | [2]-38:15, 82:3 |
| NATURAL[1] - | NEGOTIATION | 103:17, 111:24, | 4:22, 11:19, | 42:3, 42:14 | OBJECTIVE ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 61:7 | [2] - 115:23, | 140:28 | 12:10, 13:3 | 42:20, 42:29, | - 60:14 |
| NATURALLY ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 115:25 | NINE-MONTH | NOTICES [2] - | 48:1, 56:15, | OBJECTIVES |
| - 100:19 | NEIGHBOURH | [1]-87:13 | 12:2, 123:6 | 59:13, 59:18, | [1]-81:2 |
| NATURE [1] - | OOD [4]-72:6, | NINETIES [1] - | NOTING [1] - | 61:23, 61:28, | OBLIGE [1] - |
| 28:6 | 78:7, 78:8, 79:27 | 15:1 | 98:3 | 62:8, 62:19, | 77:1 |
| NAVYBROOK | NEVER [7] - | NO. 1 [1]-82:13 | NOTWITHSTA | 62:24, 63:10, | OBLIGED [3] - |
| [1] - 135:18 | 9:29, 10:3, | NOBODY [4] - | NDING [2] - | 63:18, 64:4, | 119:3, 119:26, |
| NEAR [2] - | 126:17, 131:11, | 51:13, 51:23, | 26:28, 54:10 | 67:11, 70:27, | 119:29 |
| 33:10, 77:20 | 132:9, 132:26, | 104:29, 124:7 | NTA [15] - 16:22, | 73:28, 74:7, | OBSERVED [1] - |
| NEARBY [2] - | 139:11 | NOLAN [1] - | 16:26, 22:2, 23:4, | 74:13, 75:17, | 3:15 |
| 76:18, 118:13 | NEW [20]-13:3, | 94:5 | 23:11, 24:8, | 75:27, 76:3, 76:6, | OBTAINING ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| NEARLY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 15:5, 16:26, 17:2, | NOLAN [2] - | 24:10, 24:12, | $76: 14,76: 25$ | 119:16 |
| 50:6 | 30:22, 33:4, | 94:6, 97:17 | 24:13, 24:20, | 76:28, 77:8, | OBVIOUS [4] - |
| NECESSARILY | 34:13, 35:1, 45:1, | NOMINATION | 36:9, 62:2, 71:1, | 77:17, 78:6, | 69:15, 71:18, |
| [5]-7:2, 53:27, | 87:5, 93:9, 93:20, | [1] - 98:19 | 76:26, 76:29 | 78:19, 78:28, | 105:8, 140:15 |
| 60:29, 66:14, | 93:23, 94:14, | NOMINEE [2] - | NTA'S [2] - | 79:17, 79:19, | OBVIOUSLY |
| $115: 10$ | 94:18, 101:27, | 98:12, 98:20 | 16:20, 34:20 | 79:26, 80:4, | [31] - 13:3, 18:24, |
| NECESSARY ${ }_{[3]}$ | 105:26, 112:10, | NON [5] - 29:10, | NUB [3]-57:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 80: 11,80: 20, \\ & 82: 17.83: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 26:20, 28:12, |
| - 24:23, 36:12, | 112:29, 113:19 | 125:3, 133:22, | $58: 9,59: 27$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 82:17, 83:19, } \\ & \text { 84:1, 84:15, } \end{aligned}$ | 29:6, 29:19, |
| 110:26 | NEWCASTLE | 134:9, 140:13 | NUMBER [1] - | 84:1, 84:15, $85: 11,85: 14$ | $30: 22,31: 24$ |
| NECESSITATE | [1] - 20:20 | NON-DRIVERS | 37:25 | 85:11, 85:14, | 34:26, 38:4, |
| D [1] - 83:4 | NEWS [1] - | [1]-29:10 | NUMBER [29] - | 99:4, 109:22, | 38:23, 49:17, |
| NEED [35] - | 99:11 | NON- | $3: 5,13: 5,27: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:11, 130:6, } \\ & \text { 130:11. 133:9. } \end{aligned}$ | $50: 25,50: 26$ |
| 19:13, 19:20, | NEWTOWN $\left.{ }^{4} 4\right]$ - | MATERIAL[1] - | $37: 8,37: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:11, 133:9, } \\ & \text { 133:13, 133:19, } \end{aligned}$ | 57:18, 71:1, |
| $21: 11,24: 2,25: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 13,26: 20, \\ & 30: 20.30: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $140: 13$ | 40:27, 41:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:13, 133:19, } \\ & \text { 134:1, 134:25, } \end{aligned}$ | 74:23, 79:12, 81:23, 91:15. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:10, 25:26, } \\ & \text { 25:29, 28:21, } \end{aligned}$ | 30:20, $30: 23$ NEWTOWNMO | REFUNDABLE | $41: 25,42: 3$, $42: 14,42: 20$, | 136:22, 138:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 81:23, 91:15, } \\ & 92: 4,92: 18,93: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| 40:24, 46:1, | UNTKENNEDY | [1] - 134:9 | 47:4, 48:1, 54:28, | 138:19, 139:7, | 110:8, 113:12, |
| 47:21, 47:22, | [3]-19:26, 19:29, | NON- | 68:18, 71:9, | 141:5 O'BYRNE | 115:14, 120:26, |
| 53:25, 56:7, | $20: 1$ | RESIDENTIAL ${ }_{[1]}$ | 71:27, 73:16 | $10: 28,11: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 23,127: 6 \\ & 134: 29,139: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 57:17, 57:19, | NEXT [20] - 8:22, | $-125: 3$ | $76: 11,76: 14$ | 10:28, 11:2 O'CLOCK [5] - | 134:29, 139:10 |
| $57: 20,57: 21,$ | 19:25, 21:29, $37: 7,41: 21 .$ | NON- | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 8,78: 2,81: 3 \\ & 82: 29,99: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { O'CLOCK [5] - } \\ \text { 20:5, 20:6, 99:10, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCCASIONS } \\ & -47: 10,92: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| 57:23, 62:6, $70: 15,71: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 7,41: 21, \\ & 42 \cdot 2943 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | RETURNABLE [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 82:29, 99:1, } \\ & \text { 100:15, 111:15 } \end{aligned}$ | 102:22, 111:7 | $\begin{aligned} & -47: 10,92: 9, \\ & 102: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 70: 15,71: 18 \\ & 71: 19,92: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 29,43: 10 \\ & 64: 6,71: 3,75: 13 \end{aligned}$ | - 133:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 100:15, 111:15, } \\ & 117: 12,124: 17 \end{aligned}$ | O'NEILL [9] - | 102:11 <br> OCTOBER [3] |
| 103:28, 104:24, | 75:17, 86:15, | 89:24 | NUMBERS ${ }^{5]}$ - | 5:3, 5:10, 5:21, | 17:28, 22:25, |
| 117:27, 118:14, | 87:13, 88:28, | NORMALLY ${ }_{[4]}$ | 22:2, 40:25, | 101:19, 105:16, | 33:2 |
| 123:7, 126:8, | 90:7, 90:12 | - 44:16, 44:20, | 71:16, 84:4, | 106:12, 107:9, | OFFER [3] - |
| 130:25, 131:16, | 93:15, 96:12, | 45:9, 45:21 | 112:23 | 107:29, 110:15 | 26:10, 26:24, |
| 131:18, 132:12 | 125:12, 137:24 | NORTH [7] - | NUMEROUS ${ }_{[1]}$ | O'NEILL [3] <br> $5 \cdot 4,101 \cdot 20$ | 34:6 |
| NEEDED [4] - | NICE [5] - 87:20, | 14:24, 16:10, | - 124:24 | 5:4, 101:20, | OFFERS [1] - |
| 28:14, 67:19, | 88:9, 88:14, | 20:2, 20:23, | NURSING [1] - | 114:3 | 49:17 |
| 110:6, 110:22 | 88:17, 95:21 | 21:21, 26:29, | 76:15 | $106: 18,113: 8$ | OFFICE [8] - |
| NEEDS [10] - | NICHOLSON [5] | 69:18 |  | OAKMOUNT [1] | 17:15, 25:9, |
| 66:17, 91:14, | 92:26, 94:8, 95:7 | NORTH [4] - |  | - 135:20 | 120:27, 123:11, |
| 100:11, 104:14, | NICHOLSON [3] | $104: 27,105: 9$ |  | OBESITY 141] | $123: 15,128: 10$ |
| 105:24, 108:29 | - 86:26, 87:4, | NORTHWARD | $56: 14,56: 23$ | 141:10 OBESITY | OFFICES [1] - |
| NEGATIVE ${ }_{\text {[1] - }} \mathbf{7 2 . 2 3}$ | 96:9 | S [1] - 23:28 | $69: 29,79: 12$ | $141: 16$ | 139:12 |
| 72:23 | NICOLA [2] - | NORTHWEST | 81:23, 109:21, | 141.16 | OFFICIALS [1] - |
| NEGLIGIBLE [1] | 10:13, 84:15 | [1] - 25:2 | 116:1, 127:23, | OBJECT [2] | 136:26 |
| - 118:21 | NIGHT [5] - | NOTE [3] - 6:14, | 128:10, 133:6, | 51:12, 131:27 | OFTEN [1] - |
| NEGOTIATE [4] | 22:10, 115:6, | $\text { 11:22, } 97: 28$ |  | OBJECTING [1] | 46:21 |
| - 60:2, 115:21, | 124:1, 124:7, | NOTHING [8] | $\begin{aligned} & 138: 9, \\ & 141 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | - 75:5 | OG'S [1] - 69:29 |
| 121:2, 131:21 | 125:7 | $4: 23,7: 21,12: 29$ |  | OBJECTION [4] | OLD [4]-87:15, |
| NEGOTIATING | NIGHTTIME [1] - | 56:12, 66:11, |  | - 39:19, 41:14, | 93:10, 93:13, |
| [5] - 56:10, | 28:2 | 100:13, 103:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 13,37: 24, \\ & 38: 20,39: 10, \end{aligned}$ | 81:19, 124:13 | 110:13 |


| OLDCOURT ${ }_{[1]}$ | 141:8 | ORDER [3] - | 55:29, 56:1, 56:2, | 126:14, 135:13 | PARTY [4] - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 77:18 | ONE [1] - 99:11 | 19:12, 54:26, | 67:21, 100:5, | PARKLAND [2] - | 48:27, 49:26, |
| OLDER ${ }^{11]}$ - | ONE-LINER [1] - | 88:7 | 100:8, 104:20, | 65:16, 68:11 | 60:14, 81: |
| 28:16 | 102:13 | ORDERS [3] - | 104:24, 104:25, | PART [14]-49:3, | PASS [6] - 4:4, |
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| 39:13, 39:15, | 101:27 | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 10,85: 25, \\ & 88: 3,91: 25, \end{aligned}$ | PROTECTION | 75:25, 75:26, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 110:18, 111:18, } \\ & \text { 118:17. 123:6. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 39:29, 40:7, | PROMOTE [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 3,91: 25, \\ & 96: 21,97: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { [2] }-51: 17,51: 22$ | 81:5, 81:6, 92:10, | 118:17, 123:6, |
| $40: 10,40: 21$, $41 \cdot 2,43 \cdot 2,43 \cdot 5$, | 61:5 | 96:21, 97:4, | PROTECTION | 93:26, 104:11, | 127:20, 129:6, |
| 41:2, 43:2, 43:5, | PROOF [2] - | 97:10, 97:21, | $\text { [2] }-49: 17,51: 17$ | 105:7, 124:20, | 130:4, 130:17, |
| $45: 25,48: 11$, $48: 15,48: 24$, | $56: 2,60: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 98:15, 99:17, } \\ & \text { 100:21. 103:15 } \end{aligned}$ | PROTOCOL [1] | 125:24, 126:19, | 132:2, 132:14, $133: 15.133: 16$ |
| 48:15, 48:24, | PROPER [5] - | 100:21, 103:15 PROPOSED [60] | $-141: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:22, 129:29, } \\ & 132: 21.135: 6 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 133:15, 133:16, } \\ & 133: 23.133: 24 . \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50: 14,62: 28, \\ & 68: 14,73: 22, \end{aligned}$ | 10:10, 55:23, | $-10: 12,10: 25$ | PROUD [1] - 95:24 | 132:21, 135:6, 135:7 | 133:28, 134:6, |
| 74:14, 74:19, | PROPERTY [10] | 11:5, 11:16, | PROVE [1] - | PUBLICLY [7] - | $134: 11,136: 15$ |
| 75:11, 75:21, | $-10: 28,11: 12$ | 23:16, 24:21, | 112:23 | 8:23, 50:21, | 139:27 |
| 76:12, 76:19, | 36:20, 54:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 9,36: 12, \\ & 36: 21,37: 4 \end{aligned}$ | PROVED ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 59:25, 60:10, | PUTTING ${ }^{[9]}$ - |
| $\begin{aligned} & 77: 2,77: 22,78: 2, \\ & 78: 21,79: 3,79: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $54: 17,54: 20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 21,37: 4, \\ & 37: 10,37: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 27:27, 57:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:15, 104:14, } \\ & 105: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 25,45: 3, \\ & 55: 28,64: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| 79:10, 79:11, | 135:15 | 37:24, 38:10, | 12:12, 24:3, | PUBLICLY- | 66:27, 73:21, |
| 79:17, 79:22, | PROPOSAL [55] | 38:14, 40:1, | 24:22, 24:23, | ELECTED [1] - | 112:29, 115:4, |
| 80:6, 92:19, | - 3:28, 4:20, 4:28, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:17, 40:18, } \\ & \text { 41:4, 41:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 36:10, 36:11, | $105: 25$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116:6 } \\ & \text { PROCEEDS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 18,9: 12,9: 18 \\ & \text { 12:25, 14:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $42: 10,42: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:18, 67:21, } \\ & 68: 8,68: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PULLED [2] - } \\ & 18: 3,18: 25 \end{aligned}$ | Q |
| 48:28 | 14:16, 16:26, | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 25,47: 26, \\ & 54: 14,55: 28, \end{aligned}$ | 71:17, 89:22, | PUNCTUAL [1] - |  |
| PROCESS | 24:19, 24:26, | $58: 15,61: 3$ | 113:20 | 34:21 | QUALIFIED [2] - |
| 49:8, 49:26, | 38:15, 39:18, | 65:15, 68:29, | $46: 22,66: 9,$ | 54:22, 54:26, | 139:3, 139:17 |
| 51:27, 71:2, 76:1, | 39:25, 40:10, | $73: 23,73: 24$ <br> 74:4, 75:9, 76:11 | 66:12, 66:15, | $135: 1$ | QUALITY ${ }_{[1]}$ - $45: 21$ |
| 81:22, 117:18, | $40: 16,40: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 4,75: 9,76: 11, \\ & 76: 22,77: 13, \end{aligned}$ | 71:22, 74:25, | PURCHASED | QUERIES [2] |
| 117:28, 122:23, | 46:27, 48:18, | $77: 23,78: 1,$ | 89:25, 119:14, | [1] - 136:13 | $13: 20,123: 20$ |
| $128: 14,129:$ | $50: 17,53: 4,54: 7$, $54: 21,56: 13$ | $78: 10,79: 13$ | 127:3 | PURCHASERS | QUERY [1] - |
| 135:17, 139:18, | $56$ | 79:23, 80:29, | , |  | 31:20 |
| 139:25 |  | 81:12, 82:12, | 46:17. 113:17 |  | QUESTIONNAI |
|  |  | 85:26, 91:7, 96:6, |  |  | RE [2]-138:26, |



| 124:29, 125:3, | 65:8, 68:10 | [1] - 113:19 | RULED [1] - | SAVE [1] - | 76:21, 77:5, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127:5, 127:27, | RID [1] - 137:23 | ROCHE [2] - | 47:6 | 112:24 | 77:14, 77:25, |
| 131:20, 135:14, | RIDE [1] - 33:18 | 27:15, 28:29 | RULES [1] - | SAVILLS [2] - | 78:16, 81:11, |
| 136:15, 137:12, | RIDICULED [1] - | ROCK [1] - | 90:23 | 122:4, 139:13 | 92:19, 96:22, |
| 140:1 | 127:21 | 118:13 | RUN [3] - 19:20, | SAW [4]-3:18, | 97:12, 97:17, |
| RESIDENTS [2] | RIDICULOUS | ROLE [2]-7:1, | 27:17, 116:7 | 132:23, 132:24, | 98:1, 98:17, |
| - 38:26, $48: 4$ | [1] - 137:15 | 49:1 | RUNNING [3] - | 132:26 | 98:25, 100:23, |
| RESOLUTION | RIGHTLY [1] | ROLL [2] | 94:16, 137:18, | SCANDAL[1] - | 101:18, 102:12, |
| [2] - 54:16, 108:5 | 110:9 | 32:24, 98:28 | 137:19 | 129:23 | 105:15, 106:3 |
| RESOLUTIONS | RIGHTS [4] - | RONAN [2] - | RURAL [23] - | SCANDALOUS | 107:2, 114:1, |
| [1]-36:18 | 4:24, 43:26, | 14:24, 14:25 | 16:8, 16:13, 17:4, | [1] - 129:24 | 114:2, 115:29 |
| RESOLVED [1] - | 56:10, 56:11 | ROOM [8] - | 17:16, 17:23, | SCARE [1] - | SECONDARY |
| 51:21 | RING [2] - 16:7, | 45:10, 89:14, | 17:24, 19:16, | 129:23 | [1] - 38:23 |
| RESOURCE [1] | 16:18 | 89:15, 90:10, | 23:3, 23:7, 26:7, | SCARNAGH ${ }_{[2]}$ | SECONDED [38] |
| - 31:29 | RINGS [1] - 6:17 | 90:12, 90:15, | 27:16, 27:23, | - 33:8, 33:10 | - 10:13, 10:19, |
| RESOURCES | RISKY [1] - | 90:17, 109:23 | 28:22, 29:14, | SCHEDULE [1] - | 10:25, 11:6, 11:7, |
| [1] - 68:8 | 118:18 | ROOMS [1] - | 30:16, 30:17, | 80:12 | 36:13, 36:22, |
| RESPECT [3] - | RIVER [7] - 48:2, | 89:3 | 83:11, 83:29, | SCHEMATIC [1] | 37:4, 40:18, 41:6, |
| 119:8, 130:8, | 49:19, 50:8, | ROSS [8] - 5:14, | 84:22, 84:23, | - 17:8 | 41:27, 42:11, |
| 130:11 | 51:22, 55:20 | 5:23, 101:24, | 85:5, 85:9 | CHEME [11] - | 42:12, 42:25, |
| RESPOND [2] - | 57:22, 61:8 | 102:11, 103:16, | RURAL [1] - | 30:2, 117:20, | 47:26, 61:4, |
| 23:5, 34:12 | RIVER [14] - | 106:11, 111:25, | 16:21 | 120:10, 121:18, | 61:18, 63:15, |
| REST [4]-32:7, | 48:6, 49:14, | 113:25 | RUTTLE [6] - | 121:24, 122:10, | 73:24, 74:9, 75:9, |
| 66:19, 134:12, | 49:19, 49:20, | ROUGHLY [2] - | 6:13, 6:24, 6:27, | 123:4, 135:28, | 76:12, 76:22, |
| 134:14 | 49:21, 49:23, | 64:14, 89:15 | 24:26, 94:24, | 138:22, 139:13, | 78:1, 79:13, |
| RESTRICTION | 51:10, 51:17, | ROUND [1] - | 107:13 | 140:23 | 79:23, 80:9, |
| S [1] - 41:13 | 55:28, 56:4, 57:6, | 131:12 | RUTTLE [6] - | SCHEME [2] - | 80:17, 81:12, |
| RESTRICTIVE | 57:7, 60:6, 61:9 | ROUNDABOUT | 5:8, 24:27, 94:25, | 51:17, 51:22 | 82:6, 96:6, 97:5, |
| [2] - 41:11, 108:1 | RIVERBANK ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | [1] - 34:16 | 101:15, 107:14, | SCHOOL [1] - | 97:22, 97:26, |
| RESULT ${ }_{[1]}$ - | - 61:6 | ROUNDWOOD | 112:2 | 30:25 | 98:6, 98:23, |
| 78:20 | RIVERWALK ${ }_{[1]}$ | [12] - 19:25, | RUTTLE'S ${ }_{\text {[1] - }}$ | SCHOOLS [5] - | 99:18, 113:28 |
| RESULTING [ | - 97:25 | 19:28, 20:12, | 8:14 | 20:1, 20:2, 28:18, | SECONDER [18] |
| - 127:4 | ROAD [7] - | 21:17, 21:18, | RÁITHÍN ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ - | 42:22, 91:12 | - 11:27, 15:26, |
| RETAIL [3] - | 10:29, 11:2, | 22:12, 23:13, | 10:2, 10:8 | SCOPE [1] - | 40:9, 40:11, |
| 120:16, 140:3, | 36:29, 78:6, | 26:20, 27:1, |  | 45:9 | 41:28, 46:2, |
| 140:18 | 116:13, 123:19, | 29:28, 58:12, | S | SCRATNAGH | 56:22, 61:15, |
| RETROGRADE | 128:11 | 97:15 |  | [1] - 33:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 13,63: 25 \\ & 74: 4,74: 5,74: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| [1] - 103:23 | ROAD [29]-5:7, | ROUTE [1] - |  | SCREEN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 77:4, 77:13, 80:1, |
| RETURN ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | 38:29, 74:28, | 104:6 | SAFE [2] - | $3: 18$ | $97: 11,106: 2$ |
| 140:7 | 101:27, 102:1, | ROUTE [18] - | $63: 12,93: 14$ | SCUTTLE ${ }_{[1]}$ | SECONDERS |
| RETURNABLE | 102:7, 103:5, | 20:4, 20:11, 21:3, | 63:12, 93.14 <br> SAFELY ${ }_{[1]}$ | $56: 18$ | SECONDERS |
| [1] - 133:22 | 103:25, 104:3, | 22:3, 23:16, |  | SEA [1] - 19:3 |  |
| REVERSE [3] - | 104:28, 106:25, | 30:20, 30:22, | SAFER | SEARCHING ${ }_{[1]}$ |  |
| 5:6, 102:17, | 108:9, 108:21, | 30:27, 33:16, | 106:24 | $-93: 13$ | SECONDLY [1] - |
| 106:19 | 108:26, 108:29, | 51:14, 51:23, $57.3,57.5,57 \cdot 16$, | SAFETY ${ }_{[1] ~-~}^{\text {- }}$ | SEATS [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECONDLY [1] - } \\ & \text { 115:3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| REVERSED [3] - | 109:7, 109:18, | $57: 3,57: 5,57: 16$ | 63:21 | $24: 17,35: 15$ | SECONDS [1] |
| 5:12, 5:16, | $109: 27,110: 2$, $110 \cdot 3,111.9$ | 57:22, 101:23, $106: 5,108: 9$ | SAGA [2] - 65:5, | SECOND [52] | 85:27 |
| 107:20 | 110:3, 111:9, $111: 11,111: 12$ | 106:5, 108:9 ROUTES | 116:13 | 3:28, 4:28, 5:8, | SECTION [8] - |
| ${ }_{36 \cdot 18}^{\text {REVERT [1] - }}$ | $111: 11,111: 12$, $111: 16,112: 14$, | ROUTES [22] 17:29, 19:10, | SAKE $_{[1]}-32: 9$ | 7:18, 11:28, | 11:18, 12:10, |
| REVIEW | 112:15, 113:1 | 19:13, 20:8, | SALLY [1] - | 15:27, 17:15, | 36:29, 40:1, |
| 103:4, 103:12 | ROADS [9] - | 20:16, 20:26, | 106:6 | $27: 6,37: 3,37: 29$ | 92:28, 94:10, |
| REVITALISATI | 15:10, 28:24, | 22:27, 23:2, | SALT [2]-88:12, | 39:3, 39:18, | 94:22, 119:12 |
| ON [1] - 94:13 | 30:14, 61:29, | 23:14, 24:9, | 88:24 | 39:24, 41:5, | SECTION ${ }_{\text {[7] }}$ - |
| REVITALISE ${ }_{[1]}$ | 110:13, 112:20, | 24:13, 24:14, | SAT [2] - 58:12, | 41:15, 41:29, | 42:21, 81:21, |
| - 94:11 | 113:18, 117:21 | 24:21, 27:29, |  | 45:25, 46:2, | 88:28, 92:29, |
| REZONING [3] - | ROADSTONE | 29:4, 29:10, | SATISFIED [1] - | 48:17, 61:16, | 133:6, 133:7, |
| 65:7, 65:13, | [3] - 65:8, 65:18, | 29:16, 32:24, | 73:7 | 62:15, 64:22, | 133:11 |
| 116:7 | 68:9 | 36:9, 40:6, | SATURDAY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 66:29, 67:9, 69:1, | SECTIONS [1] - |
| RICHEST ${ }_{[2]}$ - | ROADWORKS | 105:27 | 22:13 | 74:8, 76:16, | 19:17 |


| SECURITY [2] - | 87:12 | 110:17 | SHOWN [1] - | 120:29, 121:12, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46:17, 121:19 | SERIES [1] - | SHANE [3] - | 112:19 | 121:19, 123:6, | 69:14, 92:22, |
| SEE [56] - 3:17, | 23:2 | 5:14, 5:23, | SHOWS [3] - | 124:27, 127:18, | 105:5, 113:29 |
| 7:9, 9:6, 17:8, | SERIOUS [7] - | 103:16 | 87:18, 88:13 | 127:20, 128:17 | SOCCER [1] - |
| 22:1, 27:7, 28:5, | 6:6, 71:24, 82:2, | SHAPE [1] - | SHUT [1] - | SITE-SPECIFIC | 69:28 |
| 29:4, 29:15, | 108:27, 109:27, | 42:7 | 123:20 | [1] - 45:4 | SOCIAL [3] - |
| 32:16, 33:6, | 109:28, 125:1 | SHAPED [1] - | SHUTTING ${ }_{[2]}$ - | SITES [1] - 46:5 | 64:26, 64:27, |
| 36:14, 46:15, | SERIOUSLY ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 112:5 | 116:10, 116:15 | SITTING [1] - | 70:8 |
| 50:8, 52:12, 53:9, | - 116:19 | SHARE [1] - | SHUTTLE [1] - | 111:24 | SOCIAL [3] - |
| 54:5, 57:6, 68:5, | SERVES [1] - | 121:8 | 23:16 | SITU [1] - 12:1 | 92:28, 94:9, |
| 68:15, 70:10, | 33:2 | SHARPEN ${ }_{[2]}$ - | SIC [1] - 8:14 | SITUATION $\left.{ }^{7}\right]$ - | 94:22 |
| 70:16, 71:16, | SERVICE [26] - | 45:12, 45:19 | SIDE [10] - | 13:10, 26:13, | SOCIOECONO |
| 83:17, 83:27, | 19:5, 20:7, 21:9, | SHAY [8] - 13:1, | 47:10, 55:20, | 58:16, 115:15, | MIC [1] - 18:4 |
| 83:28, 87:23, | 21:10, 21:22, | 15:28, 36:13, | 55:21, 61:9, 70:1, | 126:16, 129:21, | SOLD [3] - |
| 88:5, 88:15, | 22:12, 22:13, | 80:9, 91:26, | 77:19, 77:20, | 135:8 | 13:26, 121:28, |
| 94:13, 103:26, | 24:3, 24:24, | 94:15, 96:7, | 101:21, 121:2, | SIX [1] - 99:11 | 140:4 |
| 106:19, 107:18, | 25:14, 25:23, | 97:16 | 131:3 | SIX [10]-7:27, | SOLICITORS ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 107:23, 108:7, | 25:29, 27:26, | SHAY [9] - 13:2, | SIDES [1] - 58:4 | 16:12, 47:28, | - 130:5 |
| 112:2, 112:9, | 28:7, 28:10, | 13:22, 15:27 | SIGHT [1] - | 88:1, 88:13, | SOLVE [1] - 59:3 |
| 115:14, 120:23, | 28:18, 28:28, | 26:5, 58:3, 58:21, | 138:7 | 91:21, 92:5, 93:3, | SOLVENT [1] - |
| 125:23, 125:25, | 30:9, 33:4, 33:16, | 86:21, 91:27, | SIGN [5] - 10:10, | 93:16, 138:3 | 121:26 |
| 128:23, 129:7, | 35:1, 35:23, | 96:22 | 10:16, 10:22, | SIX-STOREY ${ }_{[1]}$ | SOMEONE [5] - |
| 129:15, 129:16, | 35:26, 36:10, | SHEET ${ }_{[2]}$ | 84:6, 84:10 | - 88:1 | 13:9, 23:18, 99:8, |
| 129:19, 130:2, | 36:12 | 84:19, 118:17 | SIGNED [2] - | SIZABLE [2] - | 104:25, 120:15 |
| 130:18, 130:23, | SERVICES [2] - | SHELF [1] - | 114:11, 114:16 | 72:26, 126:13 | SOMETIMES [2] |
| 130:29, 133:2, | 17:13, 18:8 | 135:21 | SIGNIFICANT | SIZE [1] - 101:1 | - 110:10, 139:22 |
| 137:2, 137:3, | SERVICES [29] - | SHELVING [2] - | [12] - 21:24, 26:6, | SIZEABLE [1] - | SOMEWHERE |
| 138:6 | 16:8, 16:9, 16:13, | 110:1, 110:2 | 26:26, 27:9, | 19:22 | [2] - 56:4, 129:10 |
| SEEKING [1] - | 16:14, 16:26, | SHOCKED [3] - | 64:26, 73:9, 92:3, | SLIDES [2] - | SOON [2] - |
| 5:6 | 17:3, 17:4, 17:9, | 110:14, 115:5, | 92:20, 109:1, | 87:17, 95:16 | 92:15, 94:15 |
| SEEM [4]-13:8, | 18:13, 19:3, 21:4, | 115:8 | 117:27, 117:29, | SLIGHT [2] - | SOONER [1] - |
| 31:28, 57:11, | 21:7, 21:25, 22:4, | SHOCKING [1] - | 118:19 | 13:13, 33:7 | $105: 1$ |
| $132: 8$ | 22:6, 22:10, | 110:5 | SIGNIFICANTL | SLIGHTLY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | SORCHA [2] - |
| SEEMINGLY ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 23:17, 23:18, | SHOPPING [9] - | Y [2] - 59:7, 127:5 | 140:10 | 81:23, 85:21 |
| - 125:8 | 25:7, 27:1, 28:2, | 23:20, 25:16, | SILENCE [1] - | SLIGO [1] - | SORCHA'S [1] - |
| SELL [2] - | 28:13, 28:21, | 115:4, 128:7, | 3:15 | 50:11 | 85:11 |
| 138:21, 138:22 | 30:15, 31:7, | 132:18, 133:19, | SIMILAR [5] - | SLOW [1] - | SORRY [11] - |
| SELLING [1] - | 31:10, 34:13, | 133:20, 140:2, | $42: 15,62: 19$ | 128:13 | $8: 16,14: 1,51: 13$ |
| 120:19 | 35:13, 71:14 | 141:6 | 63:3, 73:29, $88: 6$ | SMACK [1] - | 59:18, 59:21, |
| SEND [3] - | SET [9]-12:1, | SHOPS [1] - | SIMPLE [5] - | 15:14 | 79:11, 85:28, |
| 67:19, 95:17, | 12:7, 31:21, | 17:15 | 4:7, 73:21, 84:4, | SMALL [11] - | 129:12, 129:13, |
| 106:18 | 67:11, 68:2, | SHORT | 136:16, 140:21 | 13:18, 14:18, | 129:19, 139:5 |
| SENSE [3] - | 100:3, 111:22, | 16:15 | SIMPLY [1] - | 24:1, 30:3, 30:14, | SORT [8]-21:3, |
| 6:19, 29:9, | 135:23, 139:13 | SHORTER [1] - | 12:10 | $54: 7,66: 13,90: 9$ | 51:21, 69:23, |
| 126:24 | SETS [1] - 48:29 | 123:5 | SINCERELY [2] | 123:11, 123:15 | $72: 9,88: 16$ |
| SENSITIVE [1] - | SETTING [1] - | SHORTFALL [2] | - 28:27, 53:21 | SMALLER [1] - | 110:21, 131:11, |
| 8:19 | 89:12 | -66:19, 104:8 | SISTER [2] - 3:4, | 89:28 | 137:20 |
| SENT [4] - | SEVEN [8] | SHORTLY [2] - | 3:8 | SMITH [1] - 77:9 | SOUND [1] - |
| 35:19, 100:4, | 23:16, 61:26, | 8:14, 126:12 | SIT | SNELL [13] - | 63:13 |
| 102:12, 114:22 | 76:13, 82:7, | SHOVED [1] - | $47: 20,89: 18$ | 10:19, 14:15, | SOUTH [4] - |
| SENTENCE ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 102:5, 124:3, | 69:5 | SITE [29] | 31:15, 36:22, | 61:8, 68:24, |
| 139:23 | 139:6, 139:9 | SHOW [4] - | 34:16, 35:21, | 42:27, 53:6, | 105:9, 112:21 |
| SENTIMENT [2] | SEVEN-DAY [1] | 21:26, 71:3, | 36:24, 42:4, 42:7, | 69:12, 92:21, | SOUTH [7] - |
| - 105:19, 106:7 | - 23:16 | 106:24, 115:10 | 43:18, 43:20, | 97:23, 97:26, | 16:10, 27:25, |
| SEPARATELY | SEVERAL [1] - | SHOWED [3] - | $43: 24,45: 4,45: 7$ | 98:6, 105:4, | 103:24, 104:15, |
| [2] - 35:2, 79:6 | 122:4 | 11:19, 95:16, | 76:16, 77:9, | 113:27 | 104:24, 105:1 |
| SEPTEMBER ${ }_{[1]}$ | SHALL [2] - | 139:1 | 77:19, 78:7, | SNELL [12] - | SOUTHERN [2] - |
| - 100:8 | 119:6, 140:14 | SHOWING [3] - | 118:12, 118:14, | 11:28, 12:4, | 74:26, 78:6 |
| SEPTEMBER/ | SHAME [3] - | 11:21, 86:26, | 118:16, 119:8, | 12:14, 12:19, | SOUTHWARDS |
| OCTOBER [1] - | 106:9, 106:11, | 117:22 | 120:19, 120:24, | 14:16, 31:16, | [1]-23:29 |


| SOUTHWEST | 67:2 | STARTING [5] - | 88:1, 118:7, | SUBMISSION | 18:26, 18:27, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] - 113:21 | SPORTING [1] - | 31:1, 87:12, | 118:8, 118:19, | [1] - 41:1 | 24:5, 24:20, |
| SPACE [20] - | 69:21 | 88:20, 94:13, | 120:28 | SUBMISSIONS | 29:22, 29:24, |
| 44:11, 46:22, | SPORTS [3] - | 141:20 | STOREYS [3] - | [11] - 18:24, 37:9, | 31:17, 36:9, |
| 64:9, 64:14, | 64:21, 69:25, | STATE [1] - | 88:2, 91:21 | 37:15, 37:21, | 46:28, 47:17, |
| 65:17, 65:26, | 72:4 | 79:20 | STORY [2] - | 38:2, 49:5, 62:27, | 56:13, 57:13, |
| 66:4, 66:12, | SPREADSHEE | STATEMENT ${ }^{[2]}$ | 38:24, 65:4 | 65:23, 82:12, | 57:15, 67:8, 70:7, |
| 66:15, 67:1, 68:9, | TS [1] - 85:13 | - 6:22, 104:23 | STRADDLES ${ }_{[1]}$ | 82:21, 90:28 | 70:12, 70:16, |
| 70:3, 72:4, 72:14, | SQUARE [1] - | STATEMENTS | - 67:3 | SUBSEQUENT | 81:6, 81:20, |
| 73:15, 75:25, | 55:12 | [2] - 96:28, 97:29 | STRAIGHT [2] - | [1]-82:25 | 92:10, 93:4, |
| 75:26, 80:5, | SQUARE [3] - | STATING [2] - | 20:20, 88:1 | SUBSEQUENT | 101:15, 101:23, |
| 135:13, 135:14 | 87:24, 87:25, | 40:23, 48:2 | STRAIGHTFOR | LY [1] - 139:27 | 102:16, 103:10, |
| SPACE" [1] - | 93:12 | STATION [2] - | WARD ${ }_{[1]}$ - 99:1 | SUBSTANTIAL | 105:8, 105:26, |
| 75:20 | SQUARED [1] - | 20:13, 21:17 | STRANGER ${ }_{[1]}$ - | [3]-7:11, 135:6, | 106:18, 108:24, |
| SPACES ${ }_{[15]}$ - | 90:8 | STATION [5] - | 103:19 | 135:7 | 109:8, 110:5, |
| 43:2, 43:26, | ST [9]-6:10, | 20:19, 29:28, | STRATEGIC ${ }_{[1]}$ | SUBSTITUTED | 110:26, 113:6, |
| 45:13, 45:14, | 6:21, 26:15, | 33:26, 33:27, | - 75:28 | [1]-74:16 | 113:7, 113:22 |
| 45:15, 45:18, | 26:17, 52:29, | 33:29 | STRATEGY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | SUCCESS [6] - | SUPPORTED ${ }_{\text {[5] }}$ |
| 46:10, 46:19, | 116:13, 123:11, | STATIONS ${ }_{[1]}$ | 110:11 | 17:2, 27:17, | -6:21, 27:9, |
| 46:26, 47:4, | 132:24, 135:3 | 90:14 | STRAY [1] | 27:27, 50:7, | 29:21, 70:15, |
| 47:13, 47:22, | STAFF [12] - | STATISTICS ${ }_{[3]}$ | 38:11 | 95:28, 113:12 | 81:1 |
| 81:5, 117:4, | 81:21, 81:29, | - 106:24, 111:13, | STREAM [2] - | SUCCESSFUL | SUPPORTING |
| 136:16 | 92:27, 93:12, | 111:14 | 64:27, 126:11 | [8]-24:9, 50:4, | [3] - 54:1, 70:17, |
| SPC [5] - 94:25, | 93:17, 93:24, | STATUS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | STREET [1] - | 53:12, 95:25, | 81:7 |
| 99:2, 99:12, | 94:9, 94:21, | 54:15 | 89:14 | 114:12, 114:15, | SUPPORTIVE |
| 100:2, 101:12 | 94:26, 123:12, | STATUTORY ${ }_{[1]}$ | STREET [4] - | 115:19, 135:19 | [2] - 24:13, 31:20 |
| SPEAKERS ${ }_{\text {[10] }}$ | 123:14, 123:18 | - 54:18 | 11:12, 13:5, 13:6, | SUED [1] - 16:21 | SUPPOSE [18] - |
| - 29:22, 29:23, | STAGE [12] - | STAY [2] - | 36:21 | SUFFERED [1] - | 13:6, 13:12, |
| 30:10, 32:22, | 38:8, 40:23, | 18:20, 20:25 | STRESS [3] - | 94:12 | 13:22, 13:24, |
| 69:15, 73:6, 93:2, | 68:20, 70:20, | STAYING [2] - | 45:5, 100:15 | SUFFERING [1] | 23:1, 26:8, 26:12, |
| 105:11, 105:18, | 93:4, 94:28, | 34:18, 34:19 | STRETCH [5] - | - 109:24 | 29:8, 35:29, |
| 106:15 | 110:7, 122:9, | STEPHEN | 102:28, 106:25 | SUFFICIENT [1] | 37:21, 38:5, |
| SPEAKING [1] - | 138:26, 138:28, | 53:17 | 108:29, 109:7 | - 66:16 | 38:24, 58:4, |
| 126:19 | 139:20 | STEPHEN'S [1] - | STRICT [1] - | SUGAR [1] - | 66:26, 67:1, 67:2, |
| SPECIAL [2] - | STAGES ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 18:19 | 46:17 | 74:24 | 89:27, 93:1 |
| 63:22, 100:3 | 67:17, 117:17 | STERILISED [1] | STRIPPED [1] - | SUGGEST [4] - | SUPPOSED [1] - |
| SPECIAL [1] - | STAKEHOLDE | - 111:5 | 140:4 | 45:8, 51:29, | 3:21 |
| $10: 17$ | R [1] - 17:27 | STICK [4] | STRONG [3] - | 61:28, 106:4 | SURFACE [1] - |
| SPECIFIC [8] - | STANDARD ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 17:20, 17:21, | 38:2, 60:11, | SUGGESTED | 115:1 |
| 7:1, 16:23, 45:4, | 131:11, 134:12 | 39:23, 49:12 | 106:7 | [1]-65:2 | SURFACE- |
| 45:7, 46:5, 59:1, | STANDING [1] - | STILL [17] - 9:3, | STRONGLY [1] - | SUGGESTING | TYPE [1] - 115:1 |
| 71:9, 77:1 | 117:15 | 10:2, 12:1, 27:19, | 56:26 | [2] - 47:3, 50:16 | SURPLUS [1] - |
| SPECIFICALLY | STANDING [16] - | 29:1, 32:5, 40:4, | STUCK [1] - | SUGGESTION | 15:11 |
| [5] - 68:25, 68:28, | 3:24, 4:12, 4:26, | 46:21, 46:22, | 33:18 | [3]-124:1, | SURPRISED [2] |
| 71:14, 114:14, | 5:4, 6:5, 7:17, | 59:9, 64:16, 66:3, | STUDIES [2] - | 125:15, 125:19 | - 105:11, 114:24 |
| 116:24 | 7:28, 86:5, 86:8, | 74:14, 105:22, | 88:22, 88:26 | SUIT [1] - 134:5 | SURROUNDIN |
| SPECIFICS ${ }_{[3]}-$ | 86:15, 96:25, | 114:3, 126:1 | STUDY [1] - | SUMMER [5] - | G [1] - 52:16 |
| 12:20, 13:15, | 98:9, 98:21, | STOCKING [1] - | 141:9 | 30:15, 34:25, | SURVEYS [1] - |
| 117:1 | 99:27, 101:19, | 108:4 | STUDY [5] | 34:28, 35:11, | 112:18 |
| SPECIFY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 114:7 | STONE ${ }_{[1]}$ | 18:11, 70:20, | 126:12 | SURVIVE [1] - |
| 73:8 | STANDS [1] - | 87:19 | 70:26, 70:28, | SUNDAY ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 24:1 |
| SPECTACULA | 53:24 | STOP [2] - | 89:4 | 22:13 | SUSPECT [1] - |
| R [2]-87:20, | START [8] - | 66:15, 128:7 | STUFF [1] - | SUPER [1] - | 72:24 |
| 90:23 | 20:16, 24:2, 24:7, | STOPPED [1] - | 85:16 | 21:15 | SUSPECTED [1] |
| SPEED [1] - | 30:13, 56:11, | 138:1 | STYMIE [1] - | SUPER [1] - | - 124:11 |
| 70:22 | 72:14, 115:18, | STOPS [2] - | 45:19 | 21:20 | SUSPEND [2] - |
| SPEND [1] - | 125:27 | 19:19, 21:20 | SUBJECT [6] - | SUPPLY [2] - | 7:16, 101:26 |
| 32:5 | STARTED[4] - | STOPS [1] - | 54:21, 54:25, | 25:23, 26:2 | SUSPEND [1] - |
| SPLIT [1] - 65:25 | 20:7, 72:13, | 21:15 | 83:2, 85:8, 85:23, | SUPPORT [38] - | 3:23 |
| SPORT [1] - | 129:25, 133:22 | STOREY [6] - | 93:7 | 5:9, 5:21, 9:17, | SUSPENSION |


| [1] - 5:19 | TDS [7] - 5:25 | 4:1, 5:2, 10:15 | 64:11, 64:19 | 20, 5:9, 54:4 | 91:5, 92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUSPENSION | 27:7, 36:14, | 11:9, 15:29 | 66:10, 70:14 | 54:24, 61:2, 86:4, | 92:13, 92: |
| [13] - 4:12, 4:26, | 104:16, 104:26, | 36:16, 36:28 | 71:21, 82:8 | 86:13, 86:17 | 93:7, 93:10 |
| 5:4, 6:5, 7:27, | 105:20, 107:1 | 37:6, 39:6, 41:8, | 83:22, 86:27 | 86:20, 96:15 | 93:12, 93:21 |
| 86:5, 86:7, 86:15, | TEAM [3] - | 41:20, 42:2, | 87:1, 96:18 | 98:11, 99:28 | 94:12, 94:14 |
| 96:25, 98:9, | 85:15, 95:8, | 42:13, 42:28, | 98:10, 99:29, | 103:15, 107:3 | TOWN [29] |
| 99:27, 101:18, | 130:13 | 62:18, 63:9, | 103:13, 109:26, | 112:6, 113:24 | 21:4, 33:29 |
| 114:7 | TEASE [1] - | 63:17, 74:11 | 111:1, 116:10, | 133:5, 133:11 | 49:18, 49:2 |
| SUSPENSIONS | 139:19 | 75:16, 76:24 | 123:8 | 133:18, 133:27 | 66:13, 83:11 |
| [1] - 99:25 | TECHNICAL [3] | 77:7, 77:16, | THREE-NIGHT | 134:22, 134:27 | 83:29, 85:7 |
| SUSTAINABLE | - 82:17, 85:23 | 77:26, 78:18 | [1] - 22:1 | 136:1, 136:5, | 87:27, 91:6 |
| [1] - 18:27 | 85:24 | 78:26, 79:15 | THREW [4] | 136:8, 136:10, | 91:13, 91:14, |
| SWEETHEART | TECHNICALLY | 79:25, 80:3, | 17:19, 22:6, 22:9, | 138:9, 138:12, | 91:17, 92:3, 92:8, |
| [1] - 136:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [1] - 99:5 } \\ & \text { TEED [1] - 123:1 } \\ & \text { TELEPHONE }{ }_{[1]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:10, 80:19, } \\ & 97: 7,97: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 34:26 <br> THROUGHOUT | 138:17, 139:5, | 92:14, 92:16 |
| SWERVE [1] |  |  |  | 140:28, 141:4, | 93.22, 94.19, |
| 88:7 |  | 97:27, 98:27, | [3]-46:19, 55:1,$55: 9$ | 141:7 | 95:11, 121:19, |
| SYMPATHISE | $\begin{aligned} & -35: 27 \\ & \text { TEN } 3]-40: 22, \end{aligned}$ |  |  | TONIGHT [1] - |  |
| [1] - 3:6 |  | $114: 6,141: 23$ | 19:13 | 137:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 122:14, 122:15, } \\ & \text { 125:28, 126:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| SYMPATHY ${ }_{[2]}$ - | $123: 16,138: 3$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOOK }[7]- \\ 55: 10,73: 18, \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 3:3, 3:7 | TENANTS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | THEIRS [1] - $58: 78$ | THROWN ${ }^{2]}$ - |  | 127:28, 137:19 |
| SYMPHONY [1] | 122:20 | 58:28 | 17:29, 18:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 10,73: 18 \\ & 74: 15,110: 29 \end{aligned}$ | TOWNLAND [2] |
| - 14:25 |  |  | TIED [1] - 55:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 74:15, 110:29 } \\ & \text { 115:27, 123:11, } \end{aligned}$ | - 14:23, 15:20 |
| SYSTEM [5] | TEND [3] 23:27, 53:17 | $\begin{aligned} & {[4]-8: 3,121: 12} \\ & 135: 13,138: 22 \end{aligned}$ | TIGHT [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:27, 123:11, } \\ & \text { 139:23 } \end{aligned}$ | TOWNS [9] - |
| 30:13, 33:21, | $71: 12$ <br> TENDER [13] 28:20, 87:10 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} 134: 18 \\ \text { TIGHTENED } \\ \text { [1] } \\ -53: 21 \end{array}$ | TOOTH [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 22,26: 23, \\ & 28: 8,30: 3,33: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| 49:8, $49: 9$ |  | THEN [1] - |  | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 12 \\ & \text { TOP }_{[11]}-21: 26, \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | THEORY [1] - <br> 66:13 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 20,87: 10 \\ & 125: 2,125: 3 \\ & 126: 28,127: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | TII [3]-41:1, | 28:7, 34:19, | $36: 11$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | TRACK [1] - |
| TABLE [10] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:13, 130:21, } \\ & \text { 133:16, 139:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THERE'LL [2] - } \\ & 51: 9,64: 12 \end{aligned}$ | TIMED [1] - | 92:13, 92:15, | 28:25 |
|  |  |  | 22:2 | 114:22, 116:5 | TRADING [1] - |
| 59:15, 65:21 | 139:27, 140:8 <br> TENDERED |  | TIMETABLE ${ }_{[4]}$ | 125:8, 134:15 | 122:15TRAFFIC [5] |
| 66:1, 78:19, |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -31: 21,31: 27, \\ & 33: 3,34: 21 \end{aligned}$ | TOT [1] - 84:18 TOTAL [5] - |  |
| 84:22, 104:21, | 122:6, 135:15, | S [1] - 14:23 <br> THEREFORE [1] |  |  | 29:25, 30:14 |
| 104:29, 112:12, | 140:12, 140:16 | $\begin{aligned} & -135: 3 \\ & \text { THEREOF } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIMINGS }[1] \text { - } \\ & 20.29 \end{aligned}$ | 84:5, 84:7, 84:24, | 108:5, 111:12,$113: 17$ |
| 125:8, 125:19 | TENDERER [1] - |  |  | 84:25, 85:3 |  |
| TABLES [2] - | 139:28 | 119 | TIMMINS [1] - | TOTALLY [3] - | TRAILS [1] - |
| 89:5, 89:17 |  | THEY'VE [6] | 111:28 | 45:16, | 50:14TRAIN 66$]$ |
| TAKEN [10] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TENDERERS [3] } \\ & -137: 13,137: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $4: 6,4: 7,49: 2$ |  | 136: |  |
| 40:22, 47:27, | 138:16 | $62: 26,69: 15$ | TIPPERARY ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | TOTALS ${ }_{[4]}$ - |  |
| 61:19, 73:25, | TENDERS ${ }_{[1]}$ | 137:25 <br> THINKING [3] - | TODAY [19] - | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 13,83: 24, \\ & 84: 17,85: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 20:19, 33:26, |
| 75:11, 76:12, | 28:12 |  |  |  | 33:27, 33:29 |
| 78:3, 82:7, 85:29, | TERM [1] - 44:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THINKING [3] - } \\ & 32: 9,47: 12 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | 3:22, 4:27, 14:12, 14:19. 16:17 | TOUR [12] - | TRAIN [2] - |
| 96:7 | TERMINATE ${ }_{[1]}$ | 94:29 <br> THIRD [8] - 41:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:19, 16:17, } \\ & \text { 24:19, 31:14 } \end{aligned}$ | 8: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:13, 21:17 } \\ & \text { TRAINING }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |
| TAKERS ${ }_{[1]}$ | $-20: 14$ |  | $33: 4,34: 13$ | 21:24, 21:25, |  |
| 78:22 | TERMS ${ }_{\text {[5] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 27,49: 26 \\ & 60: 14,64: 22 \\ & 69: 1,81: 4,114: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 34:28, 45:5 |  | $8: 11$ |
| TALLAGHT [4] - |  |  | 45:26, 66:2 | 26:13, 26:18 |  |
| 68:24, 101:28, | 4:14, 12:11, <br> 40:25, 135:11 |  | 92:25, 95:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 25,29: 23, \\ & 29: 24,30: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 17:1, 17:3, 27:24 |
| 102:29, 108:26 | 136:14 | $69: 1,81: 4,114: 7$ <br> THORNHILL [8] | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 23,105: 22, \\ & 140: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  | TRAMPLING [1] |
| TARGET [2] - | TEST ${ }_{[1]}$ | $-4: 28,6: 4,7: 8$ |  | TOURISTS [6] - | $-56: 11$ |
| 75:19, 85:4 | TESTAMENT [1] | 9:1, 9:13, 52:19, | TOGETHER [6] - | 21:29, 26:14, | TRANSCRIPT |
| TARGETS ${ }_{[1]}$ | - 94:21 | 97:12, 127:15 THORNHILL [12] | 22:1, 34:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 18,26: 22, \\ & 32: 14,34: 21 \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 127:24 <br> TRANSPAREN |
| 42:17 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TEXT [4] - 38:29, } \\ \text { 42:29. 64:2. 64:3 } \end{array}$ |  | 34:27, 61:29 |  |  |
| TAXIS ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ |  | - 6:3, 7:7, 8:16, | 71:25, 87:17 | TOWARDS ${ }_{[3]}$ - | $\mathbf{C Y}{ }_{[2]}-6: 20,$ |
| 29:12 | TEXTED [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 17,8: 24,8: 27, \\ & 8: 28,9: 21,52: 18, \end{aligned}$ | TOILET ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 24:10, 95:27, |  |
| TAXPAYERS' | 111:28 |  | $89: 23,90: 1$ | 133:3 | TRANSPAREN |
| [1] - 7:13 | THAN'S [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 28,9: 21,52: 18, \\ & 98: 15,98: 22, \end{aligned}$ |  |  | T [2] - 49:7, 99:9 |
| TCU [1] - 35:7 |  | 98:15, 98:22, <br> 127:14 <br> THREE [23] - $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22:10, 23:11, } \\ & \text { 24:7, 32:1, 32:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 90:10, } 94: 2 \\ \text { TOMMY [2] - } \\ \text { 54:3, 103:14 } \\ \text { TOMMY [33] - } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:16, 20:10, } \\ & \text { 20:13, 20:18, } \\ & \text { 22:11, 23:13, } \\ & \text { 45:1, 87:7, 87:26, } \end{aligned}$ | TRANSPORT$\begin{aligned} & {[30]-16: 13,} \\ & \text { 16:27, 16:28, } \\ & \text { 17:7, 18:27, } \end{aligned}$ |
| TD [5] - 104:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 17 \\ & \text { THAT }[1]- \\ & 43: 15 \\ & \text { THE [41] - 3:27, } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 104:24, 104:25, |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105:1 | THE [41]-3:27, |  |  |  |  |


| 19:16, 19:18 | TULLO | 42:20, 66:20 | 0 | 88:1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:21, 19:29 | ARKLOW [1] | 69:6, 84:22 | 20:17, 20:20, | BAN [1] - | 99:18, 123:22, |
| 20:26, 21:6, 23:7, | 21:21 | 11 | 23:19 | 17:2 | 137: |
| 25:10, 26:2, 27:2, | TUPPENCE [1] - | 117:18, 119:12, | 23:21, 24:25, | RGE [3] | VANCE [43] |
| 27:16, 27:23, | 32:17 | 119:18, 128:6 | 28:7, 30:11 | 69:23, 102:16, | 7:20, 11:1, 11: |
| 29:7, 29:8, 30:13, | URN | UNDERCROFT | 30:16, 30:22, | 103:8 | 11:16, 36:26 |
| 31:6, 34:21, 62:1, | 19:11, 34 | [3]-114:29 | 31:21, 31:23 | EFUL | 37:3, 39:3, 41:5 |
| 70:20, 70:23, | TURNED | 118:6, 118:8 | 32:11, 35:22 | 23:3 | 41:15, 41:17 |
| 70:24, 70:26 | 134:18 | UNDERDEVEL | 40:28, 46:20, | JSES | 41:29, 42:9, |
| 70:28, 103:29 | TURNIN | OPED [1] - 112:29 | 53:21, 55:1 | 90:21, 131:1 | 42:18, 43:7, |
| TRANSPORT ${ }_{[5]}$ | 88:7, 107:16 TURNS [1] - | UNDERGROU ND [3] - 89:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 16,57: 26, \\ & 64: 12,64: 27, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USURP [1] - } \\ & \text { 39:20 } \end{aligned}$ | 43:24, 47:1, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 16:20, 16:21, | 123:8 <br> TWELVE [1] - | 115:2, 118:8 <br> UNDERMINES | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 25,66: 8, \\ & 68: 20,69: 5, \end{aligned}$ | UTILISED ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 12,64: 18, \\ & 68: 17,72: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18:23, 22:14, |  |  |  | 94:19 |  |
| 82:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 115:17 } \\ & \text { TWICE }[1]-64: 4 \\ & \text { TWO }_{[44]}-22: 1 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | [1] - 49:1 | 69:27, 70:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTTER }{ }_{\text {[1] }} \text { - } \\ & \text { 116:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 18,74: 19, \\ & 75: 2,76: 21,77: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| TRANSPORT |  |  | 71:16, 72:23, |  |  |
| LOCAL [1] - 16:8 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNFAIR }_{[2]}- \\ 56: 17,67: 14 \\ \text { UNFORTUNAT } \end{gathered}$ | 73:12, 83:13, | $116: 17$ | $77: 14,77: 24$ |
| AVEL | 27:14, 31:16, |  | 83:17, 83:19 |  | 78:16, 80:8, |
| 23:27, 33:25, | 31:20, 32:15, | $E_{[1]}-67: 3$ <br> UNFORTUNAT | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 23,83: 25, \\ & 83: 28,85: 18, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 11,96: 24, \\ & 96: 29,97: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| 104:7 | $37: 25,44: 8 \text {, }$ |  | 87:7, 87:8, 87:15 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 29,97: 10, \\ & 99: 17.123: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| TRAVELLED ${ }_{[1}$ | 64:11, 64:19, | $\operatorname{ELY}_{[7]}-3: 23$ | 87:7, 87:8, 87:15, 88:12, 88:17, | $59: 9,105: 23$ | 99:17, 123:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & -111: 9 \\ & \text { TRAVFI ING } \end{aligned}$ | 64:24, 66:10, | 26:13, 26:17, | 88:12, 88:17, | $\operatorname{VAIN}_{[1]}-29: 22$ | 135:26, 136:2, |
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| $-69: 25$ | 58:17 | 33:19, 34:7, 36:6, | 71:7, 73:7, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 103:9, 103:24, } \\ & \text { 104:1, 104:11, } \end{aligned}$ | WITHHOLD [1] - |
| VOTE [10] - | WALKWAYS ${ }_{[5]}$ | 68:17, 69:18, | 126:23 | 104:1, 104:11, 104:12, 104:15 | 119:22 |
| 40:22, 47:27, | - 48:13, 50:9, | $70: 9,91: 5,91: 10$ | WHITMORE'S | 104:12, 104:15, <br> 104:16, 104:18, | WOMAN ${ }^{[2]}$ - |
| 61:19, 73:25, | 50:15, 54:29, | $91: 24,103: 20$ | [2] - 27:6, 36:7 | 104:16, 104:18, 104:19, 104:24, | 111:16, 129:25 |
| 75:11, 76:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 55:8 } \\ & \text { WALSH } \end{aligned}$ | WELCOMED ${ }_{[7]}$ | WHO'LL [1] - | 104:27, 104:28, | WONDER [2] 3.20, $95 \cdot 15$ |
| 78:3, 82:7, 85:29, 96.7 |  | - 29:21, 30:19, | 75:25 | 105:1, 105:6, | 3:20, 95:15 WONDERFUL |
| 96:7 | 29:17, 98 WALSH | 31:13, 49:16, | WHOLE [18] - | 105:9, 105:10, | WONDERFUL |
| 40:12, 40:24, | 29:18, 83:27, | 107:6 |  | 105:26, 105:27, | $\text { 94:10, } 95: 9$ |
| 47:25, 55:23, | 84:12, 84:20, | [27] | 81:22, 89:1, | 105:28, 106:5, | WONDERING |
| 58:2, 59:10, | 84:29, 85:7, | 5:25, 24:29 | 89:26, 95:1, 95:8, | 106:10, 106:11, | [5]-5:12, 31:3, |
| 61:17, 67:10, | 85:10, 85:13, | 25:13, 33:24, | $96: 25,120: 10,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106:27, 109:24, } \\ & \text { 110:8, 110:12, } \end{aligned}$ | $32: 23,32: 25$ |
| 69:9, 69:11, 73:4, | 98:1 | 35:6, 100:27, | 123:11, 128:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 110: 8,110: 12, \\ & 110: 13,112: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $83: 14$ |
| 73:5, 75:1, 76:9, | WANTS [4] $39: 22,123: 3$ | 103:6, 103:23, | 128:16, 129:25, | 112:28, 114:12, | WOOD [1] - |
| 76:10, 77:27, | 39:22, 123:3, 126:15, 129:20 | 104:11, 104:12, | 130:20, 133:14 | 114:20, 119:9, | 77:21 |
| 77:29, 79:5, 79:9, | 126:15, 129:20 | 104:15, 104:18, | WHOLEHEART | 19:17, 119:2 | WOODIES [1] - |
| 80:20, 81:13, | WARNING [1] - | 104:19, 104:23, | EDLY [2]-24:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 11,179: 2 \\ & 32: 10,134: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 78:29 |
| 82:5, 85:27, | 4:22 | 104:28, 105:1, | 106:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 32:10, } \\ & 141 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | WORD [7] - |
| 110:5, 117:13, | WAS [2]-3:15, | 105:6, 105:10, | WICKLOW <br> 133] | WIDE [2] - | 51:6, 56:15, |
| 121:6, 124:16, | $141: 23$ WASH | 105:26, 105:27, | $-5: 5,5: 11,5: 26$ | $57: 11,117: 23$ | 57:29, 58:26, |
| 125:6 | WASH [1]-9:9 | 105:28, 106:27, | 6:12, 6:16, 8:10, | WIDENING | 58:29, 59:7, |
| VOTED [4] - | WASTE [2] - | 109:24, 110:7, | 8:11, 9:6, 10:11, | $14: 28$ | 115:27 |
| 114:19, 114:20, | 8:10, 124:19 | 110:11, 111:29, | 10:17, 10:23, | WILLING [1] - | WORDING [20] - |
| 115:26, 117:14 VOTES [1] - | WATCH [1] - 68:4 | 112:28 | 10:29, 11:12, | 38:28 | 42:5, 42:16, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { VOTES }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & \text { 104:19 } \end{aligned}$ | 68:4 | WEST [4] - $33: 25,74: 15$ | 11:13, 14:24, | WINDFALL [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 11,48: 22, \\ & 19: 1051 \cdot 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| VOTING [4] - | 121:4, 134:18 | 105:9, 106:10 | 15:22, 16:3, 16:9, | 121:15, 140:22 | 53:13, 53:20, |
| 61:2, 66:22, | WAVELENGTH | WESTERN ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 16:14, 16:19, | WINDOW [2] - | 53:24, 57:21, |
| 74:28, 82:2 | [1] - 106:23 <br> WAYS ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 77:20 <br> WESTWARDS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:7, 17:14, } \\ & \text { 17:22, 18:2, 18:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 88:17, 89:17 <br> WINDOWS [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 3,62: 4,62: 5 \\ & 63: 5,74: 1,74: 7 \end{aligned}$ |


| 75:19, 77:1, 139:20, 140:14 WORDINGS ${ }_{[1]}$ 139:21 WORDS [3]33:22, 42:15, 48:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YOURSELF [5] - } \\ & \text { 8:7, 29:1, 31:7, } \\ & \text { 59:14, 104:13 } \\ & \text { YOURSELVES } \\ & {[2]-85: 19,120: 12} \\ & \text { YOUS }[2]-31: 3, \\ & 31: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 49:16, 49:17, | Z |
| WORKSHOP ${ }_{[1]}$ <br> - 121:22 <br> WORLD ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 67:24 <br> WORRY $_{[1]}-$ <br> 107:3 <br> WORTH [5] - <br> 40:23, 65:5, <br> 121:10, 121:17, <br> 140:21 <br> WORTHWHILE <br> [2] - 112:24, <br> 122:13 <br> WRIGGLE ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 45:10 | ZONE [3] - 38:1, <br> 40:19, 72:5 <br> ZONED [8] - <br> 37:29, 65:10, <br> 66:4, 78:7, 78:8, <br> 78:29, 79:28, <br> 82:26 <br> ZONED.. [1] - <br> 44:16 <br> ZONING [8] - <br> 4:18, 37:26, <br> 38:14, 38:23, <br> 41:11, 67:2, <br> 78:19 |
| WRITE [6]-5:6, 5:13, 24:20, $36: 8$, | € |
| WRITING [4] 31:18, 105:16, 105:19, 119:17 WRITTEN [2] 114:25, 119:9 WROTE [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & € 3_{[2]}-112: 21, \\ & 123: 29 \\ & € 31,000{ }_{[1]}- \\ & 14: 2 \\ & € 8,000_{[1] ~-~} \\ & 134: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Y | É |
| YE [1] - 35:22 <br> YEAR [5] - <br> 87:13, 98:5, <br> 117:16, 120:17, <br> 138:19 <br> YEAR-AND-A- <br> HALF [1] - 120:17 <br> YEARS [23]- <br> 27:15, 27:18, <br> 28:29, 43:10, <br> 49:15, 52:25, <br> 54:28, 56:10, <br> 71:12, 71:22, <br> 83:22, 95:26, <br> 99:29, 101:27, <br> 103:18, 108:28, <br> 109:6, 111:24, <br> 112:18, 124:18, <br> 132:5 <br> YOUNG [1] - <br> 71:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ÉIREANN }_{[2]} \text { - } \\ & 25: 24,31: 7 \end{aligned}$ |

